

Quantum Chemistry of Atoms and Molecules
Prof. Anindya Datta
Department of Chemistry
Indian Institute of Technology – Bombay

Lecture-40
Perturbation Theory for Non-Degenerate States

This is where we had stopped our discussion. Now we will go ahead and finish it in this somewhat shorter module.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:27)

Perturbation theory for non-degenerate states

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \lambda V \quad \psi_k = \psi_k^{(0)} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^j \psi_k^{(j)} \quad E_k = E_k^{(0)} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^j E_k^{(j)}$$



λ = Perturbation parameter, a real number

$$\left\langle \psi_k^{(n)} \left| \left(\hat{H}^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)} \right) \psi_k^{(0)} \right. \right\rangle = - \left\langle \psi_k^{(0)} \left| V \right| \psi_k^{(n-1)} \right\rangle + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} E_k^{(n-j)} \left\langle \psi_k^{(0)} \left| \psi_k^{(j)} \right\rangle \right.$$

$= 0$

$$E_k^{(n)} = \left\langle \psi_k^{(0)} \left| V \right| \psi_k^{(n-1)} \right\rangle$$

Higher order Perturbation correction for energy

We have obtained an expression for the higher order perturbation correction for energy. And in this expression we have integral $\psi_k^{(0)} V \psi_k^{(n-1)}$. Let us not bother about V for the moment; V is going to be dependent on what kind of system we look at. For an harmonic oscillator we will have one form for say interaction well that is time dependent but for non rigid rotor it will be of something else. So, depending on the system will get different kinds of V for multi electron atoms it will be electron-electron repulsion and so on and so forth.

So the problem with this expression is that what it means is that you go in steps. It is great that you have one unperturbed wave function in the integral but the other one is the $n - 1$ th wave function. So, in order to find out a particular correction term you must have first worked out the $n - 1$ thth correct correction term to wave function in order to find out the n th correction to the

energy. So, that is not too happy a situation and we want to see whether we can derive an expression which can do better than this.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:42)

Higher order perturbation

$$E_k^{(n)} = \langle \psi_k^{(0)} | V | \psi_k^{(n-1)} \rangle$$

$$E_k^{(n)} = \langle \psi_k^{(n-1)} | V | \psi_k^{(0)} \rangle$$

Turnover rule

Recall $(\hat{H}^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}) \psi_k^{(n)} = -V \psi_k^{(n-1)} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} E_k^{(n-j)} \psi_k^{(j)}$

i.e.

$$V \psi_k^{(n-1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} E_k^{(n-j)} \psi_k^{(j)} - (\hat{H}^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}) \psi_k^{(n)}$$

For $n-1=0$, i.e. $n=1$

$$V \psi_k^{(0)} = -(\hat{H}^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}) \psi_k^{(1)}$$

So that is our quest for now and then we will talk about what is the expression for the perturbed wave function. So, this is our higher order perturbation expression that we have derived. Now what we will do is we are going to use our old friend turnover rule and we are going to interchange the wave functions in Bra and ket vectors. We can do that because V is a real quantity, remember turnover rule.

And why do we do it all of a sudden; why do we bring Ψ_k ; why do we bring the unperturbed wave function from the bra vector to the k vector because if you remember we had said that we have worked out this very, very important relationship in which I have something like this, I have an expression for this V operating on Ψ_k^{n-1} . Now put n equal to one you get 0 so that is how things can simplify a little bit.

So first thing that we will do is we are going to make this $V \Psi_k^{n-1}$ the subject of formula bring it to the other side then on the right hand side you will be left with the summation and you have to bring this $H^{(0)}$ minus $E_k^{(0)}$ operating on Ψ_k^{n-1} this kind of this term to the right hand side it will get a minus sign this is what you have. Now what do I do see I what am I

looking for? I am looking for $V \psi_k^{(0)}$ my purpose is to just simplify this ket vector in the integral.

