## Structural Analysis-I1 Prof. P. Banerjee Department of Civil Engineering Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay Lecture – 28

Good morning. We were talking about the matrix analysis approach, especially the flexibilitybased approach right now.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:44)



In the last lecture, if you remember, I talked about the fact that  $S_i$  was equal to  $b_i$  into R where R is the loading corresponding to the degrees of freedom, in which case it is essentially joints because degrees of freedom are defined as joints. In addition to that, you have, as I was saying, this (Refer Slide Time: 02:13) and we were discussing why we need this additional term which we did not need. We were looking at this, we had solved this problem and we did not have anything here but when I looked at this problem (Refer Slide Time: 03:20), I did not have an  $S_{io}$ ; remember, we did not use any  $S_{io}$ , we just used  $S_i$  equal to  $b_i$  R and solved the problem. As soon as I came here, I said there is an  $S_{io}$ . What is the actual difference between the two? In this case, I do not have the  $S_{io}$  and in this case I have the  $S_{io}$ . Let us actually look at this. Let us forget this 10 Kilonewton because 10 Kilonewton is coming here (Refer Slide Time: 03:48) and anyway its superposition is valid and therefore you do not have a problem in putting it together.

(Refer Slide Time: 04:08)



Let us just consider the 240 Kilonewton loads and see what happens. In this case, we do not have any  $S_{io}$ . Why? Let us solve it and you will see that you will get 20 Kilonewton, 20 Kilonewton, this is equal to 0; therefore if you look at the bending moment diagram, for these two, the bending moments are 0 and the bending moment over here turns out to be...(Refer Slide Time: 4:55). Now remember these were all 5 meters in length – every one of them was 5 meters. This is how the bending moment diagram looks.

If you look at it, what are the member end moments over here due to this load (Refer Slide Time: 05:17)? You will see that the member end moments at all points are 0. Therefore, actually the reason why we did not consider it was this was 0 for all the members. However, if you look at this, you have 40 Kilonewton load here (Refer Slide Time: 05:56); now, let us try to see what happens. You will see, first of all, that there is going to be 40 Kilonewton load here and due to this 100, you will have....

Let us draw the bending moment due to this - just this one alone and you will see that you get something like this: this value is 200 Kilonewton meter, the same value over here and then, this one reduces it; over here, it goes from 200 to 100 Kilonewton meter; this is in this fashion and ultimately, here also, this is going to be 100 and this is going to be in this fashion, so over here, 100; this is going to be 0, so it is going to be 0 from here to here (Refer Slide Time: 07:55) and from here to here, it is like this, this is going to be equal to 100.

This is the bending moment diagram due to this. Therefore, you will see that even if we do not have any  $R_i$ , you are going to have  $S_i$ , so if this is 1 (Refer Slide Time: 08:21), this is member 2, this is member 3. You will see that for  $S_{10}$ , it will look like 0, 200; for  $S_{20}$ , it is going to be equal to minus 200, plus 100, and for  $S_{30}$ , it is going to be minus 100, 0 – these are.... This is the point that I am trying to make: typically, you have bending moments; if you look at it in this particular case, R is equal to 0 and therefore,  $S_i$  into  $b_i$  R is equal to 0. However, this is not 0 because you

have this and therefore, there has to be an additional term that takes care of this aspect; in this particular case, we did not have it because this happened to be 0.

(Refer Slide Time: 09:53)



Once you put that in, we again come back to the fact that once  $S_i$  is equal to  $b_i R$  plus  $S_{i0}$ , this implies that r is equal to (summed up over all the members)  $b_i$  transpose  $f_i b_i$  into R – this part is the F, the structure flexibility matrix – plus (summed up over all the members)  $b_i f_i$  into  $S_{i0}$  plus (summed up over all the members)  $b_i$  transpose  $v_{i0}$ . Now, understand that this (Refer Slide Time: 11:02) is relating the joint loads to the joint displacements – this is directly given by FR. In addition to that, this aspect only happens if you have a member load that gives rise to bending moments at the member ends – so this is the effect of the member; and this (Refer Slide Time: 11:28) is the additional effect of the member rotations due to the member load. All these together account for and this is the total for the flexibility approach for statically determinate structures. What you have in here is that we have set up how to get the member forces as well as the joint displacements using the flexibility approach, but this is true for a statically determinate structure because we said that you can actually solve for  $S_i$ , given the loads. Now, how can we extend this to include the effect of statically indeterminate?

(Refer Slide Time: 13:09)

FLEXIBILITY APPRCACE FAR IN DETERMINA STATICALIT STRUCTURES IN DOTERMINALY STATIC DETERMINE 1 2) OCE 3)

We are now using the flexibility approach for statically indeterminate structures. How do we apply this? In reality, it is actually very very simple; the reason behind it is that even in a statically indeterminate structure, first you determine static indeterminacy and then identify the redundant forces; then once you identify the redundant forces, redundant forces equal to 0 implies statically determinate base structure. Since you have that... all that we have developed over here is the only additional thing. Let us see what happens.

