

Advanced Chemical Thermodynamic & Kinetics
Prof. Arijit Kumar De
Department of Chemical Sciences
Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Mohali

Lecture – 13
Transport Phenomena – 3

So, let us now check how this equation which we rewrite for the general transport behavior takes the form for individual cases. Let us first talk about the heat transfer.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:32)

$J_z(z) = -\kappa \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)$: Fourier's law for thermal conduction
 κ : coefficient for thermal conductivity

$$J_z(z) = -\frac{1}{3} \langle u \rangle \lambda n^* \frac{\partial(n^* \bar{\epsilon})}{\partial z}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{3} \langle u \rangle \lambda n^* \frac{\partial \bar{\epsilon}}{\partial z}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{3} \langle u \rangle \lambda n^* \frac{1}{N_A} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{U}}{\partial z} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{3} \langle u \rangle \lambda n^* \frac{1}{N_A} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{U}}{\partial T} \right) \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{3} \frac{\langle u \rangle \lambda n^* \bar{C}_v}{N_A} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}$$

$q = E = \frac{U}{N_A}$
 $\frac{\partial \bar{\epsilon}}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{N_A} \frac{\partial \bar{U}}{\partial z}$

$\kappa = \frac{1}{3} \langle u \rangle \lambda n^* \frac{\bar{C}_v}{N_A}$

Now, the equation for heat transfer of the flux for heat transfer which is dependent on z is given by Fourier's law and we know that Fourier's law is basically the flux will be dependent on the temperature gradient. So, I will have some term like $\frac{\partial T}{\partial z}$, special gradient of temperature and times the constant with the negative sign and that constant we write as κ . So, this is the Fourier's law for heat conduction, where κ is known as the coefficient for thermal conductivity.

Now, using the relationship which we developed for J_z the question is, how can we actually get mathematical form or some formula for κ ? Now, let me just rewrite the value of J_z . So, it is one third minus one third average velocity times the mean free path and times the number density multiplied by the quantity that is being transferred and divided by special derivative.

Now, here what is q ? Now, q is basically the amount of energy, because it is a heat transport carried by every molecule. So, it is a basically heat transport per molecule. So, q is nothing, but suppose per molecule, I am just writing it as ϵ which means it is nothing, but the total energy.

So, suppose if U is the average energy carried by one (Refer Time: 0:2:26) or \bar{U} or something like you can write, it is \bar{U} divided by the, Avogadro number. So, that will be the energy carried by each molecule on average. Also, we see that this derivative this partial derivative with respect to z , the reason we are writing partial derivative, because it can also vary temporally and we will see a special case where actually we have, have the quantity which is varying not only specially, but also (Refer Time: 0:2:56) whenever we discuss diffusion.

So, in this case the instant the number density usually does not change, because when you can consider heat conduction. So, it is a conduction phenomena, not the convection phenomena. So, for thermal conduction, so it is a Fick's law for thermal conduction. So, conduction by definition means that the molecules or the particles does not move rather, actually in the molecular which will be deal the (Refer Time: 0:3:25) and at the same position and they transfer the heat to the neighboring molecule and that is how the heat conduction works. So, the instead of the number density does not change with transistor change especially. So, there is no density gradient.

So, I, we can actually take it outside the differential and. So, it will be nothing, but $\frac{dq}{dz}$ as we said q is nothing, but average energy or you can say internal energy. So, $\frac{dq}{dz}$ will be nothing, but $\frac{1}{N_A} \frac{dU}{dz}$. Now, you see here you can actually replace it minus one third v average λn^* and then one over N_A .

We can write $\frac{dU}{dz}$ average $\frac{dU}{dz}$, but see here that we have in the original definition of Fourier's law, $\frac{dT}{dz}$. So, we have now $\frac{dU}{dz}$, so we can easily convert it. So, we will take a $\frac{dU}{dt}$ we can write the differential like this we know that if I have a function like this let us say $\frac{df}{dz}$, I can actually write it as $\frac{df}{dx}$ into $\frac{dx}{dz}$ and we are going to actually use the same formula. So, λ into $n^* \frac{1}{N_A}$ and we are writing it as $\frac{dU}{dT}$ into $\frac{dT}{dz}$.

