

Quantum Mechanics and Molecular Spectroscopy
Prof. G. Naresh Patwari
Department of Chemistry
Indian Institute of Technology – Bombay

Lecture – 8
Time-Dependent Perturbation Theory of Many States (Part – 2)

Hello, welcome to lecture number 8 of the course quantum mechanics and molecular spectroscopy. As we usually do we will take a quick recap of lecture number 7.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:37)

$$\hat{H}^0 |n\rangle = E_n |n\rangle$$

$$\Psi = \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} |n\rangle$$

$$\hat{H}'(t) + \hat{H}^0 = \hat{H}$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi = \hat{H} \Psi$$

$$i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} |n\rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} \hat{H}'(t) |n\rangle$$

multiply with Ψ_m^* on the left and integrate

$$\Downarrow$$

$$i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} \langle m|n\rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} \langle m|\hat{H}'(t)|n\rangle$$

In the lecture number 7, I told you that we start with n state perturbation theory. It simply means that you start with the Hamiltonian H_0 and for n state ψ_n you get value E_n . This is something that you know and your total wave function ψ can be written as sum over n of $a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} |n\rangle$ and then you have a time-dependent perturbation which is nothing but H' so that the total Hamiltonian will be equal to this.

And then you propagate using time-dependent Schrodinger equation which is nothing but $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \psi = H \psi$. Now we use this okay to get to equation after some rearrangement and algebra that $\sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} |n\rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} H'(t) |n\rangle$. Now we multiply with $\langle m|$ on the left and integrate. When you do this what you get is $i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} \langle m|n\rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} \langle m|H'(t)|n\rangle$.

Now what we did is after that we multiplied with ψ_m^* on the left, so that is nothing but multiply with $\langle m|$ and integrate. When you do this what you get is $i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} \langle m|n\rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} \langle m|H'(t)|n\rangle$.

to the power of $-i E_n t / \hbar$, this is equal to sum over n of $a_n(t) e^{-i E_n t / \hbar} \langle m | H'(t) | n \rangle$, so that is what you get.

(Refer Slide Time: 03:54)

$$i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-i E_n t / \hbar} \langle m | n \rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-i E_n t / \hbar} \langle m | H'(t) | n \rangle$$

$\{|n\rangle\} \Rightarrow$ Complete set

$H^0 \psi_n = E_n \psi_n \rightarrow 1 \text{ } m=n$

$\langle m | n \rangle = \delta_{m,n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ } m \neq n$

$\langle m | H'(t) | n \rangle = 0 \text{ if } m \neq n$
 $\neq 0 \text{ if } m = n$

$i\hbar \dot{a}_m(t) e^{-i E_m t / \hbar} = \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-i E_n t / \hbar} \langle m | H'(t) | n \rangle$

So what we had is $i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-i E_n t / \hbar} \langle m | n \rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-i E_n t / \hbar} \langle m | H'(t) | n \rangle$ and then we argued that since form complete set and these are solutions of H^0 okay, they are orthogonal or orthonormal. That means $\langle m | n \rangle = \delta_{m,n}$ that is nothing but is equal to 1 when $m = n$ and is equal to 0 when m is not equal to n .

Similarly on the right hand side we said $\langle m | H'(t) | n \rangle = 0$ if $m \neq n$ and not 0 or may not be equal to 0 if $m = n$ okay. This is 0 if $m \neq n$ because we said that you cannot look at perturbation of the state onto itself or you cannot look at the transition from a state to itself okay. Therefore, these are known as self transitions okay, transitions of a state to itself which cannot be observed, so we take it to be 0.

So based on this, this equation will turn out to be $i\hbar \dot{a}_m(t) e^{-i E_m t / \hbar} = \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-i E_n t / \hbar} \langle m | H'(t) | n \rangle$, now we can drop summation because the left hand side of the equation will only survive when $m = n$ or $n = m$. In that case we will get $\dot{a}_m(t) e^{-i E_m t / \hbar} = \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-i E_n t / \hbar} \langle m | H'(t) | n \rangle$ and this equal to in the right hand side all the terms survive other than when $m = n$ okay. So, that means sum over $n \neq m$ of $a_n(t) e^{-i E_n t / \hbar} \langle m | H'(t) | n \rangle$ okay.