So I want an expression for $V \psi_k^{(0)}$. So, I put $n - 1$ equal to 0 because here I have $n - 1$. So, I put n equal to 1 essentially so when I put n equal to 1 the good thing is that we do not have to worry about the summation anymore is not it. Summation is from j equal to 0 to $n - 1$, $n - 1$ equal to 0, so I mean we are basically summing 0 term let us not worry about that. We are left with only this term and here also instead of n we are going to write 1.

So I get minus unperturbed Hamiltonian minus unperturbed energy of k this operator operating on the first order correction to the wave function. Now please remember this 0th order Hamiltonian minus 0th order energy is not the annihilation operator for the first order correction term to ψ_k it is not the annihilation operator for ψ_k first it is the annihilation operator for $\psi_k^{(0)}$. Please do not get confused here these exponents have to match in order for this operator to be an annihilation operator for the wave function here they do not match.

So anyway so we have found this expression, so next step obviously is to take this expression and plug it back into the integral.

(Refer Slide Time: 04:53)

Higher order perturbation

$$E_k^{(n)} = \langle \psi_k^{(0)} | V | \psi_k^{(n-1)} \rangle$$

$$E_k^{(n)} = \langle \psi_k^{(n-1)} | V | \psi_k^{(0)} \rangle = - \langle \psi_k^{(n-1)} | (\hat{H}^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}) | \psi_k^{(1)} \rangle = - \langle \psi_k^{(1)} | (\hat{H}^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}) | \psi_k^{(n-1)} \rangle$$

Turnover rule


Recall $(\hat{H}^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}) \psi_k^{(n)} = -V \psi_k^{(n-1)} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} E_k^{(n-j)} \psi_k^{(j)}$

i.e.

$$V \psi_k^{(n-1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} E_k^{(n-j)} \psi_k^{(j)} - (\hat{H}^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}) \psi_k^{(n)}$$

For $n-1=0$, i.e. $n=1$

$$V \psi_k^{(0)} = -(\hat{H}^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}) \psi_k^{(1)}$$

$$(\hat{H}^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}) \psi_k^{(n-1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} E_k^{(n-j-1)} \psi_k^{(j)} - V \psi_k^{(n-2)}$$


So, then this is what we get the ket vector remains the same sorry bra vector remains the same minus sign comes out in the ket vector we have $\hat{H}^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}$ operating on Ψ_k first. What do I do next well I apply our old friend turnover rule once again. Why do I apply turnover rule once again because now I have brought this $\Psi_k^{(n-1)}$ here and again if you recall this expression here we have this perhaps I should have just taken this that is a more straight forward thing we have this expression we know what happens when this $\hat{H}^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}$ operates on $\Psi_k^{(n)}$.

So all I have to do is replace n by $n - 1$ and I can simplify the ket vector once again. So, in two steps I am simplifying the ket vector. So, we do that we put instead of n I put $n - 1$ here so what do I get $\hat{H}^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}$ operating on $\Psi_k^{(n-1)}$ you can just look at this expression here **here** relationship here is equal to sum of j equal to 0 to $n - 2$ here it was $n - 1$ th here it has become $n - 2$ $E_k^{(n-j-1)}$ here it was $n - j$ instead of n everywhere I am writing $n - 1$ th so $n - j - 1$ th operating on $\Psi_k^{(j)}$ that remains same minus V operating on Ψ_k instead of $n - 1$ th I write $n - 2$ th.

