System Design for Sustainability Prof. Sharmistha Banerjee Department of Design Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati

Week – 12 Lecture – 02 Design for Sustainability - Engineering Design Criteria and Guidelines

Hello Everyone. So, today we will continue with our Engineering Design Criteria and Guidelines by using the ICS Toolkit.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:38)

	ICS Toolkit	
	download it from	
http://www.lens	-international.org/tools/view/5?server_id=	3 4
④ ∅ ∅ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		•••

So, if you have not already download this particular toolkit that I mentioned in the previous class, please download this toolkit right now. We will try to work on the tool kit together. So, the address that is given over here when you log on to that particular address you will be asked to create a username and password in order to be able to download the file. So, please create the same and download the file and then continue with the video.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:14)

T POLITECNICO	DT MTI ANO				
O DE DESERVICE	ander terreties for Custoleskiller			ICS toolkit	
> DIS Design and					
	LIFE CY	CLE DESIGN STRATE	GIES		
		CONTRACTOR D	DODUCT (OVOTEN		
	QUALITATIVE ASSE	SSMENT EXISTING P	KODUCI/SYSTEM		
	FUNCTIONAL UN	IT & PRIORITIES/IN	MPROVEMENTS		
		,			
	E	CO-IDEAS BOARDS			
					0
	LIFE CYCLE DESIGN	STRATEGIES PURSU	JING EVALUATION		
	Contraction of the local division of the loc				
	SIMPLIFIED	NORMAL	DEEP		
		RADAR			

So, let us see how this toolkit is supposed to be used, it is called as the ICS toolkit. So, in this tool kit you can start from the start sheet, so you can see there are multiple number of sheets over here start, guide, LCD strategies, and so on.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:36)

• I × ✓ ft				
B C D E F G H I J K	LMNOI	Q R S T	U V W	XY
POLITECNICO DI MILANO	LCD STRATEGIES			
DIS Design and system Innovation for Sustainability				
LIES OWIS DESIGN STRATECTES				
The following strategies are used to appress the integration of environmental requirements in product besign:				
 USE EXTENSION/INTENSIFICATION Optimizing the lifespan of products is to design for extending product (and its components) life span and for inten 	nsifying product (and its			
components) use. A product with longer lifespan than another similarly functioning one, generally determines sm product with accelerated wear will not only generate untimely waste, but will also determine further incover due to	aller environmental impact. A to the need for replacing it.			
Production and distribution of a new product to replace its function involves the consumption of new resources an	id the further generation of			
2-3. RESOURCES (MATERIAL and ENERGY) CONSUMPTION REDUCTION	an annalasta of almos annalas			
offered by that type of product, for the entire product life cycle. Using less materials/energy diminishes the enviro	onmental impact of a product			
due to minimizing the resources being extracted, but also due to reduction/diminished fabrication processes and their environmental costs products obviously also have economical costs. Less materials/energy mean saving in b	produced waist. Apart from both contexts.			
 MATERIAL LIFE EXTENSION Design adding environmental value to materials (within a product) by avaiding memotype disposal, by reprocessia 	ing them to obtain new prime			
secondary materials (by recycling or composting) or burning them to recuperate their energetic content. There is	a double advantage in the			
with buying virgin materials are avoided. Naturally the processes of composting, recycling and burning also have	their own environmental and			
economical costs: an conservatory terms we can adopt a series of measures in relation with all the phases the pro such costs: collection and transportation; identification and separation; disassembly and/or fragmentation; clean	icess of recycling to minimize ing and/or washing; pre-			
production of prime secondary materials. Generally this principle is followed: the material should be recycled as n loses its material properties, then, at that point, the object should be incinerated to recuperate energy.	nuch as possible before it			
5. TOXICITY REDUCTION Design to favour the use of recourses (materials, energy sources) that relative to the entire life outle minimize da	annerros emissions and all the			
processes that characterize it. However it must be remembered that toxic or harmful emissions occur during any	stage of the products life cycle			
6. RESOURCES CONSERVATION/BIOCOMPATIBILITY				
Design with the aim to save resources for future generations, preferring renewable resources, or at least non-exh	austione once. It refers both			
2				
Start Guide LCD strategies FU&P+I E-QA 1 E-QA 2 E-QA 3 E-QA 4 E	-QA 5 E-QA 6 Radar E-IDEA 1 E-ID	IEA 2 E-IDEA 3 E-IDEA 4 E-IDEA	5 E-IDEA 6 SIMPLI 🕀	1.4
				1017
🔾 Type here to search 🛛 🖏 💭 🤮 👖 📻 🗭	🛄 🔛 🚛 💴		R へ 10 中 (1) d ^p	ENG 07-06-2018

On this start sheet if you click on life cycle design strategies, then you will directly go to that particular page. If you click over here you will come back to this start page.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:48)

C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	
Image: Section 1 Image: Section 1<	W X
COUNT NUMERATION DEFINITION COUNT NUMERATION DEFINITION	
CONTINUES OF THE DESCRIPTION OF THE DESCRIPTION CONTINUES OF THE DESCRIPTION OF THE DESCRIPTION CONTINUES	
See EXTENSION/INTERSITICATION PART FOR FOR FOR FOR FOR FOR FOR F	
COULDF COULDF	
A. DUST THE PRODUCT/SISTEM FOR UP DE COLUMNAL/PROTECTIONAL	
1 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	
9ut Gude LCD strategies FURP+1 EQA.1 EQA.2 EQA.4 EQA.6 Reduc E-DDEA.1 E-DDEA.1 E-DDEA.1 E-DDEA.2 E-DDEA.1 E-DDEA.2 E-DDEA.2 E-DDEA.1 E-DDEA.1 E-DDEA.2	Þ

Say I go to qualitative assessment of existing product or system, I directly go to that particular page. If you press the question mark it will download a particular file for you which will help you in how to use this particular tool.

(Refer Slide Time: 02:04)



You can also go to this second tab which is called as the guide tab to understand what this particular tool is. So, what all we can use this tool to do is I can go and read about the life cycle design strategies. I can also do a qualitative assessment of existing product, or system. Then I will set the functional unit and the priorities or improvements, then I have a eco ideas board, then I will do life cycle design strategies evaluation.

The evaluation can be either done by using the simplified in evaluation, normal evaluation or deep evaluation. You can do all the three; you can also select one of them depending on your requirement as well as the time available to you. And finally is the write data diagram which will display you visually the priorities and the improvements achieved. So, let us go to the guide.

(Refer Slide Time: 03:03)



So, if we enlarge this particular guy you will see that it talks about ICS is composed by the following tools. Qualitative assessment of existing product or system, it is a set of qualitative checklist for each of these 6 life cycle design strategies and a given to help achieve the environmental assessment of existing or reference product, or system. The ICS tool kit is only meant for the environmental dimension, as you had already seen in the previous lecture when we are talking about the engineering design and guidelines, we were talking only on the environmental aspects.

So, for each of the criteria it is then possible to define the relative priority I can give a high priority and it stands for H, medium priority M, low priority L and no priority N. Then we will define the functional unit and priorities or improvements board. So, it is here the functional unit will be put on and we will use a report we will use a table to say whether there is a radical improvement, incremental improvement, no change or the idea

has gone worse. Then you have an eco ideas board which presents before you all those criterion, guidelines and by using those criterion guidelines you can ideate on that particular board.

Finally you go to the radar diagram where you select the best ideas generator and you do a analysis of the same. Finally, there is a LCD strategies perusing qualitative evaluation where you do evaluation by saying whether it is a radical improvement, incremental improvement, no improvement, or it is going worse. Again it can be done at the level of simplified assessment which is at the strategy level, normal which is at the sub strategy level deep at the guidelines level. So, when we go into more depth you will know each of this mean.

(Refer Slide Time: 05:15)



Important do not change the name of each sheets, because the sheets are connected to each other using certain formula. So, if you change the name of the sheet, there might be problems it might come. How to optimize the visualization? It is also very important this is an excel based sheet and you use it in excel only.

Say for example, you can also open an excel file using few other softwares, but the functionalities offered by this particular sheet might all those functionalities might not be available when you open this file in all those platforms. I have not checked it how it behaves when I open it on a mobile platform, but maybe some of the functionalities do

not work. So, it is always better that you open this excel sheet in Microsoft excel on your computer.

So, how to optimize visualization? In the menu bar click view or full screen, in the menu bar click view or zoom a window will pop up, at this popup window click the option custom and insert a value that allows the visualization of all elements of the sheet. Then you can also click view or full screen with the right button of the mouse click on sheet 1 and the bottom of the page and select all sheets. So, these are certain navigations on how to navigate through this particular excel sheet, so this is the full view. So, let us go back to the home page again and let us start with the first step which is life cycle design strategies.