So we arrived at this equation towards the end of the last class. Now we will continue this

equation.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:25)

$$\begin{aligned}
 i\hbar \dot{a}_m(t) e^{-iE_m t/\hbar} &= \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle \\
 \dot{a}_m(t) &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \cdot e^{iE_m t/\hbar} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-i[E_n - E_m]t/\hbar} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle \\
 a_m(t) &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-i\omega_{nm}t} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle \quad \begin{aligned} E_n - E_m \\ = \Delta E = \hbar \omega_{nm} \end{aligned} \\
 \boxed{\frac{d}{dt} a_m(t)} &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-i\omega_{nm}t} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

So what do you have $i\hbar \dot{a}_m(t) e^{-iE_m t/\hbar} = \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle$. Now this is what is given. Now we have one issue that we need to look at okay or we can just rearrange before we look into it. Let us do some rearrangement okay. So $i\hbar$ when if I want to take the other side, so this will become $\dot{a}_m(t) = 1 \text{ over } i\hbar$ okay.

And $\sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \cdot e^{iE_m t/\hbar} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle$. So this is equal to now if I write this $1 \text{ over } i\hbar$ sum over $n \neq m$ $a_n(t) e^{-i[E_n - E_m]t/\hbar} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle$ okay. Now I can write $E_n - E_m = \Delta E = \hbar \omega_{nm}$ okay. So if I write that this is equal to $1 \text{ over } i\hbar$ $\sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-i\omega_{nm}t} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle$.

Because this \hbar here and this \hbar will get cancelled and we will get $\langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle$ okay. Now this is going to be so the left-hand side is nothing but $d \text{ by } dt \text{ of } a_m(t)$ because I told you \dot{a}_m is nothing but time derivative = $1 \text{ over } i\hbar$ $\sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-i\omega_{nm}t} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle$ okay. So that is the integral that we get okay.

Now we will see that the time dependence of a coefficient m will depend on all the other coefficients a_n 's that n is not equal to m . That means if you have a_3 , let us take $m = 3$ and there are total number of wave function of 10, then time dependence of a_3 will depend on a_1 ,

a2, a4, a5, a6, a7, a8, a9, a10 okay. So it will depend on the time dependence of all the rest of the coefficients.

(Refer Slide Time: 12:37)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} a_m(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-i\omega_{nm}t} \langle m | H'(t) | n \rangle$$

$$a_m(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-i\omega_{nm}t} \langle m | H'(t) | n \rangle dt$$

NO approximation.

First-order approximation.

No perturbation

$$H^0 |n\rangle = E_n |n\rangle$$

$$H^0 |i\rangle = E_i |i\rangle$$

$$H^0 |g\rangle = E_g |g\rangle$$

So what we have is d by dt of am of t = ih bar 1 over sigma over n an of t e to the power of -i omega nm t m H prime of t n okay. Now, I just want to find out am of t. So am of t will be equal to 1 over ih bar integral of sum over n an of t e to the power of -i omega nm t integral m H prime t n dt okay. So that is the integrated form of this coefficient and this is the most important okay.

Now to get to this equation I have not made any approximations because all the; math that we did was completely rigorous. No approximation was made to get to this equation okay. Now unfortunately, this equation involves coupled differential equations of you know n coupled differential equations okay. So if there are total n coefficients, each mth coefficient will depend on rest of all the coefficients.

So you will get a series of coupled differential equations. Totally if you have 10 such coefficients or 10 such wave function, then you will get 10 coupled differential equations okay. If you have 20 of them, then you will get 20 different coupled differential equations which are going to be very difficult solve okay. So then at this point of time, let us make a small approximation and that approximation I will call it as first-order approximation.

What do I do in the first-order approximation? Okay to begin with when there was no perturbation, what did we have? When there was no perturbation, then we had H0 of n = En n

okay. Now let us suppose there is an initial state i okay, so which means H_0 of $i = E_i$ i okay. What is this initial state i ? Let us state this initial state as a ground state or we can say this is H_0 of ground state $g = E$ of ground state into ground state okay.

