

Quantum Mechanics and Molecular Spectroscopy
Prof. G. Naresh Patwari
Department of Chemistry
Indian Institute of Technology – Bombay

Lecture – 7
Time-Dependent Perturbation Theory of Many States (Part – 1)

Hello, welcome to lecture number 7 of the course quantum mechanics and molecular spectroscopy. Before we proceed with lecture number 7, in the previous two lectures, we looked at the perturbation theory of two states.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:37)

$$\hat{H}^0|1\rangle = E_1|1\rangle \quad \hat{H}^0|2\rangle = E_2|2\rangle$$

$$\hat{H}'(t) + \hat{H}^0 = \hat{H}$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(x,t) = \hat{H} \Psi(x,t)$$

$$\Psi(x,t) = a_1(t) e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar} |1\rangle + a_2(t) e^{-iE_2 t/\hbar} |2\rangle$$

$$\dot{a}_1(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} a_2(t) e^{-i\omega_2 t} \langle 1 | \hat{H}'(t) | 2 \rangle$$

$$\dot{a}_2(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} a_1(t) e^{i\omega_2 t} \langle 2 | \hat{H}'(t) | 1 \rangle$$

So, to begin with we had H_0 acting on 1 will give you $E_1|1\rangle$ and H_0 acting on 2 will give you $E_2|2\rangle$ okay. Then we had perturbation time dependent, which was H' of t and this when added to H_0 will give you the total Hamiltonian H and then we went about by solving the Schrodinger equation $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \Psi(x,t) = H \Psi(x,t)$ where $\Psi(x,t)$ is given as $a_1(t) e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar} |1\rangle + a_2(t) e^{-iE_2 t/\hbar} |2\rangle$ okay.

So, after doing all the required mathematics, we arrived at $\dot{a}_1(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} a_2(t) e^{-i\omega_2 t} \langle 1 | \hat{H}'(t) | 2 \rangle$ and $\dot{a}_2(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} a_1(t) e^{i\omega_2 t} \langle 2 | \hat{H}'(t) | 1 \rangle$ okay. So, these two are the coupled differential equations between a_1 and a_2 okay. Now, I told you since they are coupled differential equations, solution of a_1 will depend on a_2 and solution of a_2 will depend on a_1 okay.

(Refer Slide Time: 03:28)

$\langle 1 | \hat{H}'(t) | 2 \rangle = \hbar V$
 $\langle 2 | \hat{H}'(t) | 1 \rangle = \hbar V^*$
 $\Omega = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{21}^2 + 4|V|^2)^{1/2}$
 $a_1(t) = \left[A e^{i\Omega t} - B e^{-i\Omega t} \right] e^{i\omega_{21}t/2}$
 $a_2(t) = \left[A e^{i\Omega t} + B e^{-i\Omega t} \right] e^{i\omega_{21}t/2}$
 sin θ
 cos θ
 RABI Oscillations.

Now, we used a very simple approximation in which we said there is a perturbation, a constant perturbation that is switched on at time $t = 0$ okay. So, that perturbation is something like this t when $t = 0$ so some constant value. In such scenario, then it can be written as 1 $\hat{H}'(t) = \hbar V$ and 2 $\hat{H}'(t) = \hbar V^*$. Using these conditions and doing little bit more math, we converted the equations into second-order derivatives.

And finally came up with a solution that is $a_1(t) = A e^{i\Omega t} - B e^{-i\Omega t}$ to the power of $i\omega_{21}t/2$ and $a_2(t) = A e^{i\Omega t} + B e^{-i\Omega t}$ to the power of $i\omega_{21}t/2$ where Ω is given as half of $\omega_{21}^2 + 4|V|^2$ to the power of half and $a_2(t) = A e^{i\Omega t} + B e^{-i\Omega t}$ to the power of $i\omega_{21}t/2$. Now, we said $a_1(t)$ and $a_2(t)$ are phase shifted with respect to each other by $\pi/2$.

Because this is like a Sin theta function and this is like Cos theta function. So, which means whenever $a_1(t)$ increases, $a_2(t)$ decreases, they are out of phase with respect to each other. We know function Sin theta and Cos theta are out of phase by $\pi/2$. So, whenever Sin theta goes up, Cos theta comes down and other way around. So, based on this, we came up of what is known as Rabi oscillations okay.

So, Rabi oscillations are between two states a_1 and a_2 where the population of states 1 and 2 will go up and down out of phase with respect to each other and this is the perturbation theory of the two states. Now, let us start with the perturbation theory of n states okay, generalized perturbation theory. Generalized time-dependent perturbation theory is what we are going to start now okay.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:14)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}^0 |\psi_n\rangle &= E_n |\psi_n\rangle & n=1,2,3, \dots \\ & \hookrightarrow \text{Quantum number.} \\ \{|\psi_n\rangle\} &\Rightarrow \text{Complete set} \\ \chi &= \sum_i c_i \psi_i \\ \Psi &= \sum_n c_n(t) \psi_n e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \\ \hat{H}'(t) &\leftarrow \text{Time dependent Perturbation} \\ \hat{H} &= \hat{H}^0 + \hat{H}'(t) \\ i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi &= \hat{H} \Psi \end{aligned}$$

In such scenario, we will again start with an assumption that we know an Hamiltonian H_0 for which there are n solutions okay such that this give you $E_n \psi_n$ and n will go from for example 1, 2, 3, etc okay, so where this represents the quantum number. Now we know that if ψ_n forms a complete set okay, so any arbitrary function χ in the same variable can be written as sum over i $C_i \psi_i$ okay. This is something we already know, okay.