Now, you see what is this quantity $\frac{dU}{dT}$, it is basically change in internal energy per unit temperature, so this is also internal energy per mole. So, this is nothing, but the

heat capacity and heat capacity per mole, because we said that U , it is U bar, it is average energy per mole. So, what we get is nothing, but 1 minus one third v average λ n star C_v bar by C_v bar I mean that heat capacity per mole divided by the Avogadro number into $\Delta T \Delta z$ and we see this entire quantity is κ .

So, κ is nothing, but average velocity times there is a one third, one third of average velocity times the mean free path times the number density times the C_v bar divided by the Avogadro number. So, thus we see that how we can get a very handy expression for the coefficient of thermal conductivity using the fundamental relation that we derived for any transport phenomena.

And, now we will see for thermal conductivity the Fourier's law is there if this is a phenomenological law and similarly for say mass flow which is diffusion we are going to discuss a diffusion later. First we can actually discuss let us say, viscosity. Now, for viscosity there is a relation and it is known as Newton's law for this viscosity.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:02)

Newton's law for viscosity: $J_z(z) = -\eta \frac{\partial(u_x)}{\partial z}$

$J_z(z) = -\frac{1}{3} \langle v \rangle \lambda \frac{\partial(n^* \bar{u}_x)}{\partial z} \quad q = m v_x$

$= -\frac{1}{3} \langle v \rangle \lambda \underbrace{n^* m}_{\rho} \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial z}$

$= -\frac{1}{3} \langle v \rangle \lambda \rho \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial z}$

$\eta = \frac{1}{3} \langle v \rangle \lambda \rho$

viscosity coefficient

So, let us see the formula, Newton's law for viscosity. Now, before I write down the law, let me actually tell you what, what is transferred in when you talk about viscosity, suppose again along z axis I have several layers which are actually separated by the distance which is equivalent to the mean free path now the question is. So, this is along z axis. Now we also have molecules moving in the x and y direction.

Now let us say this is x direction, now what is transferred across the z direction or along the z direction is the x component momentum. So, that is a little bit difficult to perceive in the sense that it is the x component momentum that is being transferred. So, what we say here is that, we are talking about a gradient along z axis.

So, it will be still a partial derivative with respect to z, but the quantity here is that how the v_x is changing and if you remember that if that changes, like suppose a fluid is flowing you have seen this kind of picture probably and then we say that due to friction suppose this is the wall of the container and then we say that the layers of the fluid which are close by here will have a very slow velocity than the layer, which are at the center.

So, the sometimes actually it is written like the, fluid actually flows like this, the reason is, just because of the fact that the x component momentum has a gradient along z. So, this is z and this is x. What is the Newton's law? So, Newton's law is again some flux which is the viscosity equation which is a function of z and that would be negative of the gradient of the momentum which is nothing, but m into v_x , m is the mass for each particle, $\frac{d}{dz}$ and times you have η .

So, η is known as the coefficient of thermal coefficient of viscosity. Now, although it is a momentum graded usually in Newton's equation the mass is not written. So, we will have to introduce a quantity mass and then we can easily connect it to this equation and then figure out what is η in a similar way.

Now, let us just write it what is the z? It is rather straight forward the viscosity equation. So, it, it will be nothing, but in our notation it is minus one third you can go back and have a see have a look. So, it is minus one third v average λ and then you had $\frac{d}{dz} n^*$ and q and then, we can just write it like this $\frac{d}{dz} n^*$ into q and $\frac{d}{dz}$.

Now when the fluid is flowing in this case also what is happening at the layers are basically parallel to each other and that is why there is no turbulence in the flow and these kind of liquids are known as Newtonian fluids and then what happens here is that as the fluid flows only the momentum gets transferred. So, we can say that as before just like the thermal conductivity here also the number of particles are not changing. So, that n^* we can actually take it out of the differentiation also in this case q is nothing, but the momentum so, m into v_x , the x component of the momentum.