(Refer Slide Time: 06:57)



So, yesterday we discussed 7 strategies for the same.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:04)



Here they have been grouped into 6, because I have combined in this material and energy consumption reduction together. Whereas, in my slides presented in the last lecture they were separate groups and elaborated in that.

So, my first strategy is used extension or intensification; optimizing the lifespan of products is to design for extending product and it is components lifespan and for intensifying product and it is components used. A product with longer life span than another similarly functioning one, generally determined smaller environmental impact. A product with accelerated wear will not only generate ultimately waste, but will also determined further impact due to the need for replacing it.

Production and distribution of a new product to replace it is functions involves the consumption of new resources and further generation of emissions. Hence we should target use extension, or intensification. When I say extension I mean, extending the period of time over which it can be used or intensification means over say a period 10 years when the products good life span I do more usage of it. So, per day usage can be increased something like that.

Then the next one is resource that is both material and energy consumption reduction. So, reducing resources denotes design in that reducing the usage of materials and energy of given product, or more precisely of given service offered by that type of product for the entire product life cycle. So, you have to consider the entire product life cycle from pre production to you have to also consider the design and development phase of the product.

So, the next one is material life extension. So, design adding environmental value to materials within a product by avoiding premature disposal, by reprocessing them to obtain new prime secondary materials by cycling or composting or burning them to recuperate their energetic content. Then comes toxicity reduction, where again you are talking about toxicity reduction both in terms of material and energy sources, then the last one is resource conservation or biocompatibility.

So, design with the aim to save resources for future generations preferring renewable resources or at least non exhaustible ones. So, once you have read through this, you can keep on coming back to this particular slide depending on your requirement. Let us go back to home again. Now, I will go to the next step which is qualitative assessment of existing product or system.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:17)



So, if I see this particular board it says use extension or intensification, first I will have to set a priority. So, when you click here there is a drop down menu you select one of these priority levels, not necessarily you have to select the priority first and then you do the evaluation you might also type the evaluation and then you can select the priority level.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:46)

Α	В	C		D	E	F	G		н	1		J	К	L	М	
	CHECKLIST				EVALU	ATION (write	here the answ	ers as a repor	t, i.e. detailin	g the problem	is)					
	CHECKLIST 1. STHE FRODUCT/SYS EXCLUDING CONSUMAR CONSUMARIES/ 2. STHE FRODUCT/SYS 3. ARE DISPOSABLE PAC 4. OOGS THE RRODUCT/SYS 5. STHE RRODUCT/SYS 5. STHE RRODUCT/SYS 6. OOGS THE RRODUCT/ DBSOLETE? 7. OOGS THE RRODUCT/ DBSOLETE? 8. DOGS THE RRODUCT/ SHARED IN SOME OF ITS 10. OTHER?	TEM A DISPOSABLE C LLS37	NE (USED ONLY E-SPAN (EXCLU F ITS SOME PAF E MAINTAIND, R TECHNOLOGICJ CULTURALLY/A USED FOR LONG ISED , WHEN IT	Y ONE TIME, DING ITS, TEND TC EPAIRED ALLY ESTHETICALL SPERIODS O COULD BE	Y Y	ATION (write oo sachet is ono ayer packat can Also collection	here the answ time use production not be recycled b is a challenge.	iers as a repondent	t, Lee detailin e multi-layer pa profitable enc	g the problem	(s) d. The the					
		USE INT./EXT. P: HIGH	P:	HEGH	P: I	DUC. HIGH	P: HIGH	P:	REDUC. HIGH	RES. CONS P: HIGH		IDEA 6	CILLIDIA			

When you select the priority level, so when I selected low you can see in this particular box it automatically got selected. Say I am selecting medium the priority change, you are not supposed to change anything over here this will change automatically. This is my first sheet in which I am trying to do a qualitative assessment of existing product or system.

When I want to go to the next sheet this is the next sheet, I will have to click over this and I go to the next sheet, I can click over here again and I can be back to the sheet. So, this is how you can navigate between the 6 checklist, 6 criteria items to do this qualitative assessment of existing product or system. Now here at the checklist questions which helps you to do an evaluation of the existing system or number.

So, say the first question is; is the product or system a disposable one used only one time excluding consumables? Say for example, injection syringes that is a onetime use product, it is a disposable product; say shampoo sachet, the sachet is a onetime use product. So, after that one time use you throw it away which means it has very low amount of usage, so you answer these questions. So, in case I am doing it for a shampoo sachet I can say that shampoo sachet is one time use product, after this the multilayer packet is discarded.

You can also add extra information say for example; the multiplayer packet cannot be recycled, because it is not profitable enough to separate the layers. Also collection is a challenge.

(Refer Slide Time: 13:25)



When I go to the other criteria for qualitative assessment so let us see this LCD designs. So, you see we have used extension intensification I have resource of material and energy consumption. I have material life extension toxicity reduction and resource conservation of biocompatibility.

So, there might be situations in which I can put some of these statements in those pointers also, but when you start writing down it is advisable that you start writing down all the problems that you see. May be on next question level where you can answer something similar, you will again put it down no harm in doing that. So, I answered my first question then I have my second question is the product or system with short lifespan excluding consumables?

So, the difference between the first one is here I am disposing off here, is it with the short lifespan. So, the product is made in a manner that it has is very short lifespan say for example, I have a mobile phone, it is designed in a manner with certain kind of components which have very short lifespan. So that can be a particular answer to this question if you are doing this analysis for that kind of a system, Then are disposable packaging used?

So, again you can see if I was doing the same for shampoo sachets. So, question 1 and question 3 are related. Does the product or system or sum of it is parts tend to wear out easily? So, you can see these questions are related to all those criteria that we were discussing in our previous lecture on the what can make a engineering design criterion guidelines list. You also have something called as others because these are just guidelines and it might not suit for all your purposes.

Say for example, I am talking about agricultural machinery. Now, in that particular context everything is about the product or the system, but say what about the result that particular machine is producing. If you do not find that any of these questions are trying to target the results produced by the machine then you can and those questions in the others. But those question should be related to use extension and intensification. Once I have completed my evaluation over here I will give it a priority level. So, I realize that the priority level over here is very high. So, I change the priority level.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:13)



Now, let us go to the next one which is material consumption reduction. Again it follows similar kind of hierarchy by default all of these priorities are set at high.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:26)



So, you have to go everywhere and change the priority after you have done your evaluation. All of them follow the same structure they have certain checklist questions and you have a question others. You can also open up the presentation that contained all the engineering design guidelines and criteria. Some of those questions; some of those trigger points can help you also in identify more questions in the others category. So, after I have done for material consumption I will go to energy reduction board, similar strategy once energy consumption and reduction is done then you can go to.

(Refer Slide Time: 17:10)



So, you can see because I am on this energy reduction phase this one is highlighted in a different color as compared to this one. Then I go to material life extension, then I go to toxicity reduction. Let us set a different criteria level different priority level over here let us say I give a priority level of low, and here I give a priority level of in resource conservation of biocompatibility none.

So, now you can see I have a high, high, high and a low and a none. Let us also change the criteria over here let us keep it a medium. So, after I am done with this whole thing. So, say I am I have reached over here then what I can do is again click on the home button. Once I click on the home button I will be directed to go to this third step.

POL	ITECNICO DI MILANO					FUN	CTIONAL UNIT & PRIORITIES/IMPRO	VEMENTS		
Her M; eva IMF IN 0 FUN Kg	E Design and system Inno e it is reported the result of th LOVE L; NO=N as a result of Novement: RADICAL= R; ROVEMENT: RADICAL= R; ROVEMENT: RADICAL= R; ROVEMENT: OID OTHS IS FUN CTIONAL UNIT: of sachets per L of Shampoo	wation for Sustainability he analysis of the existing prod of the qualitative environmental conceptproduct made in the U ; INCREMENTAL=1; NO= N; V IDAMENTAL TO DEFINE THE	uct to be redesig sustainabiuity ar FE CYCLE DESIGN VORST=W; NON F UNCTIONAL U	ned and wi nalysis (foli STRATEGII APPLICA NIT: WRIT	th a level of PRIO owing heets E-QA ES PURSUING EVA BLE=NO. E IT IN THE GREY	RITY for each (N), it is reporte LUATION sheet BOX BELOW.	single criteria a : HIGH= H; MEDUWE di as well the results of the qualitative , resulting in the following level of			
STR	ATEGY			PRIORI	ΓY	IMPROVE	MENT	-		
USE	EXTENSION/INTENSI	IFICATION								
exis	ting product			н	HIGH					
cond	cept					N	NO IMPROVEMENT			
MA	TERIAL CONSUMPTION	REDUCTION						_		
exis	ting product			Н	HIGH					
cond	cept					N	NO IMPROVEMENT			
ENE	RGY CONSUMPTION R	EDUCTION						_		
exis	ting product			M	MEDIUM			_		
cond	cept					N	NO IMPROVEMENT			
MA	TERIAL LIFE EXTENTIO	DN						-		
exis	ting product			н	HIGH				۵	
cond	iept					N	NO IMPROVEMENT	-		
10	AIGHT REDUCTION				1.011			-		
and a	ting product			L	LOW					
exis		01				N	NO IMPROVEMENT			
exis	OURCES CONSERVATI	UN			NONE			-		
exis conc RES	ang product			N	NONE		NO IMPROVEMENT	_		
exis conc RES exis	eoc					n	NO IMPROVEMENT	-		
exis conc exis conc								-		
exis cons exis cons										
exis conc exis conc				-		_		-		

(Refer Slide Time: 18:06)

So, in the third step it is a setting up your functional unit and the priorities improvement. So, what is the functional unit? So, it is the unit on which you are going to do your measurement. So, like we had already discussed in our life cycle design strategies how to set a functional unit. You can set a functional unit you can also set declared unit. So, you can go back to the lectures to understand a function unit, or a declared unit. So, let us say kg of sachets per liter of shampoo. So, I declare that that is my functional unit.