(Refer Slide Time: 15:45)



It is exactly the same problem excepting that it is statically indeterminate. What is the level of static indeterminacy? You will see that static indeterminacy is equal to 1, then identify redundant

forces and once you identify the redundant force let me say that my redundant force is 1, I will identify this as my  $X_1$ . In other words, this is the force that I am making redundant, so as soon as I put that equal to 0, what is the structure that I get?



(Refer Slide Time: 17:27)

This is my base structure – this is my base statically determinate structure. If you look at this structure, this is identical to the structure that we have just solved in the last lecture, is it not? We have taken a statically indeterminate structure. What is the difference? In this particular case is, let us see what the difference is. In the previous case, what did we do? We took this load and we found out the displacement at this point (Refer Slide Time: 18:32) – we found out the horizontal displacement at this point and the horizontal displacement at this point. In this particular case, I am going to do exactly the same thing.

(Refer Slide Time: 18:42)



How would you solve this problem in the original situation? Due to these loads, you would find out this displacement (Refer Slide Time: 19:20). Remember I called it  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  this time; however, in this particular case, I will call this  $x_1$ . What is the reason behind it calling it  $x_1$ ? Because in this particular case, remember that this is the degree of freedom associated with the redundant force and since it is the redundant force, I will give a different notation. I have just given it a different notation, but as far as I am concerned, it is still the same  $-r_1$  and  $r_2$  in the previous case is identical to what I am calling as  $r_1$ ,  $x_1$ .

You would find out  $x_1$  here, you would find out the displacement here – that is the step; I am just going through the steps; you would find out the displacement here. What would the next step be? The next step would be to apply a unit force over here (Refer Slide Time: 20:27) and find out the displacement at this point. Therefore, we can say that this would be  $x_{10}$  and I would say that  $f_1$  $X_1$ ... What is this (Refer Slide Time: 20:46)? This is the displacement at this point due to  $X_1$  is equal to 1. Then, you would say  $f_1$  into  $X_1$  is the displacement at this particular point plus  $x_{10}$  is equal to 0, right? This is the compatibility condition that you would satisfy in the normal force method. In this particular case, understand that this problem once this is the case. (Refer Slide Time: 21:22)

unknown . U.S.

Then, note that in the original case we had  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ; in this case this becomes  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  is my redundant force. In the previous case, this was equal to 10 and this was equal to 0 – this is what we knew because it was a statically determinate structure. In this particular case, this would still be 10 but this is unknown but look at this:  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  is equal to  $r_1$ ,  $x_1$ ; this is the statically determinate case in the previous case, this is the current case (Refer Slide Time: 22:15). Here, this and this both were unknown; in this case, this is unknown and this is equal to 0 because I am considering the effect of  $x_1$  and  $r_1$ , so this is 0. Now, I am not solving this particular problem because we have all ready found out this thing for this.

(Refer Slide Time: 22:54)

$$\begin{split} S_{i} &= \underbrace{b_{i} R}_{s_{i}} + \underbrace{S_{i0}}_{s_{i0}} \\ \begin{cases} S_{i} \\ S_{2} \\ \end{cases} &= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} b_{i1} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix}}_{k_{21}} \begin{bmatrix} R_{i} \\ R_{i} \\ \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{cases} S_{i} \\ S_{2} \\ \end{cases}}_{i_{1}} \end{split}$$
 $S_1$  =  $\begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{1X} \\ b_{X1} & b_{XX} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ X_1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ X_1 \end{bmatrix}$ = bit + bix X + Sie

In the earlier case, for each member what would you do? Find out  $S_i$  is equal to  $b_i$  into R plus  $S_{i0}$ . Understand that once I accept that  $x_1$  is a redundant load, it behaves exactly like an external load but the only problem with  $x_1$  is that whereas for most of the situations we know the loads, here,  $x_1$  is the load that we do not know. I can write this one in this fashion: I can write it as  $(S_1, S_2)_i$  is equal to... in the previous case., this would turn out to be equal to  $b_{11}$ ,  $b_{11}$ , no (Refer Slide Time: 24:00),  $b_{21}$  b<sub>22</sub> into R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub> plus S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, this is i0.

