

Quantum Mechanics and Molecular Spectroscopy
Prof. G. Naresh Patwari
Department of Chemistry
Indian Institute of Technology – Bombay

Lecture – 9
First-Order Correction to Time-Dependent Perturbation Theory

Hello, welcome to lecture number 9 of quantum mechanics and molecular spectroscopy course. Before we proceed with this lecture, let us have quick recap of the previous lecture. In the previous lecture, we started with the time-dependent perturbation theory of many states.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:40)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}^0 |\psi_n\rangle &= E_n |\psi_n\rangle \quad n=1,2,3,\dots \\ \{|\psi_n\rangle\} &\text{ Complete set} \\ \phi &= \sum_i a_i \psi_i \\ \psi_n &= \psi_n e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \\ \Psi &= \sum_n a_n e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |\psi_n\rangle \\ \Psi &= \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |\psi_n\rangle \quad |\psi_n\rangle \equiv |n\rangle \\ i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi &= \hat{H} \Psi \quad \hat{H} = \hat{H}^0 + \hat{H}'(t) \end{aligned}$$

So we had this time-independent Hamiltonian H^0 solutions of which were $H \psi_n = E_n \psi_n$ where $n =$ for example 1, 2, 3, etc., okay. It is like a particle in a box or something like that okay for which we already know the solutions and we know in such a scenario, the function ψ_n form a complete set okay. Now if they form a complete set, then I told you any arbitrary function ϕ can be written as a linear combination of these functions.

Furthermore, since these are stationary states because the Hamiltonian itself is time independent, we can always write ψ_n as $\psi_n e^{-iE_n t/\hbar}$. Apart from that, the total wave function can always be written as Ψ as a sum over n $a_n e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \psi_n$. Of course if you have time-dependent coefficients that means the wave function itself is moving in time, then you can always write Ψ as $\sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \psi_n$.

And I also told you this ψ_n can be written also as $\sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle$, this is an equivalent description of it. Then under this condition, we solve the time-dependent Schrodinger equation with acting of a perturbation. So $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \psi = H \psi$ and in this case $H = H_0 + H'$ is equal to $H_0 + H'$ of t okay.

(Refer Slide Time: 04:01)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle \right\} \\ \text{RHS} &= [\hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}'(t)] \Psi = [\hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}'(t)] \left\{ \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle \right\} \\ i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle &= \sum_n a_n(t) \hat{H}'(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle \\ \text{multiply with } \langle m| &\text{ on the left and integrate} \\ i\hbar \sum_n \langle m | \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle &= \sum_n a_n(t) \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle \\ i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle m | n\rangle &= \sum_n a_n(t) \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) |n\rangle e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \\ \langle m | n\rangle &= \delta_{m,n} \\ &= 0 \quad m \neq n \\ &= 1 \quad m = n \end{aligned}$$

Now if you have that, then you can expand your LHS will be equal to $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \psi$ which is equal to $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle$ okay and your $\text{RHS} = H_0 + H'$ of $t \psi$, this is equal to acting on ψ , so this is equal to $H_0 + H'$ of t acting on $\sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle$ okay.

So after expanding this that we looked at in the last class, we come up with the expression $i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) H'$ of t acting on $e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle$ okay. What you can do is multiply with $\langle m|$ on the left and integrate. In such scenario what you get is $i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle m | n\rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) \langle m | H'$ of $t |n\rangle e^{-iE_n t/\hbar}$ okay.

So this we can rewrite as $i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \delta_{m,n} = \sum_n a_n(t) \langle m | H'$ of $t |n\rangle e^{-iE_n t/\hbar}$ okay. We know $\delta_{m,n} = 1$ if $m = n$ and not equal to 0 perhaps for $m \neq n$. In this case, this is equal to 0 if $m \neq n$ and is equal to 1 if $m = n$.

(Refer Slide Time: 09:18)

$$i\hbar \dot{a}_m(t) e^{-iE_m t/\hbar} = \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle$$

$$\dot{a}_m(t) = \frac{-i}{\hbar} \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-i[E_n - E_m]t/\hbar} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle$$

$$\dot{a}_m(t) = \frac{-i}{\hbar} \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-i\omega_{nm}t} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle$$

$$E_n - E_m = \Delta_{nm} = \hbar\omega_{nm}$$

FIRST-ORDER PERTURBATION THEORY

$$a_i(0) = 1 \quad a_{n \neq i}(0) = 0 \quad a_{\neq i}(0) = 0$$

Under weak perturbation limit
Coefficients do not deviate from original values.

So on the left hand side, only one term will survive where $m = n$. So what you will get is $i\hbar \dot{a}_m(t) e^{-iE_m t/\hbar}$ to the power of $-iE_m t/\hbar$, this is equal to $\sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle$. So this is the important difference, so there is only one term on the left hand side and there are all the terms n terms on the right hand side except $n = m$ okay.

Now, I can slightly rewrite it as $\dot{a}_m(t) =$ if I take i on the other side it will become $-i$, so $-i/\hbar$ and $e^{-iE_m t/\hbar}$ will become other side it will become $e^{+iE_m t/\hbar}$. So this will become $\sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-i(E_n - E_m)t/\hbar} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle$. Now you can say $E_n - E_m = \Delta_{nm}$, this is nothing but $\hbar\omega_{nm}$.

So this will come out to be $-i/\hbar \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-i\omega_{nm}t} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle$, this is $\dot{a}_m(t)$. So for each m th coefficient, the time dependence will depend on the time dependence on the rest of the coefficients okay. So in this case, we will have n coupled differential equations okay. These have to be solved and you know it is going to be very difficult to solve n coupled differential equations.