Please work this out yourself please write it out then only you will understand its actually very simple nothing complicated its just like long algebraic expression nothing else. So, what have I got I have got an expression I know what to put now in the ket vector.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:02)

Higher order perturbations

$$E_k^{(n)} = \langle \psi_k^{(0)} | V | \psi_k^{(n-1)} \rangle$$

$$E_k^{(n)} = \langle \psi_k^{(n-1)} | V | \psi_k^{(0)} \rangle = - \langle \psi_k^{(n-1)} | (\hat{H}^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}) | \psi_k^{(1)} \rangle = - \langle \psi_k^{(1)} | (\hat{H}^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}) | \psi_k^{(n-1)} \rangle$$

$$= - \langle \psi_k^{(1)} | E_k^{(n-2)} \psi_k^{(1)} - V \psi_k^{(n-2)} \rangle$$


$$= - E_k^{(n-2)} \langle \psi_k^{(1)} | \psi_k^{(1)} \rangle + \langle \psi_k^{(1)} | V | \psi_k^{(n-2)} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \psi_k^{(1)} | V | \psi_k^{(n-2)} \rangle - E_k^{(n-2)}$$

$$E_k^{(2n+1)} = \langle \psi_k^{(n)} | V | \psi_k^{(n)} \rangle - \sum_{l,m} E_k^{(2n+1-l-m)} \langle \psi_k^{(l)} | \psi_k^{(m)} \rangle$$

How to determine expressions for $\psi_k^{(q)}$?

$j=1$

$$(\hat{H}^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}) \psi_k^{(n-1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} E_k^{(n-j-1)} \psi_k^{(j)} - V \psi_k^{(n-2)}$$


So, now I am going to take this and I am going to put **put** it into the ket vector. But then I will use some other trick. Now since I am going to integrate I know that while integrating since this is Ψ_k this wave function would better be Ψ_k all other wave functions are going to vanish anyway. I can write this sum there is no problem and you should write it while practicing. Just write this entire summary write two three terms and then write the general term.

So when you integrate your left multiply by left multiplying by the complex conjugate of Ψ_k and then integrating over all space. So, except for Ψ_k everything else will vanish. So, the only term that will survive is j equal to one first order perturbation. So, when I do that I put j equal to one what do I get the only energy term that survives is $E_k + V_{kk}$, n th order correction to your energy. So, well $n - 2$ th because this min sorry I made a little mistake this is -1 here so $n - 2$ th so I get $n - 2$ th correction to energy multiplied by Ψ_k as a first term second term remains minus $V \Psi_k$ $n - 2$ th.

Now I will expand I have two integrals right in the ket vector I have two terms so I might as well write it out as a sum of two integrals the first integral is going to be I can bring now since there is no more summation I can bring this $E_k + V_{kk}$ out of the integral sign and integral will be Ψ_k Ψ_k and well what is Ψ_k and Ψ_k plus Ψ_k integral $\Psi_k V \Psi_k$ $n - 2$ th. So, then this is what I get this integral **the first in** the first term well here I have just interchanged the two terms.

I have changed the sequence because I do not want to write a minus sign first but not difficult to see I hope that the coefficient of $E_k + V_{kk}$ is actually one because these are orthonormal. So, I have written 1 there and I have kept this integral and this is the expression. So, what I have got here is that if you have the $n - 2$ th correction to the energy then you can find out the n th correction to energy.

In fact it can be shown it is not really worked out in Pillars book but the reference has been given where you can actually find out even the $2n + 1$ th correction term to energy by using the wave functions of this l and m . So, that is the expression we know how to get the terms for higher

order perturbations, how to get the correction in terms of energy. Now the question is this we are using this Ψ_k Ψ_k mth how do I find those.

What is the expression for Ψ_k qth? There are two ways of doing it one is by using a variational method we skip that for the time being because we are not performed a discussion of variation theorem yet variation method yet we will do that.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:44)

Rayleigh-Schrodinger method

First order perturbation equation:

$$\psi_k^{(n)} = \sum_i \psi_i^{(0)} c_{ik}^{(n)}$$

$$(H^{(0)} + V)(\psi_k^{(0)} + \psi_k^{(1)}) = (E_k^{(0)} + E_k^{(1)})(\psi_k^{(0)} + \psi_k^{(1)})$$

$$(H^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)})\psi_k^{(1)} = -V\psi_k^{(0)} + E_k^{(1)}\psi_k^{(0)}$$

$$\sum_i c_{ik}^{(1)} (H^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)})\psi_i^{(0)} = -V\psi_k^{(0)} + E_k^{(1)}\psi_k^{(0)}$$

$$\sum_i c_{ik}^{(1)} (E_i^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)})\psi_i^{(0)} = -V\psi_k^{(0)} + E_k^{(1)}\psi_k^{(0)} \quad \Rightarrow 0$$