In this case, all that happened.... Remember we computed these (Refer Slide Time: 24:26). How did we compute this? We computed this by putting  $R_1$  is equal to 1 and finding out what the member end moments were. We found this by putting  $R_2$  is equal to 1 and finding out what the member end moments are. Therefore, in this particular case... here, understand that  $R_2$  is  $x_1$ , so here all that would happen is ( $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ) into  $b_{11}$ , now  $b_{1x}$ ,  $b_{x1}$ ,  $b_{xx}$  into ( $R_1$ ,  $X_1$ ) plus this still remains the same because this is nothing but the effect of the loads. No difference absolutely – these two are identical to these, this is equal to this, this is equal to this; the only thing is that whereas in the previous case since  $R_2$  was an external load for statically determinate structure,  $R_2$  was a determinate load, now we have exactly the same statically determinate structure except that  $x_1$  is a redundant force and therefore unknown. If I go through the steps, I can say that this is equal to  $b_i R$  plus  $b_{ix} X$  plus  $S_{i0}$ . Do you see this? Since this is nothing but R and X together, I can actually write it in this fashion.

(Refer Slide Time: 26:44)



I am going to say that r is equal to  $F_{RR}$ ,  $F_{RX}$ ,  $F_{XR}$ ,  $F_{XX}$  into (R vector, X vector) and then here this is x vector plus... I am going to put inside all the standard items, which is (b<sub>i</sub> transpose f<sub>i</sub> S<sub>i0</sub>) plus sigma over i b<sub>i</sub> transpose v<sub>i0</sub> – note this equation that I am writing is for the base statically determinate structure. This is identical to what we had for the statically determinate structure excepting for a small problem and that is that in this particular case, we know these but we do not know these. These of course are known – all; we can find these out; since the flexibility matrix is symmetric, you will see that this is true. I do not know this (Refer Slide Time: 29:11), however, compatibility conditions give me that I know this, so here you will see this problem; I know this, I do not know this; so if I rewrite this you will see that this  $b_i$  will include both the effect of R as well as X.

(Refer Slide Time: 30:04)

= FRXE

If you look at this particular thing, you will see that this can be written in this form. The top set of equations will become r is equal to  $F_{RR}$  R plus  $F_{RX}$  X plus summation... (now, these are just corresponding to the R)  $f_i$  – this is nothing but the structure levels, so this does not have anything to do... plus summed over all the length only due to the R, so this is the part of the matrix that belongs to only the Rs. In other words,  $b_i$  can actually be segregated into  $b_{iR}$  and  $b_{iX}$ . This should actually be [ $b_{iR}$  and  $b_{iX}$ ]. This corresponds to the internal forces due to the external loads and (Refer Slide Time: 32:04) these are the internal forces due to a unit redundant. This is equal to  $b_i$ transpose  $f_1 v_{i0}$  and you will see that x is equal to  $F_{RX}$  transpose into R plus  $F_{XX}$  into X transpose plus sigma  $b_{iX}$  transpose.

When you do transpose you will see that this implies that  $b_i$  transpose is going to be equal to  $b_{iR}$  transpose,  $b_{ix}$  transpose and that is how it happens –  $b_{iX}$  transpose  $f_i S_{i0}$  plus  $b_{ix}$  transpose into  $v_{i0}$ . Note that typically, this is a 0, typically – this is the zero vector. Therefore, I can write this as minus  $F_{XX}$  X is equal to  $F_{RX}$  transpose R plus... plus....Note that I know this, I can solve this for  $X_i$ . Once I solve for  $X_i$ , I can substitute that in here and I can get the displacements. What about my  $S_i$ ? (Refer Slide Time: 34:51)



I have found out the displacements and my  $S_i$  is given by  $b_{iR}$  into R plus  $b_{ix}$  into X plus  $S_{i0}$ . Note this is known, this is known, this is known, this is known and this is solved (Refer Slide Time: 35:19). Therefore, I can find out my member forces in a statically indeterminate structure. This is known as the matrix force method. The beauty of the matrix approach is that the force method becomes a part of the overall flexibility approach – we are not deriving it separately. Therefore, the redundant force is just treated as another additional load, of course, which we do not know.

However, we know the displacement corresponding to that load so we can always solve for it. This is the major advantage that the compatibility equation that we get in the force method really is part of the entire flexibility method in itself. Once you have understood this, it is very easy to understand that there is absolutely no problem in using the matrix approach in the force method. What I am going to now do is essentially start off a problem; I am not going to be able to solve the entire problem – we will continue into the next lecture, but I want to tell you to look at a problem in its entirety and solve it.

(Refer Slide Time: 37:30)



Up till now, I have just only briefly discussed this problem but now I am going to actually solve it; so let us take this problem and solve it in its entirety. This is my member 1, member 2, member 3 (Refer Slide Time: 38:11) and this is a, b, c and d; all the members have the same EI and all of them are 5 meters in length – this is at the center – all of them are the same EI. The problem is one: find horizontal sway displacement of the top and two: find support reactions and member end moments and bending moment diagram – this is the problem statement and we are going to be using the matrix force method, so we are going to be using the matrix force method.