Now, you can see that I had said this priorities they have already been populated over here. Because they are already populated over here you should not make any changes to this particular place, you should not also these are all formulas set over here. So, do not make any changes. Also this should not be a change because it is not here that you make the changes, you have to go to the appropriate slides where you make the changes after doing analysis. So, as we discussed we have eco, we have a life cycle design strategy evaluation step, so the when I do an evaluation over here.

(Refer Slide Time: 19:40)

	WENT	
B C D E F C H I J KLMNOP Q R S	U V X Y Z A AB X AD AE AFAGAN N, Y AD AP AQ ANAXXYYZ BHEBBCEDEE EFBGEN BILEKELBIENEOEPBGERESETBUINENENEYEZCACECCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	TOLOVOVOKOV
POLITECNICO DI MILANO	LIFE CYCLE DESIGN STRATEGIES PURSUING	
DIS Design and system Innovation for Sustaina	SIMPLIFIED EVALUATION	
USE INTENSIFICATIONEXTENSION	MATERIAL CONSUMPTION REDUCTION	
HIGH SCORE NO MPROVEMENT	Her score NORPOSIDENT	
DESIGNING AN APPROPRIATE LIFE SPAN	HIBMEDIA THE MATISTIKE CONTENT OF A PRODUCT	
DESIGNING RELIABILITY	New York NO WASTE	
ACUTATING RENEWABILITY AND ACAPTABILITY	MIMAGING THE PACIFICATION OF	
ACUT XTNG MANTENANCE	CHOOM THE MOST EFFCENT MUTALAL COMMPTON SYSTEM	
MPUPINGREPAR	ADDPTING RUDIELE WITTERN, CONDUCTION SYSTEM	
IMPUPYING REUSE	NEWARXIN WITTENA CONSUMPTON REPRODUCT DESIGN	
IMPUPING REFABILICATION	MATTERN LIFE EXTERSOL	
AT DISPOSE AND USE	HAM SCALE NORMONALINI	
ENERGY CONSUMPTION REDUCTION	ACCETTER A CALCACE MERCACH	
MEDUM SCORE NO MPROVIDAD	ADDRITING HISHRED CALLES INTERNS	
OPTIMENSIONERGY CONSUMPTION FOR FRICE PRICE AND PRODUCTION	SIRVEYING COLLECTOR AND TRANSPORTATION AFTE	
MINAZING TRANSPORTATION AND STOPPAGE CONSUMPTION	DOMPING THE MATCHALS	
HOOSING CONSUMPTION SYSTEMS MINIMAINIS/RESOURCES IN USE	VIEWASHIG THE MARKEN OF INCOMPARISED MATERIALS	
COPTING FLORE EMERGY SYSTEM	SPFF8GD/M6	
MINAZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN	serume connorme	
	SAFUFINICONDUTION	
TOWERT FREDECTION		
EDUCAD ENERGY RECORDERS TO JUST AND AND AND INFIS		
	DOWNLINE AND A VALUE OF A VA	
VORSE 10-22, NO IMPROVEMENT (0-510, INCREMENTAL INPR	ANNER IS-DUALKA, METAPAMATAN-IN SCORE 30. NO-REPORTED	
Guide LCD strategies FU&P+1 E	QA 1 E-QA 2 E-QA 3 E-QA 4 E-QA 5 E-QA 6 Radar E-IDEA 1 E-IDEA 2 E-IDEA 3 E-IDEA 4 E-IDEA 5 E-IDEA 6 SIMPLIFIED () : (

(Refer Slide Time: 19:42)



So say I want to do a simplified evaluation. So, when I change this criteria say I change this criteria to radical improvement. Now, let us go back to the functional unit and priorities.

(Refer Slide Time: 20:01)



So, when I go to this particular radar diagram I can select the evaluation level, because I change my data on the simplified level. So, when I select the level over here as simplified I get my data for use extension and intensification which I had said that I have got a good level of improvement. So, you can see this has been populated already a radical improvement in use intensification.

(Refer Slide Time: 20:27)

H41	 I × √ fx =IF(19="simplified",SIMPLIF 	IEDIR9.JF(I9="normal",NORMALIS9.JF(I9="dee	p",Summary!K9)))			•
	F	G	Н	1	J	K
25						
26		material life	extension			
27						
28	/ 7					
29						
30						
31						
32						
33						
34						
35	reduction					
36	reduction					
20						
38				-		
39	energy reduction	material reduction	use inten./ext.			
40	MEDIUM	HIGH	HIGH	7		
41	NO IMPROVEMENT	NO IMPROVEMENT	RADICAL IMPROVEMENT	_		
42	Start Guide LCD strategies FU&P+1 E-QA	1 E-QA 2 E-QA 3 E-QA 4 E-QA 5	E-QA 6 Radar E-IDEA 1 E-IDEA 2 E-IDEA 3	E-IDEA 4 E-IDEA 5 E	-IDEA 6 SIMPLI (+)	
	🕽 Type here to search 🛛 🔒 🛛) 🤮 🏦 🛱 🗳 🞑	🖬 🔋 🛛	Ŕ	^ 10 \$ ¢(of	ENG 07-06-2018

So, let us go to the eco ideas board.

(Refer Slide Time: 20:33)



In the eco ideas board you have 6 different eco ideas board. Each eco idea board is for one of the criteria that is used extension or intensification.

(Refer Slide Time: 20:48)



Again the same strategy is followed over here I am highlighted by this. I can go to the next board which is from material consumption and reduction. So, all your criteria you can also navigate through this board when you become more comfortable you will know which one to click.

So, you can see all the criteria that we discussed in the engineering design criteria. They are criterion guidelines, they are all listed over here and here you have a box for idea you can create more boxes for ideas that does not affect the program in any particular manner. This is just an idea board it does not transfer any information any data to any other sheet. What this sheet helps you is at a glance see to use intensification and extension I had given a high priority. So, I will have to have lots of ideas in a manner of criterion which I have high priority I should have more radical improvement.

Let us say I want to while I am trying to do generate ideas. I want to go back to my slide, this slide where I had done evaluation against that criteria you can always do that by using this particular channel. Unfortunately over here there is no way to go back to that particular in a board, so you have to do this. So, you can come here read here evaluation and again go back to this particular board and do this activity back and forth.

Also there is no relationship there is no sequential relationship that you have to do this EQ A 1 first and then EQ A 2, you can do it any sequence you can also do EQ A1 first and then you can go to the e idea one generation and start doing idea generation. So, it is not required to be sequential. So, here you can double click and try type your ideas, I can also copy paste this and I can have my idea 2 for this particular criteria.



(Refer Slide Time: 23:08)

Once done you will go to E idea 2, E idea 3.