So a wave function ψ can always be written as sum over n C_n of $\psi_n e^{-i E_n t / \hbar}$ okay. So, a time-dependent wave function is a linear combination of all the wave function ψ_n and their phase factors and their time-dependent coefficients. Now, if we have the time-dependent perturbation, which I will call it as H' of t , this is my time-dependent perturbation, then my whole Hamiltonian H will be nothing but $H_0 + H'$ of t .

So, when I know the total wave function ψ and H , I can solve or attempt to solve the time-dependent Schrodinger equation which is nothing but $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \psi = H \psi$. So, we will do the same treatment that we did for the two states but in a slightly different manner okay. Now, let us get to it.

(Refer Slide Time: 09:44)

$$\begin{aligned}
i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} &= \hat{H} \Psi \\
i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle \right] &= \left[\hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}'(t) \right] \left[\sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle \right] \\
&\quad \text{LHS} \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{RHS} \\
\text{LHS} &= i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle \right] \\
&= i\hbar \left[\sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle - \sum_n \frac{iE_n}{\hbar} a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle \right] \\
&= i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle + \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} E_n |n\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

So, my time-dependent perturbation theory is $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \psi = H \psi$. Now, what is ψ which is nothing but $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}$ of my ψ is $\sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle$ by $\hbar n$ okay that is the function ψ , you can go and look it back. So my n is this, ψ is this, so I have just used this form okay equals to H is nothing but $H_0 + H'$ of t this acting on $\sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle$ to the power of $-iE_n t/\hbar$ by $\hbar n$ okay.

Now once again here we have two ψ , this is equal to LHS, this is equal to RHS. So, what we will do is we will evaluate LHS and RHS separately and then equate them at a later stage okay. So let us start LHS. LHS = $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}$ of ψ . This is nothing but $\sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle$ to the power of minus $-iE_n t/\hbar$ by $\hbar n$. So this is nothing but $i\hbar$ okay. Now, each term we will have 2 things that is an $\dot{a}_n(t)$ and $e^{-iE_n t/\hbar}$.

So this is function f of t and this is function g of t , and of course the wave function $|n\rangle$ is itself time independent, so it will not be able to take a time derivative of it, it is just a constant. So, each term, so this is summation over n . So, you will have as many terms in this as the number of wave functions in your complete set. So, if there are 20 wave functions in the complete set, so this expansion will be for 20 terms.

If there are 100 wave functions on complete set, then this expansion will be of 100 terms. If there are infinite numbers of wave function, then this expansion will be of infinite number of terms okay. Now, in such a scenario, each term of course will be of two functions. So when you take a derivative use the product rule and so what you get is $i\hbar$ okay, now I will take the derivative with respect to sum over n an $\dot{a}_n(t)$ of t $e^{-iE_n t/\hbar}$ by $\hbar n$ sigma

over n $i E_n$ by \hbar and of t to the power of $-i E_n t$ by \hbar acting on n , right.

Something like that. So, I am just going to rewrite it in a way is equal to $i\hbar$ sigma over n an dot t to the power of $-i E_n t$ by \hbar n and here this i and this i will become minus one and there is already minus sign, it will become plus, so $+$ and this \hbar and this \hbar will cancel. So, what we will get is an of t to the power of, sigma over n , $-i E_n t$ by \hbar $E_n n$. So, we will get 2 terms okay.

But of course each of these terms is summation of many, many terms depending on the value of n . So, you remember this, my LHS is this okay. Now, let us look at the RHS.

(Refer Slide Time: 15:14)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{RHS} &= \{ \hat{H}^0 + \hat{H}'(t) \} \left[\sum_n a_n(t) e^{iE_n t / \hbar} |n\rangle \right] \\
 &= \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} E_n |n\rangle + \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} \hat{H}'(t) |n\rangle \\
 \text{LHS} &= \text{RHS} \\
 i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} |n\rangle + \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} E_n |n\rangle &= \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} E_n |n\rangle + \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} \hat{H}'(t) |n\rangle \\
 i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} |n\rangle &= \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t / \hbar} \hat{H}'(t) |n\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

What is the RHS? $\text{RHS} = H_0 + H$ prime of t acting on sigma over n an of t to the power of $-i E_n t$ by \hbar n . So, this is nothing but when H_0 acts, H_0 is time independent. This is just a kinetic energy and potential energy operators of the original Hamiltonian and we know in chemistry we said that the original Hamiltonian that is independent of time okay? So H_0 when acts on this, so this will not act on an or e to the power of $-i E_n t$.