(Refer Slide Time: 40:24)



Already, we know that this is a single degree of freedom and we have identified the redundant force and therefore, I need to find out this displacement (Refer Slide Time: 40:45) and this displacement, these are the two displacements. Why do I need to find out this displacement? It is because this displacement is what I have been asked to find out. Why do I need to find out this displacement? It is because this is the displacement that is going to be equal to 0 in the original structure, and so now, we are finding this out. How are we going to find this out? We go through the steps. The steps are first find out  $v_{i0}$  and  $S_{i0}$  for the loading; remember  $v_{i0}$  and  $S_{i0}$  are only found out for member loads. Which is the only member load here?

(Refer Slide Time: 41:36)



The only member load is this (Refer Slide Time: 41:51); so this gives rise to this and this so we know that  $S_{i0}$  is going to be equal to 0; we have already discussed this so all of them for i equal to 1, 2, 3. Now we have to find out  $v_{i0}$ ; now,  $v_{i0}$  will only happen in the members that... so  $v_{i0}$  is equal to 0 for i equal to 1 and 3 and for  $v_{20}$ , we find out; this is the member given this load. Here, you have 50 and we have v; this displacement, this is going to be my  $(v_1)_{20}$  and this is going to be  $(v_2)_{20}$ ; so,  $(v_1)_{20}$  and  $(v_2)_{20}$  is going to be equal to minus 50 into 2.5 divided by 2 EI and this is going to be equal to plus 50 into 2.5 upon 2 EI (we have already found this out, I am just putting them down). We have found out  $(v_1)_{20}$  and  $(v_2)_{20}$ . What are the next steps?

(Refer Slide Time: 44:49)



The next steps are to find out the  $b_{is}$ . If I put this, I get this equal to 1 and this is going to be this way, so 1, 1. Therefore, if you will look at it, you will get that this is equal to 5 and this is equal to 5, this one goes this way and this one goes this way (Refer Slide Time: 45:43) – we have already done this, so I am just putting down everything properly. Here, you will see that  $b_{1R}$  is equal to 0, 5 and  $b_{2R}$  is equal to minus 5, 0 and  $b_{3R}$  is 0, 0. There is nothing new, but I am just going through the steps again because I want to solve the entire problem.

(Refer Slide Time: 46:57)



Remember that in the force method, we are doing everything to the base statically determinate structure; therefore, always I am actually solving for this. What is the next step? Put  $X_1$  is equal

to 1 and find out how the thing will look like. If you look at this, this is going to be like this, these are going to be 0, 0. What you have is... all these – I am just putting them down as if I have found it out, but if you cannot and if you do not know what I am talking about, I recommend that you go through and compute the bending moment yourselves. This is the bending moment for  $X_1$  is equal to 1 and therefore  $b_{1X}$  is equal to 0, 5;  $b_{2X}$  is equal to minus 5, plus 5; and  $b_{3X}$  is equal to minus 5, 0. Till now, you have not seen anything that is different from what we have already done, so the only thing is that I have identified this as X and the other one as R. Let us go through the steps.

(Refer Slide Time: 49:12)

[0] === [-5]

Therefore just putting down everything, I have got  $S_{i0}$  are all of them for all i;  $v_{i0}$  is equal to 0 for i equal to 1, 2 and  $v_{20}$  are equal to (minus 62.5 by EI, plus 62.5 by EI). I have got my  $S_{i0}$ , I have got my  $v_{i0}$ , now what I need is my b.  $b_{1R}$  is equal to 0, 5;  $b_{2R}$  is equal to minus 5, 0;  $b_{3R}$  is equal to 0, 0;  $b_{1X}$  is 0,5;  $b_{2X}$  is equal to minus 5, 5; and  $b_{3X}$  is equal to minus 5, 0. Now what? Now, you will see that r is equal to  $b_{1R}$ ; I am going back to the equation that I had written down: remember that the equation that I had written down was that r was equal to ( $b_{iR}$  transpose  $f_i$  summed up over all the i  $b_{iR}$ ) into R plus ( $b_{iR}$  transpose  $f_i$   $b_{iX}$  into X) plus  $b_i$  transpose – in this case,  $S_{i0}$ , so all I am left with is  $b_i$  transpose, so this is going to be equal to  $b_{iR}$   $v_{i0}$  and similarly, if I put X here, I will get  $b_{iX}$   $f_i$   $b_{iR}$  R plus  $b_{iX}$   $f_i$   $b_{iX}$  X plus  $b_{iX}$   $v_{i0}$ ; then, we put X is equal to 0 and we can solve for the problem. I am going to actually solve this problem in the next lecture, so I just wanted to introduce the entire concept and I hope you are beginning to appreciate all the things that I have been talking about for now.

Thank you very much.