

Theory of Computation
Professor Subrahmanyam Kalyanasundaram
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology, Hyderabad
Closure Properties of Context Free Languages

(Refer Slide Time: 0:16)

Closure Properties of CFL's.

We saw in **Lecture 16** that CFL's are closed under union.

Theorem 1: CFL's are closed under concatenation.

Proof: Suppose L_1 is generated by CFG G_1 and L_2 is generated by CFG G_2 . let S_1 and S_2 be the respective start variables of G_1 and G_2 .

$$L_1 \circ L_2 = \{ xy \mid x \in L_1, y \in L_2 \}$$

.....


L_2 is generated by CFG G_2 . let S_1 and S_2 be the respective start variables of G_1 and G_2 .


$$L_1 \circ L_2 = \{ xy \mid x \in L_1, y \in L_2 \}$$


We can create a new CFG G as follows, with new start variable S .


$S \rightarrow S_1 S_2$	→ CFG G that generates $L_1 L_2$.
Rules of G_1	
Rules of G_2	

Theorem 2: CFL's are closed under Kleene star









Hello and welcome to the 19 of the course Theory of Computation. In this rather short lecture, we will see the closure properties of context-free languages. So, in the previous couple of lectures we saw what context-free languages are, we saw the definition, we saw examples, then we saw the Chomsky normal form, and then we saw the CYK algorithm.

In this lecture, we will see some of the closure properties. So, in lecture 16 which is the first lecture where we saw context-free languages, we already saw that context-free languages are

closed under the union. So, in this lecture we will see a couple of more closure properties. So, the first thing that we will see is that context-free languages are closed under concatenation.

So, let us try to recollect what concatenation was, so if L_1 is a language and L_2 is a language, the concatenation of $L_1 \circ L_2$, which is defined like here is the set of all strings $x y$, the concatenation of all strings $x y$, where x is a string from L_1 and y is a string from L_2 . So, basically it is a concatenation, it is a set of all concatenations where the first string comes from L_1 and the second string comes from L_2 .

So, we want to show that if L_1 and L_2 are context-free languages, then this context free, this language that is defined here, the concatenation of L_1 with L_2 that is also context free. This turns out to be somewhat straightforward. So, we proceed the normal way. So, if L_1 and L_2 are context-free languages. So, we may assume that L_1 is generated by some context-free grammar G_1 and L_2 is generated by some other context-free grammar G_2 and S_1 be the start variable of L_1 and S_2 be the start variable of G_2 .

So, all we need to do. So, we need to produce all the strings $x y$ where x comes from L_1 and y comes from L_2 . So, which means x is generated by the context free grammar G_1 and y is generated by the context free grammar G_2 . So, all that we have to do is to create a new start variable S , create a new start variable S and have that S generate $S_1 S_2$.

So, add a rule where S yields $S_1 S_2$ and then along with that, we add all the rules of the grammar 1 we add all the rules of grammar 1 and then all the rules of grammar 2. So, which means S gives $S_1 S_2$ and this S_1 is a start variable for grammar 1. So, we are also adding all the rules of grammar 1. So, using those rules S_1 will generate some string in L_1 which is generated by the grammar 1 and using the rules of G_2 S_2 will generate some string in L_2 .

So, as a result this will exactly generate, S will exactly generate all the strings that are on the form $x y$ then x is from L_1 and y is from L_2 . So, this is the context-free grammar for this is the context free grammar G , so the context free grammar G that generates $L_1 L_2$. So, that is how context-free languages are closed under concatenation.

(Refer Slide Time: 4:14)

Rules of G_2

Theorem 2: CFL's are closed under Kleene star

Proof: Suppose L_1 is generated by CFG G_1 with start variable S_1 .

$$L_1^* = \{x_1 x_2 \dots x_k \mid x_i \in L_1 \text{ for each } x_i, k \geq 0\}$$

We create a new CFG G for L_1^* , with a new start variable S .

$$L_1^* = \{x_1 x_2 \dots x_k \mid x_i \in L_1 \text{ for each } x_i, k \geq 0\}$$


We create a new CFG G for L_1^* , with a new start variable S .


A CFG for the language L_1^* ←


$S \rightarrow S S_1$
 $S \rightarrow \epsilon$
 Rules of G_1


$S \rightarrow S S_1$
 $\rightarrow S S_1 S_1$
 $\rightarrow S S_1 S_1 S_1$
 $\rightarrow S_1 S_1 S_1$
 $S \rightarrow \epsilon$

Exercise: Verify that the above CFG's indeed generate L_1^* and L_1^* respectively.









under union.



Theorem 1: CFL's are closed under concatenation.