So, we can readily see that it will be minus one third v average λ and I have n star and then it is $\text{del } q \text{ del } z$, but remember that q is also m into $v \times$. So, ultimately the m also comes out because it is just a constant, it is a mass of the mass of each particle. So, you have $\text{del } v \times \text{del } z$. Now we can easily compare, but before comparing I will just make one more simplification. So, it is minus one third v average λ and then you see that n star into m , n star is number density times mass, so that we write as ρ or basically the mass density. So, that is why I did not use the ρ notation for n star into q in the earlier I mean earlier pages.

So, now we can compare and you can get an expression for the coefficient of viscosity or viscosity coefficient. It is nothing, but one third v average and you have λ into ρ . So, that we get another expression for another quantity. So, which is the coefficient for a viscosity or a viscosity coefficient.

So, this is a viscosity coefficient. Now, we will talk about diffusion, now diffusion is very interesting and it is a very- very well studied a phenomena again the, what the treatment we are doing for diffusion is a very very simplified phenomenological description. Now for diffusion also just like, for thermal conductivity we had Fourier's law, for viscosity we had our momentum transfer we had Newton's law, for diffusion we have Fick's law.

(Refer Slide Time: 13:14)

The image shows a whiteboard with handwritten notes in red ink. At the top left, it says "Fick's (1st) law of diffusion:". To the right, the equation $J_z(z) = -D \frac{dn^*}{dz}$ is written. Below this, the equation $J_z = -\frac{1}{3} \langle v \rangle \lambda \frac{\partial(n^* q)}{\partial z}$ is written, with $q=1$ noted to the right. An arrow points from this equation to a boxed equation: $D = \frac{1}{3} \langle v \rangle \lambda$. To the right of the boxed equation is a checkmark. Below the equations is a 3D diagram of a rectangular element with a cross-section of area A . The element is oriented along the z -axis, with one face at z and the other at $z + \Delta z$. Arrows indicate the direction of diffusion. To the right of the diagram is a circled expression $\frac{\partial n^* q}{\partial z}$. The whiteboard is part of a software application window titled "Transport phenomena - Windows Journal".

And this law will first write it as a instead of calling it as a Fick's law will call it as a Fick's first law of diffusion. There is a reason why I am calling it as a first law of diffusion. Sometimes, sometimes we write it as a Fick's law of diffusion, sometimes we write it as a Fick's first law of diffusion.

So, that says the quantity in this case the flux which is basically the particles itself that is equivalent to the concentration gradient. Now, this is a pretty straightforward in this case, because if you just compare with our generalized equation which is minus one-third v average $\lambda \Delta n^* / \Delta z$ and remember that in this case q is just nothing q is 1, because it is the particles itself how the n^* is changing. So, you can readily figure out what is a D and coeffi diffusion coefficient comes out to be one third v average into λ which is a very very straightforward.

Now, the interesting situation will happen when we say that this quantity which is they said. So, that also is varying which time why this happens, because among all the examples we told so far this is the only example where we talked about the n^* itself has a gradient. So, basically and you the particles are basically moving or the molecules are moving Now we can think that as suppose I had a high concentration region and I have a low concentration region and particles are moving from high concentration to low concentration.

Now, what will happen over time is that as the particles are being moved the high concentration or the concentration in the high concentration region will fall down and the concentration in the low concentration region will increase. So, basically this $\Delta n^* / \Delta z$ that will be time dependent itself. So, n^* has a time dependent or the basically the concentration itself has a time dependence.

Now how will you incorporate this time dependence, now there is a very easy way to incorporate it suppose again we will consider two layers here, suppose this is one layer which is let us say at a position along z arbitrarily, I am calling it as z and there is another layer which is at position let us say $z + \Delta z$.