Left multiply by $\psi_j^{(0)}$ ($j \neq k$) and integrate:

$$c_{jk}^{(1)} (E_k^{(0)} - E_j^{(0)}) = \langle \psi_j^{(0)} | V | \psi_k^{(0)} \rangle$$

$$c_{jk}^{(1)} = \frac{\langle \psi_j^{(0)} | V | \psi_k^{(0)} \rangle}{(E_k^{(0)} - E_j^{(0)})}$$

Contributions from states that are closer in energy are greater

Handwritten notes: $\psi_1^{(0)}, \psi_2^{(0)}, \dots$ and matrix $\begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \dots \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \dots \end{pmatrix}$

For now what we do is we briefly discuss what is called the Rayleigh Schrodinger method. And Rayleigh Schrodinger method starts from this expression which we had introduced in one of the earlier modules Ψ_k nth is equal to sum over i Ψ_i 0th c_{ik} nth well this is just a coefficient what is the meaning of c_{ik} the contribution of the ith unperturbed wave function in the kth perturbed wave function. So, you can understand we can write this as matrix equation I am writing it only for one state.

If I write it for many states Ψ_k nth Ψ_1 nth Ψ_2 nth Ψ_3 nth Ψ_4 nth Ψ_k n and so on and so forth. Then I am going to get a system of linear equations on the right hand side I will get a summation and that can be easily written as a matrix equation. So, this c_{ik} nth this is essentially a matrix element I hope I have been able to make myself clear here what I am saying is I can write like this Ψ_1 nth is equal to Ψ_1 0 c_{11} nth + Ψ_2 0 c_{21} so on and so forth.

I can write something similar for Ψ_{2n} and I can go on, so what do I get on the right hand side I can write this as a matrix left hand side on the hand side I can write it like this $c_{11} \dots c_{21} \dots$ so on and so forth. So, I can write a square matrix and here I am going to have Ψ_0 , Ψ_1 and so on and so forth. I can write it as a matrix equation. So, these coefficients are essentially matrix elements this is something that is used ubiquitously in quantum mechanics.

So please do not get confused if I all of a sudden say that this is a matrix element and i and k essentially tell us what the position of the matrix element is $11, 22, 13, 35$ so on and so forth. So, what I will do is I will use this kind of an expression and plug it into the first order perturbation equation here I have not written from the beginning I have just used it in a more compact form H_0 plus V operates on Ψ_k to give us the energy of the corrected energy plus the wave multiplied by the wave function eigen value equation.

And from there I can rearrange and while rearranging I have neglected certain terms because this $H_0 \Psi_k$ will be equal to $E_k \Psi_k$ so they are going to cancel each other so this is what we are left with I think we have done this earlier also. So, on the left hand side I have the well annihilation operator but not for Ψ_k for Ψ_0 it is not going to annihilate Ψ_k . So, this H_0 minus E_k sorry for missing the hat here operating on Ψ_k gives me minus $V \Psi_k$ plus $E_{k+1} \Psi_{k+1}$.

So now I am going to plug in the expression for Ψ_k here putting n equal to 1. So, I get sum over c_{ik} multiplied by H_0 minus E_k operating on Ψ_i is equal to minus $V \Psi_k$ plus $E_{k+1} \Psi_{k+1}$ ok I just taken this and plugged it in here. Now what will happen I know what happens when H_0 the unperturbed Hamiltonian operates on the i th unperturbed wave function Ψ_i . What do I get eigen value equation I should get E_i multiplied by Ψ_i .

