## Engineering Mechanics Prof. Siva Kumar Department of Civil Engineering Indian Institute of Technology, Madras Dynamics of rigid bodies

Now I am going to do one small thing that will help us understand further. When we go back to this, let's deal with translatory motion separately and rotary motion separately. What I am going to describe right now is the rotary motion. In order to understand that what I will do is I will move this reference frame to this point and describe only the rotation. This is just to understand what happens to this vector r A B. Think of it like this. This is the B that we are talking about and let's say this has rotated to become something like this. So point A was here, it has become... this is the final configuration that we are looking at.

What is basically happening is in this rotational motion this vector is rotating about a particular point. Now if I have to understand this let's just go with something that represents this vector as it moves. Let's say I have a point here which is A. Remember as A moves, this line also moves. Supposing the point A comes over here, this also comes over here. If this is B, what is this? This is r of A with respect to B, r of A with respect to B after it has moved.

(Refer Slide Time 02:17)



We will represent this by vector  $e_r$  which is along this line r A B, r A with respect to B and another which is perpendicular to this which I am going to call as  $e_{theta}$ . These two are the unit vectors I am using. Why am I using this unit vector? Very simple. Supposing it rotates this way and reaches this particular point. Let's say this is a new location A prime.

(Refer Slide Time 03:03)



Remember  $e_r$  is now rotated this way and  $e_{theta}$  also has rotated like this. Let's say it has gone through a rotation of this sort.

(Refer Slide Time 03:28)



How do I represent r A B? It is nothing but r of A with respect to B is nothing but lets say we will take small r, this is a vector. This is a scalar times the direction is  $e_r$ , r A B which is the position vector of A with respect to B can be now written as some value here r which is nothing but the magnitude of the distance between them times  $e_r$ . Now I have a representation. The beauty of this representation is remember  $e_r$  is now rotating. If I find the time derivative of this rotation of  $e_r$  and  $e_{theta}$  I will be able to solve this problem.

(Refer Slide Time 04:37)



Given this how do I find out r dot of A with respect to B. Most of what we are going to talk about will involve this r dot of A with respect to B. Simple, we will use the simple derivative. The time derivative of this is what is going to give us the derivative of this, time derivative of this. But this is a product of two quantities which are changing with time. Is r changing with time? Not really.  $e_r$  is changing with time? The answer is yes. This will be r times, let me just make it general and then use the concept of rigid body. I have just taken the partial derivatives. If you look at this, I have taken the derivative by parts, r dot is zero. Why is r dot zero? Because it's a rigid body, the distance does not change which means this is equal to zero, we have r times  $e_r$  dot. I know r that I have specified but what is  $e_r$  dot? How do I find out  $e_r$  dot? That's the next question we will ask and then find out what is happening. From an understanding of how it is changing here, I should be able to find out what is  $e_r$  dot.

Let me just use a separate figure over here in order to understand this. Let's say this is at time t and at time t plus delta t, I will draw one more configuration. This is the vector that you have r of A B, this is  $e_r$ . Once it has rotated by lets say a delta theta which is small, it would have reached this particular point. Let's say this is A A prime as we have discussed earlier. We find that  $e_r$  has now shifted in its direction. Let me just put it as  $e_r$  prime so that we understand this. If I superimpose the directions, I will find that this direction is like this. Do you agree with me? What's the angle between these two? It is delta theta. Let me just zoom this in. I have  $e_r$ , I have  $e_r$  prime just to give you an idea. The angle that it has gone through this is delta theta. If I have to find out  $e_r$  prime,  $e_r$  prime is nothing but  $e_r$  plus a vector that joins this. Do you agree with me? er plus a vector st. Do I know the length of this vector?

(Refer Slide Time 08:47)

Since this is a small rotation and this length does not change. The length of this vector t is r times delta theta. Its magnitude is r times delta theta. Do you agree with me, where r is the magnitude of r A with respect to B. How about direction? What is the angle between these two? What's the angle between  $e_r$  and t? It is tangential or in other words there is a 90 degree angle which means the unit vector along this t should be nothing but as we have mentioned over here  $e_r$  and 90 degree  $e_{theta}$  which means this will be r times delta theta times  $e_{theta}$ .