Proof: Suppose L_1 is generated by CFG G_1 and L_2 is generated by CFG G_2 . Let S_1 and S_2 be the respective start variables of G_1 and G_2 .

$$L_1 \circ L_2 = \{xy \mid x \in L_1, y \in L_2\}$$

We can create a new CFG G as follows, with new start variable S .

$S \rightarrow S_1 S_2$	→ CFG G that generates $L_1 \circ L_2$
$S \rightarrow \epsilon$	

So, the next one is closed under Kleene star. So, remember a Kleene star. So, given a language L_1 , the star of L_1 also called the Kleene star is just strings of the form $x_1 x_2 \dots x_k$, where each of these x_i 's are part of the language L_1 . So, each, so basically it is a k string from the same language one after the other, where k could be anything, k could be 0, k could be 1, k could be 2 and so on.

So, you could have one string from the language, two strings from the language, one after the other or three strings from the language, one after the other, after the other and so on. It could also be 0 strings in which case we get the empty string. So, suppose, now we want to show that given L_1 is a context-free language, which means if L_1 has a context program or G_1 with the start variable S_1 can we build a context free grammar for the L_1^* .

So, we will build in context free grammar for L_1 star we will call it G and with the new start variable. So, the new start variable is S and we add two new rules along with the rules of G_1 . So, we made two new rules and now the start variable is S which is the start variable of the new grammar and not S_1 , S_1 was the start variable of G_1 . So, now S is the new start variable. So, the first rule is that S gives $S S_1$. So, S gives $S S_1$. So, I am referring to this rule.

So, now S gives $S S_1$, now this S_1 will generate some string in the language L_1 and again this S . So, we may have things like we may again have a rule or again we may apply this S may be again giving $S S_1$. So, which means I will get two strings from L_1 and maybe let us say maybe let us say this S , now we use a second rule which is S gives an empty string. So, which means I get $S_1 S_1$.

So, now I use in this manner now each of the S_i s can generate some string from L_1 . Consequently, we get some like $x_1 x_2$, where both x_1 and x_2 come from L_1 . Now we may we can also get, sorry, we can also get this S to again get $S S_1$ and then go empty, in which case you get some string or three strings from L_1 , one after the other, after the other. We may also get, let we may also directly use a second rule where S gives empty string, which gives us the empty string which is also part of L_1^* .

So, that way this construction gives us a new grammar or a CFG for the language L_1^* . So, this is how we get context free grammar for the language L_1^* . So, an exercise is to just verify that these two grammars indeed generate the languages that they were supposed to generate.

(Refer Slide Time: 8:23)

the language L_1^*



$S \rightarrow \epsilon$	$\rightarrow \Delta, S, S_1$
Rules of G_1	$\rightarrow S, S, S_1$

$S \rightarrow \epsilon$

Exercise: Verify that the above CFG's indeed generate $L_1 \cdot L_2$ and L_1^* respectively.

What about intersection? Complement?

Consider $L_1 = \{a^n b^n c^m \mid n, m \geq 0\}$
 $L_2 = \{a^n b^m c^m \mid n, m \geq 0\}$





Close Properties of CFL's.

We saw in Lecture 16 that CFL's are closed under union.

Theorem 1: CFL's are closed under concatenation.

Proof: Suppose L_1 is generated by CFG G_1 and L_2 is generated by CFG G_2 . Let S_1 and S_2 be the respective start variables of G_1 and G_2 .

$L_1 \cdot L_2 = \{xy \mid x \in L_1, y \in L_2\}$



So, now the natural question is, so in the case of regular languages, we saw that regular languages are closed under union intersection complement a star operation and concatenation. So, what about the context-free languages, is it, so we already saw union in the earlier lecture, lecture 16, then now we are seeing a concatenation and Kleene star. So, what about intersection? What about complement?

(Refer Slide Time: 8:55)

What about intersection? Complement?

Consider $L_1 = \{a^n b^n c^m \mid n, m \geq 0\}$

$L_2 = \{a^n b^m c^m \mid n, m \geq 0\}$

Exercise: Show that L_1, L_2 are context-free.

$L_1 \cap L_2 = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 0\}$

We will see that $L_1 \cap L_2$ is not context-free.

Hence it follows that CFL's are not closed

under complement.

De Morgan's law: $(L_1^c \cup L_2^c)^c = L_1 \cap L_2$

If CFL's were closed under complement, by De Morgan's law, it would imply that CFL's are closed under intersection \rightarrow we know this is not the case.

Hence it follows that CFL's are not closed under complement.



Closure Properties of CFL's.



We saw in Lecture 16 that CFL's are closed under union.

Theorem 1: CFL's are closed under concatenation.