(Refer Slide Time: 23:09)

		~ *	Ja																							
	8	C D	E	F	,G	н	<u>[]</u>	J	×	L	м	N	c	P	0	R	3	T	U	V	1	r	X	Y	Z	**
5-	POLITIC	ALCO UT MILA	10							Children of	EC	O-IDEAS BO	DARD													
2	013 011																									
			ю	NIMISE EP	IERGY CON	SUMPTIO	N DURIN	S PRODUCT	r USE										_							
																	-	_								
			ENAB				SATINGS		ENANCE																	
			DESIGN CO	ININIZE EI	NERGY COR	CORDING IN	N IN TRA	ASPORTAT	ING/STORAG	36																
			annunze i			W IN DRE		TION AND	PRODUCTI	ION																
											_	_														
	2	USE DIT.	IT.			IERCY RECU			z. 1																	
2		Pt HGH		Pt HSH		MEDIUM		Pt HGH	P.	LOW		P: NONE														
	1 Chard	Coide 1	CO dela	alor I E	10.0.1	5.011	1.5.01	2 1 5 0	1.2 5	014	010	15.014	Deda		A 1 E	IDEA 2	E IDEA				LE IDI		CINADI I	0		_
						C UN I		2 0.0		100.4	D-UM D-						E-IDEA	3 N E*ILA	714							

(Refer Slide Time: 23:11)

B C	DE	c g	н	. J	к	ι	M N		PO	R	8	T U	V	W	K Y	z
OLITECNICO DI	HILANO						ECO-IDEA	S BOARD								
0S Design and sy	item Innovation for Su	tainability				MA	TERIAL LIFE EX	TENTION								
	ISEE AND FACILITATE THE AER AND FACILITATE THE RECYCL FORESEE AND FACILIT SELECT MA	ADOP YOUING OF MATTERNA ATE THE EMERGY TERNALS WITH	T A CASCADE APPP ISALS WITHIN COMPON IS WITHIN COMPON RECOVERY (FROM IN MOST EFFECTIENT IN	IDACH ONENTS WITH LOWER A ATERDALS) THROUGH ECYCLING TECHNO	E MECHANICAL II ESTRETIC/VORM OUT COMBUSTIC LOGIES											
											-	-				
	EACILITATE DESIGN PRODUC	COLLECTION AN	ID TRANSPORTATE	IN OF DEDPOSED PI	NO SYSTEMS											
		MONIMUSE (HE	COUCTS AND MATERIA	IALS) WEIDHT B												
	NENEMESE THE NUMBER	IN NON-COMPA	TIBLE MATERIALS I THE QUANTERY OF M	AND/OR FACELETAT	E THEIR SEPAR	ATEON SEO										
		ANOID UNIT	ACILITATE CLEANER IECESSARY SURFACE	PINESHON) T HATTERLALS												
		COODY COMPONENT	INTS DRUCTLY IN A PV POLYMERS USING THETATE COMPOSE	KOULDING PROCESSE LASER. TMC		_		_								
		FAC	ILITATE COMBUST	10W		IDEA										
	6/7/0/T. No.751			NAT. LIPE	xt. T		405.00	715.								
C R	HGH Pt H	3H	PLMEOUM	Pt HOH	P.	LOW	Pt NON	ε								
Start Guide	ICD strategies	FURP+I	E-OA1	E-OA2 E-	0A3 F.	OA4 Fr	OAS Fr0	A.6 Rad	ar E-IDEA 1	E-IDEA 2	F-IDFA 3	FLIDEA A	UDEA S	F-IDFA 6 S	MPIL @	

And repeat this particular process.

(Refer Slide Time: 23:14)

B C D	E F	G H I	J	K L	M N	0 P	Q R	S T	UV	W X	Y 2	. AA
POLITECNICO DI MILA	10				ECO-IDEAS BOM	D						
BIS Design and system	nnovation for Sustainabil	y	RESC	URCES CONSERVATI	ON/BROCOMPATIBLE	IY						
	STLECT RENE	MABLE AND/OR BIO-CO	NPATUBLE MATER	IALS					1			
	SELECT RENEWAB SE SELECT ENERGY RESOL	E AND/OR BID-COMPA ECT RENEWABLE ENERGY ADOPT & CASCADE AP RCES WITH THE HIGHEST RELECT LOCAL ENERGY RE	TERLE ENERGY RE RESOURCES ROACH SECONDARY ORDE SOURCES	SOURCES R EFFECIENCY								
				IDEA								
	MT. HATERIAL RED. P: HGH	PEND RECC. PLNEDIJM	HAT: UPE EXT. P. HGH	Today Heave Pa LOW	TOUTY REPUG. PL NOVE							

Once you have generated all the ideas I can go back to home again then I will have my I can do this evaluation on simplified normal or deep. Let us see what simplified is? So, if you see this particular chart, here it has automatically populated what priority I had set for each of those criteria. So, I have high, high, medium, low and none.

(Refer Slide Time: 23:40)