It just acts on n and gives you sigma over n an of t to the power of $-i E_n t$ by \hbar , when H_0 acts on n , we will get $E_n n$ that is the first and the second term will be $+$ an of t to the power of $-i E_n t$ by \hbar and H prime of t will act on n okay, so that is the two values, of course there is sum over n . Now let us equate the LHS and RHS. Now my LHS was, so we have to now make $\text{LHS} = \text{RHS}$.

So, LHS was $\sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle$ to the power of $-iE_n t/\hbar$ that is my LHS okay + $\sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} H'(t) |n\rangle$ that should be equal to my RHS which is nothing but $\sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} H'(t) |n\rangle$ + $\sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} H'(t) |n\rangle$ okay. Now we will see that the second term of the LHS = the first term of the RHS okay, so we can cancel these two okay.

So what we end up in this case is $\sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} H'(t) |n\rangle$ okay. So, this is what you get, you can go back and quickly look at the two state perturbation theory. This equation looks very similar when n is equal to just two state. When n is equal to 1, 2, then this equation is exactly equal to what we got for the two state perturbation theory.

However, in this case since we are starting for n states or many states, a generalized form can be written like this okay.

(Refer Slide Time: 20:14)

Handwritten notes showing the derivation:

$$i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} H'(t) |n\rangle$$

multiply with $\langle m|$ on the left and integrate. $\psi_m^* = \langle m|$

$$i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle m|n\rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle m|H'(t)|n\rangle$$

$\{\psi_n\} = \{|n\rangle\} \Rightarrow$ Complete set

$\hat{H}^0 |n\rangle = E_n |n\rangle$

$\langle m|n\rangle = \delta_{m,n}$

LHS $n=m$ LHS will survive else it will go to zero.

Now, what I am going to do is that I start with the equation $\sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} |n\rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} H'(t) |n\rangle$ okay. Now let us quickly multiply with ψ_m^* on the left and integrate. So if I do that I will get $\sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle m|n\rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle m|H'(t)|n\rangle$ okay. Of course, $\psi_m^* = m$.

This is equal to $\sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle m|n\rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle m|H'(t)|n\rangle$ okay. Now,

we know that the wave functions ψ_n which is nothing but n they form complete set and they are the solutions of the Hamiltonian H_0 . Therefore, the integral $\int \psi_m^* \psi_n = \delta_{m,n}$. That means when $m = n$, this integral, the overlap integral will go to 1, otherwise it will go to 0 okay. Now if you plug in that, so which means for anything other than value of $n = m$.

So if you take the left hand side for anything for $n = m$ okay, this term will survive. For $n = m$, LHS lectures will survive, else it will go to 0 okay.

(Refer Slide Time: 23:55)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{LHS} &= i\hbar \sum_n \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle m|n \rangle \\
 &= i\hbar \dot{a}_m(t) e^{-iE_m t/\hbar} \quad \begin{array}{l} n=m \Rightarrow \langle m|m \rangle = 1 \\ m \neq n \Rightarrow \langle m|n \rangle = 0 \end{array} \\
 \text{RHS} &= \sum_n a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle m|\hat{H}'(t)|n \rangle \\
 &\quad \langle m|\hat{H}'(t)|n \rangle = 0 \text{ if } m=n \\
 &\quad \langle m|\hat{H}'(t)|n \rangle \text{ will survive if } m \neq n \\
 i\hbar \dot{a}_m(t) e^{-iE_m t/\hbar} &= \sum_{n \neq m} a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle m|\hat{H}'(t)|n \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

So, which means that LHS will now be equal to sigma over n $i\hbar \dot{a}_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \delta_{m,n}$. So, only when $m = n$ or $n = m$, this will survive. That means, you can drop the summation, this will become $i\hbar \dot{a}_m(t) e^{-iE_m t/\hbar}$ okay because for $n = m$, this will go to, $\delta_{m,n}$ will go to 1 and m is not equal to n , this integral $\int \psi_m^* \psi_n$ will go to 0. That means only one term will survive, all the rest of the terms will go to 0 okay.

Now, if we look at the RHS, this will be equal to sigma over n $a_n(t) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle m|\hat{H}'(t)|n \rangle$. Now, if you take the integral $\int \psi_m^* \hat{H}'(t) \psi_n$ okay, this integral will be 0 if $m = n$ okay. Now you can see that this is going to it because what you are looking at is you are looking at the transition onto itself okay. You are looking at the transitions of itself when $m = n$, you know that we cannot look at transition from a given state to itself okay.

That means only the terms $\int \psi_m^* \hat{H}'(t) \psi_n$ okay will survive if m is not equal to n okay. Now, which means I can rewrite this equation as $i\hbar \dot{a}_m(t) e^{-iE_m t/\hbar}$ should be equal to sum over n not equal to m . So, now we can take all these terms except that

when m is not equal to n . So, when $m = n$ of course I told you this will not survive okay, m not equal to n and of t e to the power of $-i E m t$ by $\hbar m$ okay.

So this is the equation that we need to deal with. Okay, we will stop here for this lecture and continue in the next lecture. Thank you.