Do you agree with me? Is this clear? So r times delta theta  $e_{theta}$  is the rotation it has undergone over a time delta t. Over a time delta t this is what has happened. To what? To  $e_r$ . Let me just write that down here,  $e_r$  prime is nothing but  $e_r$  plus r delta theta  $e_{theta}$ . What we are interested in is how it has changed or in other words  $e_r$  dot is what we are looking at. If this is  $e_r$  and this is  $e_r$  prime which is a change that has occurred over delta t. Then  $e_r$  dot is nothing but limit as delta t tends to 0,  $e_r$  prime minus  $e_r$  divided by delta t. is this okay? This is the changed vector, this is the original vector divided by delta t. What is  $e_r$  prime equal to? It is nothing but  $e_r$  plus r delta theta  $e_{theta}$  or in other words  $e_r$ prime minus  $e_r$  is equal to r delta theta  $e_{theta}$ . What we get here is r delta theta by delta t into  $e_{theta}$ . Let me put a limit over here. This is okay? (Refer Slide Time 12:13)

What is limit as delta theta delta t tending to 0? delta theta by delta t it is theta dot, so I have r times theta dot  $e_{theta}$  as  $e_r$  dot. Let me go back to this and write this to be equal to r times, we have one more r over there. Am I right? What is this distance equal to? Is it r? Let's look at this t, what is the length of this? This is  $e_r$ . What is the length of this? What is the length of this? What is the length of a unit vector? One, so when I took this r, this r is actually equal to 1. Therefore in this let me just remove r here, remove r here so that we get this r times theta dot  $e_{theta}$ . Is this clear? Why is it so? Because  $e_r$  dot, rate of change of the vector  $e_r$  happens to be equal to theta dot  $e_{theta}$ .

We find that this is the way it has moved, so if I zoom in I have this as  $e_r$ . What is the length of this particular vector? It is equal to one, it has now changed its direction to something like this. Let me call this as  $e_r$  prime. If I take this as let's say alpha vector then I can write  $e_r$  prime vector is equal to  $e_r$  vector plus alpha vector.  $e_r$  plus alpha is equal to  $e_r$  prime. What is the length of  $e_r$  prime? That is also equal to 1. What is this angle? This is delta theta and this is occurred over a time delta t.

(Refer Slide Time 14:57)



This implies that  $e_r$  prime minus  $e_r$  is equal to alpha. Let's now focus on alpha. What is the magnitude of alpha? That's not very difficult, since this radius is 1 and it has gone through a delta theta, the magnitude of this should be 1 times delta theta which is delta theta. What is the direction of this? Remember this is along the tangential direction to the sweep that occurs and therefore this is perpendicular to  $e_r$ . If you go back to this, what is the direction vector that is perpendicular to  $e_r$  that is the unit vector  $e_{theta}$ . Therefore alpha can now be written as delta theta times  $e_{theta}$ .

(Refer Slide Time 16:17)

What is the rate of change of this vector  $e_r$ ? It is nothing but limit as delta t tends to 0. Whatever change has occurred to  $e_r$  divided by delta t. The change that has occurred is  $e_r$  prime minus  $e_r$  which is nothing but alpha. But alpha is equal to delta theta minus  $e_{theta}$  and therefore this is delta theta divided by delta t times  $e_{theta}$ . So as delta t tends to 0 this becomes theta dot  $e_{theta}$ .

(Refer Slide Time 17:11)

Therefore if I go back to this, what's the derivative of r A with respect to B? It is equal to r times  $e_r$  dot but  $e_r$  dot is equal to theta dot  $e_{theta}$ . If I substitute, I get r theta dot  $e_{theta}$ . Just to get an idea r times theta dot is the magnitude of the velocity and the direction is  $e_{theta}$ . That's very clear here. This is the direction along which the velocity due to angular motion occurs and r times theta dot is the magnitude of the velocity.