	CIMPLIEVING DEFADICATION	
INTERSITYING USE HIGH ISGNTATION AND STORAGE CONSUMPTION REDUCTION REDUCTION FOR RE-PROD. NOD PRODUCTION SIGNAL PHROVEMENT ADOPTING A CASCADE APPROACH ADOPTING HIGH RECYCLABLE MATERIALS OTIMULTING GENERAVICABLE MATERIALS CHOOSING CONSUMPTION FOR RE-PROD. NOD PRODUCTION SIGNAL PHYSIC CONSUMPTION FOR RE-PROD. NOD PRODUCTION CHOOSING CONSUMPTION SYSTEMS MINIMIZING RESOURCES IN USE MINIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE MATERIALS CHOOSING CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIGNAL PHYSIC CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIGNAL PHYSIC COMPASTING LOW SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT RESOURCES CONSERVATION LOW SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT RESOURCES CONSERVATION LOW SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT REDUCTION CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIGNAL PHYSIC COMPASTING CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIGNAL PHYSIC COMPASTING COMPACT AND HARMFULNESS OF MATERIALS CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIGNAL PHYSIC COMPASTING COMPACT RESOURCES CONSERVATION LOW SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT RESOURCES CONSERVATION LOW SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT RESOURCES CONSERVATION CONSULTY AND HARMFULNESS OF MATERIALS CONSULT AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CONSULT AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CONSULTS BENEVABLE ENERGY RESOURCES TO APPRICABLES CONSULTS AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CONSULTS AND DESCRIPTION AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CONSULTS AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CONSULTS AND DESCRIPTION AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CONSULTS AND DESCRIPTION AND DESCRIPTION AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CONSULTS AND DESCRIPTION AND DESCRIPTION AND DESCRIPTION AND DESCRIP	SIPPLIFILING REPARKICATION	MATERIAL LIFE EXTENSION
ENERGY CONSUMPTION REDUCTION ADOPTING A CASCADE APPROACH NEDIUM SCORE: RADICAL IMPROVEMENT ADOPTING HER RECYCLABLE MATERIALS OPTINUIZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION FOR PRE-PROD. AND PRODUCTION SIMPLIFYING COLECTION AND TRANSPORTATION AF MINIMIZING TRANSPORTATION AND STORAGE CONSUMPTION DEMITYRING COLECTION AND TRANSPORTATION AF CHOOSING CONSUMPTION FOR PRE-PROD. AND PRODUCTION SIMPLIFYING COLECTION AND TRANSPORTATION AF CHOOSING CONSUMPTION WORD RESOURCES IN USE MINIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE MATERIALS ADOPTING FLEXIBLE ENERGY SYSTEM SIMPLIFYING CLEANING NINIMIZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIMPLIFYING COMPOSITING TOXICITY REDUCTION SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT LOW SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT REDUCING TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OF MATERIALS' NORE ² Transpondent for an room SCORE: NOT APPLICABLE _ CONSURTS CHOOSING ENERGY RESOURCES TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OPTINIZING EDICOMPATIBLITY AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CHOOSING ENERGY RESOURCES TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OPTINIZING ENERGY RESOURCES SORCES	INTENSIFYING USE	HIGH SCORE: WORSE
NEDILIM SCORE: RADICAL IMPROVEMENT ADOPTING HIGH REF.YELLALS OPTIMIZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION FOR PRE-PROD. AND PRODUCTION SIMPLIFYING COLLECTION AND TRANSPORTATION AFI NINIMIZING TRANSPORTATION AND STORAGE CONSUMPTION DENTIFYING THE MATERIALS CHOOSING CONSUMPTION SYSTEMS MINIMIZING RESOURCES IN USE MINIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE MATERIALS ADOPTING RESIDE ENERGY SYSTEM SIMPLIFYING CLEANING NINIMIZING SHERRY CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIMPLIFYING CLEANING TOXICITY REDUCTION SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT RESOURCES CONSERVATION SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT REDUCTIVATION HARMPULNESS OF MATERIALS' NORE ² If not specified as to train of SCORE: NOT APPLICABLE REDUCTIVE AND HARMPULNESS OPTIMIZING BLOCOMPATIBLITY AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CHOOSING RENEWABLE AND EDIO-COMPATIBLE DERGY RESOURCES	ENERGY CONSUMPTION REDUCTION	ADOPTING A CASCADE APPROACH
OPTINIZING BIERGY CONSUMPTION FOR PRE-PROD. AND PRODUCTION SIMPLIFYING COLLECTION AND TRANSPORTATION AFF NININIZING TRANSPORTATION AND STORAGE CONSUMPTION ID DEVITIFYING THE MATERIALS CHOOSING CONSUMPTION SYSTEMS NININIZING RESOURCES IN USE MINIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE MATERIALS ADOPTING REVERLE ENERGY SYSTEM SIMPLIFYING CLEANING ADOPTING REVERLE ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIMPLIFYING COMPOSITING INTIMIZING BIERGY CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIMPLIFYING COMPOSITING INTIMIZING BIERGY CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIMPLIFYING COMPOSITING INTIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE ON IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIMPLIFYING COMPOSITING INTIMIZING BIERGY ENCOUNCES ON ATTERIALS INTIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE ON IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIMPLIFYING COMPOSITING INTIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE ON IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIMPLIFYING COMPOSITING INTIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPOSITING INTIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE ON IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIMPLIFYING COMPOSITING INTIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPOSITING INTIMIZED OF INCOMPOSITING SIGN PRODUCT DESIGN INTIMIZED DESIGN SIGNAL AS UNKNOR SCORE: NOT APPLICABLE INTIMIZED OF INTIMIZED DESIGN SIGNAL AS UNKNOR SCORE: NOT APPLICABLE INTIMIZED OF INTIMIZED BLOCHOPATIBLE DEREGY RESOURCES TOXICITY AND HARMPULNESS OPTIMIZEN BLOCHOPATIBLE AND BLOC-COMPATIBLE DEREGY RESOURCES INTIMIZED BLOCHOPATIBLE DEREGY RESOURCES SUBJECT AND HARMPULNESS OPTIMIZEN BLOCHOPATIBLE AND BLO-COMPATIBLE DEREGY RESOURCES	MEDIUM SCORE: RADICAL IMPROVEMENT	ADOPTING HIGH RECYCLABLE MATERIALS
NIXINIZING TRANSPORTATION AND STORAGE CONSUMPTION IDENTIFYING THE MATERIALS CHOOSING CONSUMPTION SYSTEMS NIXINIZING RESOURCES IN USE INIXIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE MATERIALS ADOPTING REXIBLE ENERGY SYSTEM SIMPLIFYING CLEANING MINIMIZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIMPLIFYING COMPOSITING INIXIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE OPERATION IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIMPLIFYING COMPOSITING INIXIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE OPERATION INIXIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE OPERATION REDUCTION INIXIZING THE NUMBER OF PRODUCES TOXICITY AND HARMPULNESS OPTIMIZING BIOCOMPATIBLITY AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CHOOSING RENEWABLE AND BIO-COMPATIBLE DERGY RESOURCES	OPTIMIZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION FOR PRE-PROD. AND PRODUCTION	SIMPLIFYING COLLECTION AND TRANSPORTATION AFT
CHOOSING CONSUMPTION SYSTEMS MINIMIZING RESOURCES IN USE MINIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE MATERIALS ADOPTING REVIEWE ENERGY SYSTEM SIMPLIFYING COMPATIBLE COMPATIBLE MATERIALS ADOPTING REVIEWE ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIMPLIFYING COMPATIBLE COMPATIBLE COMPATIBLE MATERIALS INVESTIGN INVESTI	MINIMIZING TRANSPORTATION AND STORAGE CONSUMPTION	IDENTIFYING THE MATERIALS
ADOPTING R_EXIBLE ENERGY SYSTEM SIMPLIFYING CLEANING NIXINIZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIMPLIFYING COMPOSITING TOXICITY REDUCTION LOW SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT RESOURCES CONSERVATION REDUCING TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OF MATERIALS REDUCING RESORRESY RESOURCES TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OF TIMIZING BIOCOMPATIBILITY AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CHOOSING RENEWABLE AND BIO-COMPATIBILE ENERGY RESOURCES	CHOOSING CONSUMPTION SYSTEMS MINIMIZING RESOURCES IN USE	MINIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE MATERIALS
NINIMIZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN SIMPLIFYING COMPOSITING TOXICITY REDUCTION LOW SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT RESOURCES CONSERVATION REDUCING TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OF MATERIALS REDUCING ENERGY RESOURCES TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OFTIMIZING BIOCOMPATIBILITY AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CHOOSING REIEWABLE AND BIO-COMPATIBILE ENERGY RESOURCES	ADOPTING FLEXIBLE ENERGY SYSTEM	SIMPLIFYING CLEANING
TOXICITY REDUCTION SIMPLIFYING COMBUSTION LOW SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT RESOURCES CONSERVATION REDUCING TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OF MATERIALS* NORE ²⁰ If not updrade data to strategie SCORE: NOT APPLICABLE - REDUCING TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OPTIMIZING BLOCOMPATIBILITY AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CHOOSING REIEWABLE AND BLO-COMPATIBILE DERGY RESOURCES CHOOSING REIEWABLE AND BLO-COMPATIBLE DERGY RESOURCES	MINIMIZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN	SIMPLIFYING COMPOSTING
IOXICITY REDUCTION LOW SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT RESOURCES CONSERVATION REDUCING TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OF MATERIALS' NORE ² If you speciade dids to us Kore SCORE: NOT APPLICABLE REDUCING ENERGY RESOURCES TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OTTIXIZING BLOCOMPATIBLILTY AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CHOOSING REIEVABLE AND BLO-COMPATIBLE ENERGY RESOURCES		SIMPLIFYING COMBUSTION
EDUCING TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OF MATERIALS EDUCING TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OF MATERIALS EDUCING ENERGY RESOURCES TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OPTIMIZING BLOCOMPATIBILITY AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CHOOSING RENEWABLE AND BLO-COMPATIBLE ENERGY RESOURCES	TOXICITY REDUCTION	
REDUCING TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OF MATERIALS' NORE I'VITY represented as the writing Score: NOT APPLICABLE = REDUCING ENERGY RESOURCES TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OPTIMIZING ENDCOMPATIBILITY AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CHOOSING RENEWABLE AND BIO-COMPATIBLE ENERGY RESOURCES	LOW SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT	RESOURCES CONSERVATION
REDUCING ENERGY RESOURCES TOXICITY AND HARMPULNESS OPTIMIZING BIOCOMPATIBILITY AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS CHOOSING RENEWABLE AND BIO-COMPATIBLE ENERGY RESOURCES	REDUCING TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OF MATERIALS'	NONE ²² If not applicable click to set NONE SCORE: NOT APPLICABLE -
CHOOSING RENEWABLE AND BIO-COMPATIBLE ENERGY RESOURCES	REDUCING ENERGY RESOURCES TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS	OPTIMIZING BIOCOMPATIBILITY AND CONSERVATION OF MATERIALS
		CHOOSING RENEWABLE AND BIO-COMPATIBLE ENERGY RESOURCES
	WORSE: 0%-25%; NO IMPROVEMENT: 26%-50%; INCREMENTAL IMPROVEM	ENTIST%-75%; RADICAL IMPROVEMENT:76%- SCORE: 59% INCREMENTAL IMPROVEMENT
WORSE: 0%-25%) NO THPROVEMENT 25%-50%; INCREMENTAL THPROVEMENT: 31%-75%; RADICAL THPROVEMENT: 76%- SCORE: 59% INCREMENTAL THPROVEMENT		
TOTAL OF THE OWNER AND THE OWNER		

Now, what I can do is I can say what kind of improvement I have brought in.

(Refer Slide Time: 23:43)

POLITECNICO DI MILANO	LIFE CYCLE DESIGN STRATEGIES PURSUING					
DIS Design and system Innovation for Sustainability	SIMPLIFIED EVALUATION					
USE INTENSIFICATION/EXTENSION	MATERIAL CONSUMPTION REDUCTION					
HIGH SCORE: RADICAL IMPROVEMENT	HIGH SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT					
DESIGNING AN APPROPRIATE LIFE SPAN WORSE WORSE	MINIMIZING THE MATERIAL CONTENT OF A PRODUCT					
DESIGNING RELIABILITY INCREMENTAL IMPROVEMENT	MINIMIZING SCRAPS AND WASTE					
FACILITATING RENEWABILITY AND ADAPTABILITY	MINIMIZING THE PACKAGING					
FACILITATING MAINTENANCE	CHOOSING THE MOST EFFICIENT MATERIAL CONSUMPTION SYSTEM					
SIMPLIFYING REPAIR	ADOPTING FLEXIBLE MATERIAL CONSUMPTION SYSTEM					
SIMPLIFYING RE-USE	MINIMIZING MATERIAL CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN					
SIMPLIFYING REFABRICATION						
INTENSIFYING USE						
ENERGY CONSUMPTION REDUCTION						
MEDIUM SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT	ADOPTING HIGH RECYCLABLE MATERIALS					
OPTIMIZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION FOR PRE-PROD. AND PRODUCTION	SIMPLIFYING COLLECTION AND TRANSPORTATION AF					
MINIMIZING TRANSPORTATION AND STORAGE CONSUMPTION	IDENTIFYING THE MATERIALS					
CHOOSING CONSUMPTION SYSTEMS MINIMIZING RESOURCES IN USE	MINIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE MATERIALS					
ADOPTING FLEXIBLE ENERGY SYSTEM	SIMPLIFYING CLEANING					

You really do not need to populate anything for these things. So, you are doing a very simple analysis, you have doing an overview analysis. This one is a very qualitative analysis, and very overview level, you just do not think too much into depth of each and every component, you are also not getting anything in terms of numbers.