So this is the expression I get I get c_{ik} multiplied by E_i minus $E_k \Psi_i$ is equal to right hand side is getting nicer I have replaced an operator by a value and that to; of the value of an unperturbed energy this is great it is turning out to be very nice, what do I do next? By now you should have been used to it I am going to left multiply by a wave function in this case again I am going to left multiply by an unperturbed wave function.

A particular unperturbed wave function ψ_j is not a general index here $\psi_j \psi_j^*$ where j is not equal to k also why because then when I integrate then this term is going to vanish. So, we left multiply by ψ_j^* where j is not equal to k and we integrate over all space. So, this is going to give me an integral in which only one term is going to survive. In this entire summation which term will survive the term in which i is equal to j is not it.

Because this I am going to integrate I am going to get something like $\int \psi_i^* \psi_j d\tau$. So, that will only be equal to 1 when i equal to j for all other values of i is going to be 0 and what about the right hand side? The second term on the right hand side when I left multiply by ψ_j^* says ψ_k k is a particular value j is a particular value and I am saying specifically that I have chosen it in such a way that j is not equal to k so of course integral of $\psi_j^* \psi_k d\tau$ is going to be 0.

So, very nice on the right hand side out of the two terms one has become 0 what about the first term this will become some integral involving a triple product involving a wave function and is operator operating on this another wave function. Left hand side simply becomes c_{jk} multiplied by $E_k - E_j$ is that sure is that instead of i have to write j okay that is I have done that and I have interchanged I should have written a j here I am sorry about not doing it here this is actually j .

I think I have made that mistake throughout later on please correct it this i because you understand what is happening here i here is a general index. Now all values of i have vanished except for i equal to j so here I should have written j the other part is simple I have I want to take this minus sign to the left hand side so I have just interchanged the sequence here. So, sorry about this, this E_k remains there but this **this** should be E_j .

And this integral is 1 for E_i equal to j right hand side I get $\int \psi_j^* V \psi_k d\tau$ do I know what that integral is not until I know what V is? Until now we leave it at that. So, now I get i written i everywhere should have been j sorry so c_{jk} is equal to $\int \psi_j^* V \psi_j d\tau$ I just

write it by hand at least, so this is not i this is j this is not i this is j . So, c_{jk} first is equal to this integral $\Psi_j^* \int V \Psi_k$ divided by $E_k - E_j$.

So, what I will do is I will write this integral here sorry I will write this integral here as V_{jk} not I_{jk} and this is again going to be j sorry again; perhaps for the first time we are using something that we keep doing all the time. We have an integral we do not know what the form is we just give it a name we use indices that help us identify what kind of an integral it is and we leave it at that. When an opportune moment comes that is when we try to solve it.

So I have an expression for c_{jk} so then you can just take this and substitute here I have not done it on purpose I wanted you to do it before I show you the result in the next module. But one important point I want to make is that see what is this coefficient it is the this coefficient is essentially the coefficient for some; your wave function is coefficient for some particular unperturbed wave function.

So what we are saying here is that this coefficient is going to blow up when the energies are close remember we are expanding we are trying to get the we are working with the k th state. So, when the energies are close to those of the k th state contributions of state that have whose energies are closer to the; that of k th and at the k th state they are the ones that are going to contribute the most. So if you plot the coefficient against the index of the wave function i it will be something like this.

(Refer Slide Time: 21:53)

Summary

- General expression for higher order perturbation
- Expression for perturbed wavefunctions
- Nondegenerate states
- Stage is set for discussion of degenerate states



So, that is very important and to summarize we have learnt today over the last two modules a general expression for higher order perturbation correction to energy we have got this expression and we have got it in a couple of ways. We have done it for non degenerate states and we have also got you we have write it here an expression for the corrections of the wave functions. So, now the stage is set for discussion of degenerate states that is what we will do in the next module.