Now, the question we are asking is that how many molecules are basically accumulating how that how this n^* is changing with time that we can easily calculate by considering what is the net flux basically changing for the bottom one and net flux changing from the top one, and then if we take the difference from that actually we can

calculate how many molecules are getting accumulated in this region in this volume, which I have shown as a rectangular volume.

So, now the thing is that that you can easily calculate. So, how do you calculate it, let us do it very systematically.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:56)

$$\frac{\Delta n^*}{\Delta t} = \frac{J_z(z,t)A}{A \Delta z} - \frac{J_z(z+\Delta z,t)A}{A \Delta z} = \frac{J_z(z,t) - J_z(z+\Delta z,t)}{\Delta z}$$

$$\lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial n^*}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial J_z(z,t)}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(-D \frac{\partial n^*(z,t)}{\partial z} \right) \quad \frac{dy}{dx} = \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{y(x+\Delta x) - y(x)}{\Delta x}$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial n^*}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 n^*}{\partial z^2} \right\} \quad \text{Fick's 2nd law} \quad \text{Schrödinger eq}^2$$

$$\left\{ i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = H\Psi = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V \right) \Psi \right\}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial z^2} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} \quad \text{Wave eq}^2$$

So, let us say what I am saying here is that I want to calculate the delta n which is the delta n star, because we are using n star notation per unit time, now what that it will, what it will be. So, suppose in this particular volume now again, so instead remember it is a density.

So, I have to divide again I will first calculate, suppose the surface has some area, suppose the area is A, for this as well as for this, the area is A and then what we are going to calculate, we know the flux of flux is number of molecules changing per unit area per unit time.

So, suppose in delta t time the flux basically changes by this amount. So, right now I am writing z t as a function of both z as well as t and if this is the flux the number of molecules which are entering this area. So, per unit time it will be nothing, but times the cross sectional area because per unit time is already there. So, what we are going to calculate is changing the density per unit time.

So, this is basically the amount which is being get and divided, we also have to divide it by the volume. Now the volume is nothing, but a times this length and this length is a z plus Δz minus z which is Δz . So, this is the number of molecules which are entering per unit time, because a per unit area we have multiplied here and then I have to also make sure that number of molecules are also leaving through this surface, which is the surface, which is located at z plus Δz , but there the flux is, at is slightly different and at time t and into if I just, multiply the area. So, that will be the number of molecules leaving that surface part unit type, because I am multiplied by already the area.

So, then if I divide by the volume, so that is basically the number of molecules leaving this total volume, so that you can easily re organize and you can see that this a cancels in the numerator and denominator. So, what will be left to it, is basically the flux at z , sorry it will be flux at z and divided minus flux at z plus Δz divided by Δz .

Now, of course, you can now approximate that these Δz which we have chosen is much, much small, so in the sense that the limit Δz tends to zero. Now this quantity becomes a, by definition its basically the derivative of the flux with respect to the position and also to write it consistently we are writing the z , sorry z as a function of z the position as well as time here.

So, in that limit we can write that this change which we calculated is nothing, but the differential change per unit time is basically the time derivative of the concentration and that is nothing, but the special derivative of the flux.

Now if you note carefully that by definition suppose what is definition of $\frac{dy}{dx}$, we just write it that it is the value of y at x plus Δx minus value of y at x divided by Δx in the limit Δx tends to 0. In the center can you see that there is a negative sign, because I am talking about z at a position z plus Δz , but with a negative sign. So, it should be there should be a negative sign here, but we know that this thing is nothing, but the negative gradient special gradient of this quantity J , but J for J already we have the Fick's first law which is nothing, but this, so you already know.

So, let us just put it back, so what we will have is that there is a minus D into $\frac{\partial n}{\partial z}$, n star depends on z as well as t , we are just writing it there very explicit, so what we get ultimately the time derivative of the particle density is equal to the second

derivative of the particle density and this is basically the second derivative in space. So, this equation of this law is known as Fick's second law of diffusion.