(Refer Slide Time: 24:14)

BCDEFCHIJKLMNOPQRST	U V X Y Z A AB A AD AE AFAGAK AL AO AP AQ AAWAXA					
POLITECNICO DI MILANO	LIFE CYCLE DESIGN STRATEGIES PURSUING					
DIS Design and system Innovation for Sustainability	SIMPLIFIED EVALUATION					
USE INTENSIFICATION/EXTENSION	MATERIAL CONSUMPTION REDUCTION					
HIGH SCORE: RADICAL IMPROVEMENT	HIGH SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT -					
DESIGNING AN APPROPRIATE LIFE SPAN	MINIMIZING THE MATERIAL CONTENT OF A PROVINCE WORKE					
DESIGNING RELIABILITY	MINIMIZING SCRAPS AND WASTE INCREMENTAL IMPROVEMENT					
FACILITATING RENEWABILITY AND ADAPTABILITY	MINIMIZING THE PACKAGING					
FACILITATING MAINTENANCE	CHOOSING THE MOST EFFICIENT MATERIAL CONSUMPTION SYSTEM ADOPTING FLEXIBLE MATERIAL CONSUMPTION SYSTEM					
SIMPLIFYING REPAIR						
SIMPLIFYING RE-USE	MINIMIZING MATERIAL CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN					
SIMPLIFYING REFABRICATION						
INTENSIFYING USE	HIGH SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT					
ENERGY CONSUMPTION REDUCTION	ADOPTING A CASCADE APPROACH					
MEDIUM SCORE: NO IMPROVEMENT	ADOPTING HIGH RECYCLABLE MATERIALS					
OPTIMIZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION FOR PRE-PROD. AND PRODUCTION	SIMPLIFYING COLLECTION AND TRANSPORTATION AF					
MINIMIZING TRANSPORTATION AND STORAGE CONSUMPTION	IDENTIFYING THE MATERIALS					
CHOOSING CONSUMPTION SYSTEMS MINIMIZING RESOURCES IN USE	MINIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE MATERIALS					
ADOPTING FLEXIBLE ENERGY SYSTEM	SIMPLIFYING CLEANING					
Guide ICD strategies, FIIRPAL F.OA.1 F.OA.2 F.OA.3 F.OA.4 F.OA.5 F.OA.6	Radar FJDEA 1 FJDEA 2 FJDEA 3 FJDEA 4 FJDEA 5 FJDEA 6 SIMPLIFIED @ :					

(Refer Slide Time: 24:18)



So, let me say I got radical improvement over there; I got incremental improvement here, I got worse over here which is not very a good situation where you have given high priority. Then I say this was radical improvement then I say I brought in no improvement in this particular case you can say not applicable. So, not applicable can be used only when you have none over here. In case if you have put anything other than none over here, you should not say not applicable. Then I will again go back to home and I will go click on my radar diagram.

(Refer Slide Time: 24:55)



Here at that level, so here at level you select simplified because I have done my simplified evaluation. So, if against each of them you can see if there was a priority high. So, this outside circle is meant for priority high, the inside circle is meant for priority medium, here I have low priority, and here I have low prior ok. Sorry I made a mistake.

So, these circles are meant to show what is the improvement level which has been brought in. So, the outside circle tells about in a very radical improvement, the next layer of circle tells you incremental improvement, this one tells that there has been no improvement, and this one is for getting things worse.

(Refer Slide Time: 25:53)



So, you can see that, so if you see here for use intensification you had given high priority and you have got radical improvement. So, if I go to use extension I had a given high priority, and on that I have got a radical improvement. So, my radar diagram is somewhere over here. In case of material reduction I had high and incremental improvement so my radar diagram is at this particular point. Say for example, for the resource conservation which is over here, I had said it is applicable and I had given low priority also.

So, as a result this radar diagram pointer is at the center because it is not applicable, so you get a very visual picture for showing the qualitative comparison, if we go back to home again. Because now we want to do now we want to do a life cycle design evaluation on this normal.

(Refer Slide Time: 26:50)

			AF 044 14			
POLITECNICO DI MILANO	<u></u>		CALL FOR THE TRATECIES IN			
DIS Design and system Inno	vation for Sustainability	Un	NORMAL EV	ALUATION		
E INTENSIFICATIONEXTENSION		MATERIAL CONSUMPTION RED	UCTION	~		
HOH		HDH	50000 300 NOVPODER			
ORIEANIMATORIA	Northigen	PERMISSION THE HARDWAL TOWNER OF APARQUET	NOPPOID OF			
OWENERABLET	KIPHIDER	PROPERTIAL	NOPPODER			
LATHERDRY MUTHIC REPTINCT	NIFFEDER	PRIMICIPALITICALIZATIO	NORPHOTO-CH			
LARMENANTENING	NUMBER	040004074E 40272740.007943344, 00421473042	124 NO440044			
ALIAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	Norrigen	ADDATERS/TERITORIAL CONTINUES TOW DISTOR	80441040-0x7			
CENTREME VOI	Nerroper	NUMBER AND A CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR	80491010-EN			
UTPLATURENTON	NIFFEDER	MATERIAL LIFE EXTENSION		*		
orpea	Kimaber	HOH	NONE DIST REPORTED	•		
ERGY CONSUMPTION REDUCTION		ADOPTIPEASADORECEPTEDADA	ROMANDON.			
MEDIUM	A 10 NORTHOGO \$	ADDPTITE COLORIDATION AND ADDRESS	NORMOUNT			
HONODENET CONTRACTOR FOR FOR FOR FOR FOR THE PRODUCTION	North Des	THRUP THE COULD TRANSPORTATION OF TRANSPORTATION OF TRANSPORT	surrouper			
NONE TAKEN OF MICHANO JIWANG LUNCONFION	NITTIOOT	CONTRACTAL NUTRIELS	NORMOUNT.			
	NAME OF COLUMN	THE ENGLISHED FOR THE PARTY OF	Electron of the			
Index design conclusive home had a children	NUTRIDOT	Det Contractions	NINNODE			
		Der Provide Der Britten	NIPPLICAS			
MICITY REDUCTION		*				
004 10		HE SCUHLES CURSERVATION		and and a second		
	NAME OF COLUMN			7		
			New York			
			The Internation			
VINCE WOLLIN ANY AVERED AN		SCHOLER MONTHERED STATES AND	as marchan			
			0			

(Refer Slide Time: 26:52)

P		ĸ	0		/ ^	T Z	. AJ	AD	7 AL			FAG	AN	LJA
	DIS Design and system Innovat	ion	for Sustainability											
	USE INTENSIFICATION/EXTENSION			NOT APPLICABLE	MA	TERI/	AL CO	DNSU	мрті	ON	RED	UCT	ION	
	HIGH SCORE:	73%	INCREMENTAL IMPROVEMENT	1		HIGH							SC	DRE: 38
	DESIGNING AN APPROPRIATE LIFE SPAN		RADICAL IMPROVEMENT		MINIM	IZING '	THE MA	TERIAL	CONTENT	TOF	A PROD	U		
	DESIGNING RELIABILITY		WORSE		MINIM	IZING	SCRAPS	AND W	ASTE					
	FACILITATING RENEWABILITY AND ADAPTABILITY		INCREMENTAL IMPROVEMENT		MINIM	IZING	THE PA	CKAGINO	;					
	FACILITATING MAINTENANCE		RADICAL IMPROVEMENT		CHOO	SING TH	IE MOS	T EFFICI	ENT MAT	TERI/	L CON	SUMPTI	ION SY	STEM
	SIMPLIFYING REPAIR		RADICAL IMPROVEMENT		ADOP	TING FLI	EXIBLE	MATERI	AL CONS	SUMP	TON S	/STEM		
	SIMPLIFYING RE-USE		NOT APPLICABLE		MINIM	IZING	MATERI	AL CONS	UMPTIO	N IN	PRODU	с		
	SIMPLIFYING REFABRICATION	(RADICAL IMPROVEMENT	Þ	MA	TERI/	AL LI	FE E)	TENS	510	N			
	INTENSIFYING USE		RADICAL IMPROVEMENT			HIGH							SC	DRE: 38
	ENERGY CONSUMPTION REDUCTION			NOT APPLICABLE	ADOP	TING A	CASCAL	DE APPRO	ACH					
	MEDIUM SCORE:	38%	NO IMPROVEMENT	0	ADOP	TING HI	GH REC	YCLABLE	MATER	IALS				
	OPTIMIZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION FOR PRE-PROD. AND PRODUCTION		NO IMPROVEMENT		SIMPL	IFYING	COLLEC	TION AP	ID TRAN	ISPOF	TATIO	N AFTE	R USE	
	MINIMIZING TRANSPORTATION AND STORAGE CONSUMPTION		NO IMPROVEMENT		IDENT	IFYING	THE MA	TERIAL	5					

(Refer Slide Time: 26:54)



So, in case of simplified what you saw? You had the criteria, so I have my criteria I have all my guidelines and I am not scoring against each guidelines. I am scoring at and an overall basis. In normal what you try to do is you try to score each and every sub criteria also. So, say I got radical improvement here, I got worse over here, I became incremental improvement, I have radical improvement, I have got again radical improvement, I got not applicable in this context, worse, and no improvement. So, here you can see you have got 55 percent, say if I made it radical improvement, radical improvement it already increase to 73 percent.