Proof: Suppose L_1 is generated by CFG G_1 and L_2 is generated by CFG G_2 . Let S_1 and S_2 be the respective start variables of G_1 and G_2 .

$$L_1 \cdot L_2 = \{xy \mid x \in L_1, y \in L_2\}$$



What about intersection? Complement?



$$\text{Consider } L_1 = \{a^n b^n c^m \mid n, m \geq 0\}$$

$$L_2 = \{a^n b^m c^m \mid n, m \geq 0\}$$

Exercise: Show that L_1, L_2 are context-free.

$$L_1 \cap L_2 = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 0\}$$

We will see that $L_1 \cap L_2$ is not context-free.

Hence it follows that CFL's are not closed under intersection.



So, the answer is that, it is not a closed under intersection, it is also not closed under the complement. So, let us see why that is the case. So, consider these two languages that I have written here L_1 is $a^n b^n c^m$. So, it is of the form $a^* b^* c^*$ some A is followed by some B's followed by some C's, where the number of A's and B's are the same, number of A's and B's are the same, that is L_1 . So, C is repeated m times where m is different from n.

And L_2 is $a^n b^m c^m$, where the number of B's and C's are the same which is m and A is repeated some other number of time. So, n is different from m. So, L_1 has the same number of S's and B's, L_2 has the same number of B's and C's and it is not that difficult. So, already we have seen other languages which are context-free. So, one exercise is to show that L_1 and L_2 are context free. So, the L_1 and L_2 as written here are context free, it is not that difficult

to show, you can try to show that. But let us see what happens when we take the intersection of these languages.

So, L_1 and L_2 both are of, both give a strings of the form $A^* B^* C^*$, it is some A is followed by some C 's followed by some C 's, both maintain this structure. Now $L_1 \cap L_2$ also means since both the language maintain their structure $L_1 \cap L_2$ also maintains the structure. However, L_1 consists of all the strings where the number of A 's is equal to the number of B 's and L_2 consists of all the strings with a number of B 's equal to number of C 's.

So, $L_1 \cap L_2$ will have to satisfy both, meaning the number of A 's must equal the number of B 's and number of B 's must equal the number of C 's. So, $L_1 \cap L_2$ are this all the strings of the form $A^* B^* C^*$, where the number of A 's B 's and C 's are all the same. So, in other words we get this language $L_1 \cap L_2$ is $A^n B^n C^n$.

And later in the like maybe couple of lectures down the line, we will see that, this $A^n B^n C^n$, for the same n , this is not a context-free language. So, this language is not context-free. So, which means context free languages are not closed under intersection. So, this is something that we have not proved yet like we have we are not even discussed, how to show that something is not context free.

So, the way we will show it is, we saw pumping lemma for regular languages. Similarly, we will see pumping lemma for context-free languages and using that we will be able to show that this language is not context-free. So, here we have two languages L_1 and L_2 which are both context free but the intersection is not context free, which means they are not closed under intersection.

And because they are not closed under intersection this also implies that, they are not closed under the complement, why is that? This is because of De Morgan's law. So, this says that $(L_1 \cap L_2)^c = L_1^c \cup L_2^c$ and the whole complement, this is one of de Morgan's law is $L_1 \cap L_2$. So, if context-free languages are closed under complement then L_1^c would have been a context-free language L_2^c would have been a context-free language. And the union we already saw that context-free language is closed under the union.

So, the union also would be a context-free language and by assumption the complement is again context-free. So, the L1 complement union L2 complement the whole complement is also going to be context free which means L1 intersection L2 is context-free. So, if CFLs were closed under complement by De Morgan's law, it would imply that CFLs are closed under intersection because basically by combining union and complement you can get intersection.

So, if they were close we already know it is closed under union, if they were closed under complement we would get a complement under intersection. We know this is not the case, we know they are not closed under intersection, this is not the case. Hence it follows that, sorry, it follows that CFLs are not closed under, sorry, complement. Because if it is closed under complement it would imply that they were closed under intersection which we know is not the case.

So, that is all that I had in this particular lecture. So, we saw that we already, we recall that CFLs are closed under union, we saw that it is closed under concatenation by making a grammar, we saw that it is closed under star, again by making grammar and we saw by an example that they are not closed under intersection, context free language is not closed under intersection.

So here we took for granted that this language this, this language that I am highlighting right now, maybe I will use a this language that I am highlighting right now, sorry, this does not look nice, maybe I will use a different color for highlight, maybe this language that I am highlighting right now this is assuming that this language is not context-free.

So, later we in the course maybe in 3 4 lectures down the line, we will see that this is not context free and hence they are not closed under intersection and by De Morgan's law if it is closed under complement and union it follows that it is closed under intersection, we know it is closed under union. So, if it is closer to complement, it implies that it is closed under intersection which we know is not the case. Hence, it follows that context-free languages are not closed under complement. So, not closed under complement.

So, context-free languages are not closed under complement intersection but are closed into the regular operations, which are union, concatenation, and start. And that is all I have for you in this lecture, see you in the next lecture.