Therefore, we play one and we use something called first-order perturbation theory okay. Let us suppose a system or a molecule, atom or whatever is it in ground state okay and that I will call it as an i of initial state. So let us say $a_i(0) = 1$ and $a_{n \neq i}(0) = 0$ or say $a_{f \neq i}(0) = 0$ will be equal to 0 okay. That means only population in the ground state and others are not populated at all okay.

Now in the first-order perturbation theory, we will assume that at later time t when the perturbation has acted and the perturbation is so small that the coefficients do not deviate much. So what we say is that under weak perturbation limit, coefficients do not deviate from original values okay.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:46)

$$\dot{a}_m(t) = \frac{-i}{\hbar} \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-i\omega_{nm}t} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle$$

$$a_i(t) = 1 \quad a_{n \neq i}(t) = 0$$

$$\dot{a}_f(t) = \frac{-i}{\hbar} a_i(t) e^{-i\omega_{if}t} \langle f | \hat{H}'(t) | i \rangle$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} a_f(t) = \frac{-i}{\hbar} a_i(t) e^{-i\omega_{if}t} \langle f | \hat{H}'(t) | i \rangle$$

$$a_f(t) = \frac{-i}{\hbar} \int_0^{t'} a_i(t) e^{-i\omega_{if}t} \langle f | \hat{H}'(t) | i \rangle dt$$

If such is the case, then $\dot{a}_m(t) = \frac{-i}{\hbar} \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-i\omega_{nm}t} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle$ okay. Now I said that for all other coefficients are going to be 0 except the coefficient of i . So that means $a_i(t) = 1$ and not equal to $i = 0$ of t . So in such scenario so if I start from a value of i and go to f of t will be equal to $-i \hbar$ because only one will survive that is a_i , $a_i e^{-i\omega_{if}t} \langle f | \hat{H}'(t) | i \rangle$ okay.

So that is what it is. So this is nothing but $\frac{d}{dt} a_f(t) = -i \hbar a_i(t) e^{-i\omega_{if}t} \langle f | \hat{H}'(t) | i \rangle$. So if I integrate this $a_f(t) = -i \hbar \int_0^{t'} a_i(t) e^{-i\omega_{if}t} \langle f | \hat{H}'(t) | i \rangle dt$ acting for some time 0 to t prime okay. So this is the coefficient that we need to evaluate okay.

(Refer Slide Time: 17:44)

Now in this equation, so $a_f(t) = -i \hbar \int_0^{t'} a_i(t) e^{-i\omega_{if}t} \langle f | \hat{H}'(t) | i \rangle dt$ okay, something that I kind of missed out but this is equal to 1, this will become $-i \hbar \int_0^{t'} e^{-i\omega_{if}t} \langle f | \hat{H}'(t) | i \rangle dt$, but this also an integral okay, $\int_0^{t'} \psi_f^* \hat{H}'(t) \psi_i dt$. So it is there is a two integral, there is one integral over this $d\tau$ and other is over dt okay.

Now very importantly, for this $\langle f | U(t) | i \rangle$ to not vanish or become 0, this integral should not be 0, that is one condition. If this integral becomes 0 or $\langle f | H' | i \rangle$ if this should not be equal to 0, this integral. If this integral becomes 0, of course the whole $\langle f | U(t) | i \rangle$ will become 0 okay. Now if this does not have to become 0, which means if you start with some initial state, i is the ground state or initial state okay and f is your final state.

Then your H' operator that is the time-dependent operator or time-dependent perturbation operator must be able to project your initial state onto a final state okay. So what does it mean? Now if you look at this integral okay, this is nothing but $\int \psi_f^* H' \psi_i d\tau$. Now when H' acts on ψ_i , it will result in some other function okay, let us call it as $\psi_f^* H' \psi_i$ if H' acts on ψ_i get the function ϕ and $d\tau$.

Now this is nothing but $\int \psi_f^* \phi d\tau$ that is nothing but an overlap integral. So what does it mean? It means when H' or the perturbation acts on your ground state, it should create a new function which will have overlap with your final function. So overlap is nothing but the projection, so that overlap is nothing but whether when one function is projected on to other they have some commonality okay.

So in this case when H' acts on a ground state, the resulting function must be able to project onto your final state, only then this integral will become non-zero okay. So that is the most important point of spectroscopy, that the perturbation operator should be able to take your initial function and project onto the final function. Otherwise if let us suppose if this is just an operator I , operator 1 or identity operator, then what you get is that f will project on to i .

Because we know f and i are the solutions of the time-independent Schrodinger equation, they will be orthogonal and they will go to 0. So that means an identity operator will never be able to cause transitions from initial state i to final state f , instead of that you will need something more than that, some time-dependent operator that will allow that projection of your initial state onto the final state okay.

Now that is only the coefficient, but in quantum mechanics probability is equal to square of the coefficient okay.

(Refer Slide Time: 22:41)

$$P_{f \rightarrow i}(t) = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \left| \int_0^t e^{-i\omega_{fi}t'} \langle f | H'(t') | i \rangle dt' \right|^2$$

↑
must not be zero

←
Selection Rules.

So $P_{f \rightarrow i}(t) = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \left| \int_0^t e^{-i\omega_{fi}t'} \langle f | H'(t') | i \rangle dt' \right|^2$ is going to be the probability and this probability must be not equal to 0 and more importantly this integral must not be 0. If this integral goes to 0, then $P_{f \rightarrow i}(t)$ will go to 0 that will be no probability of having a transition. So you can now quickly realize the fact that this integral governs the selection rules okay.

Because whether it is going to be 0 or non zero in spectroscopy means the selection rules okay and $H'(t)$ will have some form which we have not discussed, but we will come to that in the next lecture or the lectures following that. Whatever is the form of $H'(t)$, it should make sure that this integral will not go to 0 and thus will correspond to the selection rules okay. We will stop here for this lecture and continue in the next lecture.