(Refer Slide Time: 27:53)

BCDEF(HIJKLMNOP)	R	S	_ T U \	V X Y Z A AB / AD AE AFAGAK AL	/ AC
OPTIMIZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION FOR PRE PROD. AND PRODUCTION		NO IMPROVEMENT		SIMPLIFYING COLLECTION AND TRANSPORTATION AFTER USE	
MINIMIZING TRANSPORTATION AND STORAGE CONSUMPTION		NO IMPROVEMENT		IDENTIFYING THE MATERIALS	
CHOOSING CONSUMPTION SYSTEMS MINIMIZING RESOURCES IN USE		NO IMPROVEMENT		MINIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE MATERIAL	
ADOPTING FLEXIBLE ENERGY SYSTEM		NO IMPROVEMENT		SIMPLIFYING CLEANING	
MINIMIZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN		NO IMPROVEMENT		SIMPLIFYING COMPOSITING	
TOXICITY REDUCTION			NUI	SIMPLIFYING COMBUSTION	Ro
LOW SCORF: 2	18%	NO IMPROVEMENT	ANY ICAR/F	RESOURCES CONSERVATION	
REDUCING TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OF MATERIALS		NO IMPROVEMENT		NONE SCOR	E: 369
REDUCING ENERGY RESOURCES TOXICITY AND HARMFULNES		NO IMPROVEMENT		OPTIMIZING BIOCOMPATIBILITY AND CONSERVATION OF MATERI	AL
_				CHOOSING RENEWABLE AND BLO COMPATIBLE ENERGY RESOURCE	25
WORSE: 0%-25%: NO IMPROVEMENT:26%-50%:	INCRE	MENTAL IMPROVEMEN	T:51%-75%;	RADICAL IMPROVEMENT:76%-100% SCORE: 47%	Т
					-

Now, how do you qualify that one? So, here there is a score chart it says if your score is between 76 to 100 percent, you can put it as radical improvement. So, here you can see my score is 73 percent, so it is a incremental improvement, say I change it to radical improvement. So, automatically because it became 75 percent here, score over here overall score got to radical improve. So, in normal mode you are doing at the sub strategy level as well.

So, you repeat the process for each and every criterion, then when I go back to home let us go back to the radar I will select over here normal. So, I will get a different kind of radar be careful because in this particular context, you can see that by default all of them are filled with no improvement. So, that is why no improvement, so by default values are already filled. So, even when you go to this radar for normal you will always see that if you have not filled something it is at this particular level. So do not get confused because it will happen, so because it is automatically filled with no improvement everywhere. Then let us see the deep evaluation.

(Refer Slide Time: 29:23)



So, the deep evaluation as the name suggests is really in depth evaluation. So, for use intensification you have one chart. So, you have 6 different charts for this one chart for each criterion, so then I have my sub criteria, designing an appropriate lifespan, designing reliability. So, all my sub criteria's are mentioned then my questions are mentioned. So are all life one identical for all products? So, I can say yes, I can say

partially, I can say no. So, if you insert one in the checkbox to select yes then it means you are saying you are giving score to 1 yes, so I said 1 over here. So, you can see that total answers and the percentage of yes is 25 percent.

Let us say this one was completed partially only this was completed at the done yes and here I have a no. So, now you have total answers. So, you can say applicable checklist is 4, total given answers is 4, so this should tally once they tally you know that you have not made any error. You cannot have yes and partially yes in case you do something of that you have this missing answers 1 minus 1. So, your number of questions and total given answer should match. Now I know that I have got 50 percent on yes, partially on 25 percent and no 25 percent.

(Refer Slide Time: 30:58)

	Insert "1" in the check-box to select either YES, PARTIALLY, NO or NOT APPLICABLE	
DESIGNING AN APPROPRIATE LIFE SPAN	NOT YES PARTIALLY NO APPLICABLE	
1> Are all life span identical for all product pieces?	1	
2> Has the life span of the parts been planned for replacen	nent after a determined time of use?	
3> Do the materials used match the performance required b	ty the life span of the product?	
4> How have been avoided the use of permanent materials	for temporary functions?	
applicable checklists:[4]	Total answers : 2 1 1	
tot. given answers: 4	Percentage : 50% 25% 25%	
missing answers: 0	(Total / Replies X 100)	
DESIGNING RELIABILITY	NOT YES PARTIALLY NO APPLICABLE	
1> Have the number of parts been minimized?		
2> Has the product been simplified as much as possible?	1	
3> Have weak connections been avoided?		
applicable checklists: 3	Total answers : 3 0 0	
tot. given answers: 3	Percentage : 100% 0% 0%	_
missing answers:	(Totar / Repres A 100)	ц.
CONTRACT CONTRACT CONTRACT CONTRACT OF CONTRACT.	EDUC. MAT. LIFE EXT. TOXITY REDUC. RES. CONS. SUMMARY NONE	

Again let us fill up this one. So, once this whole chart is filled up, we can go to the next chart.

(Refer Slide Time: 31:10)

DIS Design and system Innovation for Sustainability	01/02 - USE EXTENSION/INTENSIFIC	ATION
	lacent "I" in the check-box to select either YES, PARTIALLY, NO or NOT A	PRICABLE
FACILITATING RENEWABILITY AND ADAPTABILITY	yes partially no	NOT
1) Has on place replacing, updating software parts been simplified?		
2> Has on place replacing, updating hardware parts been simplified?		
3) Has the product been designed modularly and is it reconfigurable to	suit different surroundings?	
4> Has the product been designed to be reconfigurable and/or multifun individual usage?	tional to suit the evolution of	
5> Has on place product upgrading and adaptability been simplified?		
6> Are equipment and quide books issued with the product to facilitate	pgrading and adaptability?	
applicable checklists 6	Total answers : 0 0 0	
tot given answers:	Penzenlage: or or or	
missing answers: 🚯	(Total / Replec X DD)	

So, you can see there is an arrow over here. It takes you to the, because there are lots of sub criterion each one of them needs to be met.

(Refer Slide Time: 31:16)

	œ X
A1 · I × ✓ fr	۷
C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z AAABACADAEAFAGAHAIAJAKALAWAN AG 19 1> Has on place replacing, updating software parts been simplified?	D AP AQ AR AS AT AU AVAWAXAYAZBABBBCBDBE -
21 2> Has on place replacing, updating hardware parts been simplified?	
23 23 3> Has the product been designed modularly and is it reconfigurable to suit different surroundings?	
4> Has the product been designed to be reconfigurable and/or multifunctional to suit the evolution of individual usage?	
28 5> Has on place product upgrading and adaptability been simplified?	
 6> Are equipment and guide books issued with the product to facilitate upgrading and adaptability? 	
31	
33 applicable checklists: 6 Total answers : 0 34	0 0
35 tot. given answers: 0 Percentage : 09 36 (Total / Renties X 100)	6 0% 0%
37 missing answers: 6	
	Ers. Cons. Nove
58 59	
• E-QAT E-QAZ E-QA3 E-QA4 E-QA5 E-QA6 Radar E-IDEA1 E-IDEA2 E-IDEA3 E-IDEA4 E-IDEA6 SM	PLINED NORMAL Summary EP1 EP2 ⊕ ; <
📲 🔾 Type here to search 🛛 🕹 🛄 😁 🏢 🔛 🔛 🔛 🔛 🔛	x ² ∧ 10 x2 40 d ¹⁰ ENG 07-06-2018

So, in this particular case rather than going to this board, if you got is this board you will go to the next criteria you will not be going to the next sub criteria. So, you should click on these arrows, this showing me that there is one sheet or maybe more sheets over here. This shows that I have more sheets on this direction.