Now if we had a ground state, all the population would be in the ground state and all the excited states will not be having any population.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:36)

at $t=0$ without perturbation
 only the initial state was populated.
 $C_i(0) = 1 \Rightarrow H|i\rangle = E_i|i\rangle$
 $C_f(0) = 0 \Rightarrow H|f\rangle = E_f|f\rangle \quad f \neq i$
 if initial/ground state is $|1\rangle$ with energy E_1
 $|2\rangle, |3\rangle, |4\rangle, \dots$ They are not populated.
 Weak perturbation limit
 coefficients do not change.
 $C_i(t) = 1 \quad C_f(t) = 0 \quad f \neq i$

That means at $t = 0$ without perturbation, only the initial state was populated. That means C_i of $0 = 1$ and this will correspond state H_0 $i = E_i$ i and C of any other state, let us say f okay of $0 = 0$ and this will corresponds to H_0 of $f = E_f$ of f where f is not equal to i okay is 0 that is the reason. So only the ground state is populated or only the initial state is populated. I could say initial a ground okay. So C_i of $0 = 1$ and C_f of $0 = 0$, okay.

Of course f here means all the other states other than the initial state. So if let us say quantum number 1 is i initial state is quantum number 1 , then H_1 or C_1 is 1 and C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5 , etc are zeroes okay. If with energy E_1 as in the case of you know harmonic oscillator, sorry in the case of harmonic oscillator 0 state is populated, as in the case of particle in a box only $n = 1$ state is populated okay.

Now anything other than 1 , that means states $2, 3, 4$, etc they are not populated okay. So that is the scenario when you switch on when you do not have the perturbation or without the perturbation. Now what you want to do is that let us consider a weak perturbation limit. Now what does weak perturbation limit says if you have a weak perturbation limit, we will assume

that under such limit thus even when you switch on the perturbation, the coefficients do not change too much okay.

So weak perturbations what happens is that coefficients do not change okay. This is the approximation that I am using. So we have using a weak perturbation limit and then we are saying that the coefficients do not change. That means C_i of t will still be equal to 1 and C_f of t will be equal to 0 for f not equal to i okay.

(Refer Slide Time: 20:36)

$$a_m(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-i\omega_n t} \langle m | \hat{H}'(t) | n \rangle dt$$

$$a_i(t) = 1 \quad a_n(t) = 0 \quad n \neq i$$

$$a_f(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int a_i(t) e^{-i\omega_{fi} t} \langle f | \hat{H}'(t) | i \rangle dt$$

$$a_f(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^t e^{-i\omega_{fi} t'} \langle f | \hat{H}'(t') | i \rangle dt'$$

$$P_f(t) = |a_f(t)|^2 = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \left| \int_0^t e^{-i\omega_{fi} t'} \langle f | \hat{H}'(t') | i \rangle dt' \right|^2$$

Now let us go back to our initial equation. What was that? I said C_m of $t = 1$ over $i\hbar$ bar integral sum over n , sorry a_n , not C , an of t e to the power of $-i$ omega n m of t m h bar okay. Now what I am going to say, so a_i of $t = 1$ and $= a_n$ of $t = 0$ if n is not equal to i . In such scenario, then I can write a_f of t that is what you wrote earlier $= 1$ over $i\hbar$ bar integral of, all of them will go to 0 because the coefficients will be 0.

Only one coefficient will survive that will be a_i of t e to the power of $-i$ omega fi t m H prime of t n dt, a of t this is equal to 1, so one has no meaning in multiplying. So we will get a_f of $t = 1$ over $i\hbar$ bar integral, of course we have to integrate over some time t , t prime e to the power of $-i$ omega fi t and this will become f H prime okay, this will become f and this will become i of t i dt okay.

So that is my coefficient of f state and if I want to consider the probability of f state P of f of t , then I will take modulus of a_f of t whole square. So this will become 1 over \hbar bar square integral 0 to t e to the power of $-i$ omega fi t f H prime of t i dt whole square okay. So this is

the probability of finding the f th wave function. So that allows the transition from i state to f state and the probability of f th transition. So we will stop it here.