So, Fick's first law actually talks about connects actually the coefficient of viscosity, I mean basically says the flux as a function of spatial gradient, but as time progresses the flux itself changes because the concentration gradient and the concentration itself is changing with time, because as the molecules move the concentration must change with time and so, the concentration gradient changes which means the flux itself changes and so, to incorporate that we figured out it is nothing, but the very nice equation that the concentration gradient with respect to time is nothing, but the second derivative of the concentration with respect to space.

Now, this is a very important equation in hydrodynamics and it has a lot of like applications, and one interesting analogy although this has nothing to do with this course is that the time dependent Schrodinger equation, which is actually the Schrodinger equation. Schrodinger equation means it is a time dependence Schrodinger equation.

So, when you write it you will see that the time derivative of the wave function is basically the gradient of the wave function. So, it is basically the kinetic energy and potential energy and kinetic energy has if you write it more explicitly. So, it is basically the Hamiltonian, and then you can write the Hamiltonian as minus H bar squared by twice m and del squared. Now del square is nothing, but the second derivative with respect to space and some potential energy acting on ψ .

Now, if you look at this part like the on left hand side I have a time derivative and on right hand side I have actually double derivative with respect to space. So, that is why it is; of course, known as a Schrodinger equation, but Schrodinger equation is not exactly an wave equation, because what you do in wave equation when you are talk about suppose you just take a classical sinusoidal wave, and if you talk about the amplitude as say ψ you will figure out that if you do the space derivative and a time derivative and use the definition of the velocity of the wave, then you will find that that wave equation will have something like $\text{del} \psi / \text{del} t = v \text{del} \psi / \text{del} x$ will be equal to $\text{del}^2 \psi / \text{del} x^2$ with some production and constant it will be one over v square in this case yes.

So, v into x , yes, so that that is how it will come, but or it will be the one over v square in the other side, so it is a time derivative. So, then what you see here is a very interesting

thing that here actually the on the either side we have double derivative in time and space.

So, this is the wave equation, but Schrodinger equation is actually strictly speaking, although it is a wave mechanics, because we talked about the wave particle duality and particles also have wave nature and from that it the interacting stemmed, but I mean like mathematically the Schrodinger equation is more close to the fixed second law, where actually the first derivative in time connects it to the second derivative in space. So, so this is just to give you a feeling like how things are all the way talking about very different physics here, but how things are connected.

So, so far whatever we have done is, basically let me go back we derive an equation for the generalized transport and then using this equation we said that we can always define a flux that is the most important quantity and this flux depending on the particular phenomena, you are describing if the phenomena can be viscosity, the phenomena can be the diffusion, the phenomena can be thermal conductivity and you can actually get a coefficient phenomenologically and using the analytical expression that, we derived you can actually get a mathematical formula for those coefficients; like we derived it for the viscosity coefficient, like we derived it for the thermal coefficient κ and also we derived it for the diffusion coefficient and particularly for diffusion. We found that the this quantity that $\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = -D \frac{\partial^2 n}{\partial z^2}$ the n star itself sorry $\frac{\partial n}{\partial z}$ the n star itself is changing here.

So, what it means that the as it changes especially things are moving from one side to another side which means over time also you will have dynamics and that is what we figured out here and we found that the very important relation that the first derivative of the concentration with respect to time is nothing, but the second derivative of the concentration in space.

So, we will stop the discussion here and in the next section we will be talking about the transport properties of ions which is slightly which we will use slightly different treatment there, because for ions there are a lot of electrostatics involved and then you whatever we have studied this diffusion coefficient of thermal conductivity although thermal conductivity is not very necessary for our discussion and viscosity. So,

particularly diffusion those will be important when we will discuss the reaction dynamics in solution.

So, those things will be useful and there actually we will also talk about a little bit about the reaction between ions using the conductivity of the ionic, not ionic conductivity, its basically transport of ions, a movement of ions in solution and also we will see at the reaction dynamics section. We will discuss about the electron transfer reaction, but from a very different point of view from the potential energy surface point of view which is nothing, but Marcus theory of electron transfer.