(Refer Slide Time: 31:38)

			🖲 X
	A1 • : × ✓ fr		•
	A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z AABACADAEAFAGA	IN AJAKALAMAN AO AP AQ AR AS AT AU AVANAKAYAZBABBBCEDEEEFBGEHBIBJBKELBHENBOBPBO	BRBSBTBUBNBNBNBTBZCACBCCCCCECFCCCH-CICUCXCLCNCNCCCPCCCRCSCTCLCVCNCNCYCZDAE
	POLITECNICO DI MILANO	Checkist	
	5 DIS Desion and system Innovation for Sustainability	01/07 - USE EXTENSION/INTENSIFICATION	
	7 8 9 8	check box to select either YES, PARTALLY, NO er/IOT APPSCABLE	
	90 11 17		
	0 4		
	17 INTENSIFYING USAGE	801	
	79 70 70 Nes the production-vice been designed for a shared usage?		
	27 20 Hes the product been designed multifunctional with interchangeable shared components? 37		
	24 D Has the product been designed for integrated functions?		
	Ko Has the product been or its parts designed for on separation / Si Has the product been or its parts designed for on supply production?		
	29 30 11 annishie chestiev 10	And answer:	
	22 33 At pien annes 1	Anomage: in in in	
	5 mining annen 13	(Tool/Hyder/100)	
	17 13 19		
	6 (1)		
	2 5 1		
COAS EOAS	8 8 7		
c → _ EQAS EQAS EMM EUCAT EDGAS EUCAS EDGAS EDGAS EDGAS SMELHED NOMAL Sammary [P] [P2 [P] [P4 [P5 [P5 [P7 [N1]	22 C ?	in the second	
・・			
*) … EQAS EQAS EXDEAT EXD	8 17		
• = EQAS EQAS EQAS EXAS EXCAS E	29 99 90		
・	51 127		
 ・	54 55		
 ・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・	50 17 20		
・	99 70		
	22		
・ EQAS EQAS EQAS EXDEAT EXDEAT EXDEAT EXDEAT EXDEAT EXDEAT EXDEAT SUPPLIED MORIANL Summary BP B2 B9 B4 B5 B6 <u>B7</u> RM _ ④ (.)	74		
🛱 🔿 Type here to search 🛛 🔂 🔁 🚔 🔚 🌳 🛄 🚘 🦻 💶 📌 🖉 🖈 🖉	+ E-QA 5 E-QA 6 Radar E-IDEA 1 E-IDEA 2 E-IDEA	E-IDEA 4 E-IDEA 5 E-IDEA 6 SIMPLIFIED NORMAL Summary EP1	EP2 EP3 EP4 EP5 EP6 EP7 RM1 🕀 : 4 🕨
	🚦 🔘 Type here to search 🛛 🔒 🔲 🤮	前 🔚 🖈 🛄 😭 😰 🗵	유 🔨 🖬 🂠 여) 🖉 ENG 👖 1050

So, when all my sheets are completed, you do not have anything over here. Then you can go to the next one and similar strategy will be followed.

(Refer Slide Time: 31:47)

R9	• × ✓ £		
A	BCDEF(HIJKLMNOPG	F <u>ST</u> UNWX	(YZAA ABAC/AE AFAGAHAIAJAKALAMANAOA
4 5 0	DIS Design and system Innovation for Sustai	nability	
7	USE INTENSIFICATION/EXTENSION	Strategy achievement	MATERIAL CONSUMPTION REDUCTION S
9	HIGH WORSE	19% 3% 3%	HIGH WORSE
11	DESIGNING AN APPROPRIATE LIFE SPAN	50% 25% 25%	MINIMIZING THE MATERIAL CONTENT OF A PRODUCT
13	DESIGNING RELIABILITY	100% 0% 0%	MINIMIZING SCRAPS AND WASTE
15	FACILITATING RENEWABILITY AND ADAPTABILITY	0% 0% 0%	MINIMIZING THE PACKAGING
17	FACILITATING MAINTENANCE	0% 0% 0%	CHOOSING THE MOST EFFICIENT MATERIAL CONSUMPTION SYSTEM
19	SIMPLIFYING REPAIR	0% 0% 0%	ADOPTING FLEXIBLE MATERIAL CONSUMPTION SYSTEM
21	SIMPLIFYING RE-USE	0% 0% 0%	MINIMIZING MATERIAL CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN
23	SIMPLIFYING REFABRICATION	0% 0% 0%	MATERIAL LIFE EXTENSION S
25	INTENSIFYING USE	0% 0% 0%	
27		Strategy achievement	
29		YES PARTIALLY NO	
30	MEDIUM WORSE	0% 0% 0%	ADOPTING HIGH RECYCLABLE MATERIALS
32	OPTIMIZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION FOR PP AND P	0% 0% 0%	SIMPLIFYING COLLECTION AND TRANSPORTATION AFTER USE
34	MINIMIZING TRANSPORTATION AND STORAGE CONSUMPTION	0% 0% 0%	IDENTIFYING THE MATERIALS
36	CHOOSING CONSUMPTION SYSTEMS MINIMIZING RESOURCES IN USE	0% 0% 0%	MINIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE MATERIALS
38	ADOPTING FLEXIBLE ENERGY SYSTEM E-OA 5 E-OA 6 Radar E-IDEA 1 E-IDEA 2 E-IDEA 3 E-IDEA 4 E-IDEA 5 1	0% 0% 0% HDEA 6 SIMPLIFIED NORMAL	SUMPITEVING CI FANING Summary EP1 EP2 EP3 EP4 EP5 EP6 EP7 RM1 () : (
	🕽 Type here to search 🛛 🖟 🔲 🗧 🚔	🕻 🖻 😰 💴	유 ⁴ 스 KD 랴 41) d ⁶ ENG 1750 🖣

Once you have completed everything you can go to the summary sheet. So, here the data is automatically populated, so you can see because I filled up something over these places. So, automatically it is saying because there is 19 percent yes, partially is 3 percent and no is 3 percent. It is giving a judgment that it is worsening of the situation. Again you repeat the same process.

(Refer Slide Time: 32:11)

R9	- i × √ ∦		Æ X
A 4	BCDEFCHIJKLMNOPQ	FSTUVW	X Y Z AA AB AC / AE AF AGAHAIAJAK A^
32	OPTIMIZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION FOR PP AND P	0% 0% 0%	SIMPLIFYING COLLECTION AND TRANSPORTATION AFTER
33 34	MINIMIZING TRANSPORTATION AND STORAGE CONSUMPTION	0% 0% 0%	IDENTIFYING THE MATERIALS
35 36	CHOOSING CONSUMPTION SYSTEMS MINIMIZING RESOURCES IN USE	0% 0% 0%	MINIMIZING THE NUMBER OF INCOMPATIBLE MATERIALS
37 38	ADOPTING FLEXIBLE ENERGY SYSTEM	0% 0% 0%	SIMPLIFYING CLEANING
39 40	MINIMIZING ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN PRODUCT DESIGN	0% 0% 0%	SIMPLIFYING COMPOSTING
41 42 43	TOXICITY REDUCTION	Strategy achievement	SIMPLIFYING COMBUSTION
44 45	LOW WORSE	YES PARTIALLY NO	RESOURCES CONSERVATION/BIOCOMPATIBILITY
40 47	REDUCING TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS OF MATERIALS	0% 0% 0%	NONE
40 49	REDUCING ENERGY RESOURCES TOXICITY AND HARMFULNESS	0% 0% 0%	OPTIMIZING BIOCOMPATIBILITY AND CONSERVATION OF
50			CHOOSING RENEWABLE AND BIO-COMPATIBLE ENERGY R
52 53 54 55	WORSE: 0%+25%; NO IMPROVEMENT:26%+50%; INCREMENTAL I	MPROVEMENT:51%-75%; RADIO	CAL IMPROVEMENT:75%-100% SC
50 57 58			
59 60			
61 62		SMOLEED NOOMAL Summary	ED1 ED2 ED3 ED4 ED5 ED6 ED7 DM1 0 .
) Type here to search		ው በአድር ውን ውቅ ውን ውን ውስ ውስ መሆ ው ያ ላ እ

So, once this whole chart is complete, you go to the radar diagram, here you can select deep and you can do the analysis. In this particular context everything is set to $0 \ 0$ percent by default which means worse.

(Refer Slide Time: 32:32)



As a result you can see that this kind of a diamond, this kind of a hexagon will be visible to you on the radar diagram. If you have not done any modifications to it, so this completes how to use this particular toolkit. And I hope you can you some of these aspects and see how you can bring in environmental improvement to your product or system design. Please remember that this tool kit is only meant for environmental aspects. So, it is a life cycle design strategy so, it is in connection with are in our lectures that we did for life cycle design strategy. The other lectures that we did were quantitative analysis.

So, quantitative analysis has its own advantages, but it does not help you to design at that point of time. Whereas this one helps you to design because it gives you so many checklists, so as a result it becomes a very important tool to be able to design. If there is no escape from the quantitative calculations after you have completed your design, you should always go back and do a quantitative analysis.

Another thing which I missed on towards this in this radar diagram you can again see these boxes. So, you can write down in this boxes also like what was your main design features or main design interventions that you did in order to get the toxicity reduction. In case you do that then this page can be very easily printed. So, this page is already set with printable area, if you remain within that printable area you can very easily print this page and put it into your report.

So, now we have already come to an end of our course. So, in our last lecture we will do a summary of all that we have learned till now. And we will also try to see all the connections which we can now more appreciate because we have learned a lot more about sustainability and design for sustainability.

Thank you.