

**Flow through Porous Media**  
**Prof. Somenath Ganguly**  
**Department of Chemical Engineering**  
**Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur**

**Lecture – 13**  
**Mass Continuity (Superposition of Elementary Flow)**

I welcome you to this course on Flow through Porous Media, our the module that we were discussing earlier was a Mass Continuity where we initially in introduction section, we talked about various characterization or the couple of characterization parameters that is permeability and porosity and how we can work with I mean we can establish some flow equations using these two terms, that we discussed and then we tried to see the continuity as we see in fluid mechanics, how it can be extended to flow through porous media.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:58)

**CONCEPTS**

- Darcy's Law, mass continuity in Cartesian and cylindrical coordinates, pressure equations

$F(z) = \phi + i\psi$  (volumetric flow rate, depth  $L$  to the origin)

source/sink

$F(z) = \frac{m}{2\pi} \ln(z-z_0)$

$F(z) = Uz$

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KHARAGPUR

So, in this case we had talked about Darcy's law and we had talked about mass continuity in Cartesian cylindrical coordinate system to derive pressure equations and velocity profiles. Beyond this we have talked about the complex potential, that is we had discussed about this complex potential as  $F(z)$  which is equal to  $\phi + i\psi$  where this is referred as potential function and this is referred as stream function. And we have established  $F(z)$  for different elemental flows per particularly which are of relevance for relevance to porous media application.

For example a source or a sink, where we have seen that  $F_z$  would be equal to  $F_z$  is equal to  $m$  by  $2\pi l n z$  where or to be precise if we want to shift a coordinate, we can write this as  $z$  minus  $z_0$  and this  $m$  is volumetric flow rate volumetric flow rate per unit depth perpendicular to this screen per unit depth perpendicular to the screen. So, this is this is how we had we had worked with and we have found out that this is the complex potential for source and sink.

Similarly, for complex potential for unidirectional flow, what we saw was that, you have  $F_z$  is equal to  $u z$  this implies flow in  $x$  direction whereas, the source and sink means one point and then flow is either emanating if it is a source or if it is a sink then  $m$  will be minus  $m$  will be negative and in that case these would be simply sink means flow would be all inward. So, this is something which we discussed and then more importantly we said that if there are 2 unit flow the 3 unit flows or more than 3 unit flows if they are happening simultaneously in some place, then we can simply superpose them; that means, we can take  $F_z$  of one elemental flow and take another the  $F_z$  of another elemental flow and then sum them up and whatever the sum per potential functions sum of these potential functions and sum of the stream functions these can be used as if the super force flow, this will define that sum will define what is the potential function, what is the stream function, what is the velocity profile.

So, using this. So, I take this and I give you an example here the example is something like this.

(Refer Slide Time: 04:10)

**Continuity Equations ... Contd.**

Superposition: source + uniform flow

$$F(z) = U z + \frac{m}{2\pi} \ln z$$

$$z = x + iy = r e^{i\theta} = r(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)$$

$$\phi = U r \cos\theta + \frac{m}{2\pi} \ln r$$

$$\psi = U r \sin\theta + \frac{m}{2\pi} \theta$$

Complex Velocity  $w = u - iv$

$$w(z) = \frac{dF}{dz} = (u_r - i u_\theta)$$

$$\frac{dF}{dz} = U + \frac{m}{2\pi} \frac{1}{z} = U + \frac{m}{2\pi} \frac{1}{r e^{i\theta}} = U + \frac{m}{2\pi r} e^{-i\theta}$$

$$= \left[ U \cos\theta + \frac{m}{2\pi r} \right] - i \left[ U \sin\theta + \frac{m}{2\pi r} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow u_r = U \cos\theta + \frac{m}{2\pi r}$$

$$u_\theta = -U \sin\theta - \frac{m}{2\pi r}$$

Diagram showing velocity components  $u_r$  and  $u_\theta$  in polar coordinates. The resultant velocity  $u$  is shown as the vector sum of the uniform flow  $U$  and the source-induced velocity  $u_\theta$ .

We are talking about superposition of source and uniform flow and both of them are at the origin; that means, I have let us say this is the origin. So, on one hand we have uniform flow  $u$  in  $x$  direction, on the other hand we have a source means. So, if you have a flow in a porous medium you have this kind of a combination source and uniform flow, that in that case how would the streamline and potential line would be and what would be the velocity profiles in this case.

So, source would be let us say I have an injection well here. So, I am injecting. So, all are per unit depth perpendicular to the board. So, perpendicular to the screen so; that means, I am say in a three dimensional sense I have a uniform flow going on and simultaneously we have we have a well through which we are putting some we are we are disposing some water there and the water is flowing in this direction.

So, in this case what would be the what would be how the stream line will look? So, we have done this  $F(z)$  is equal to  $U z$  this is the elemental flow for uniform flow in  $x$  direction and this is  $\frac{m}{2\pi} \ln z$  this is the uniform this is the flow for the elemental flow for source ok. So, since  $Q$  in earlier context we defined the production from the well. So, it is it is basically  $m$  is equivalent to minus of  $Q$ , to be precise  $q$  per unit length because the earlier time we had worked with  $Q$  as a volumetric flow rate.

So,  $m$  is  $Q$  divided by  $h$  may be the depth of the depth of the porous medium. So, that also has to be accounted. So, anyway this is not that important  $m$  is here is a source

which is opposite to production from the well. So, now, this is here the source is located at the origin. So, our  $x y$  system is taken so, that the source is located at the origin. So, there is no correction required here as  $z$  minus  $z_0$ . So, in this case if we sum them up we can see here what is the what is the first of all these  $z$  would be written as the  $z$  would be written as the  $z$  would be broken down into as we had done earlier in our earlier lecture  $x$  plus  $i y$ .

So,  $x$  plus  $i y$  means it is  $r \cos \theta$  right. So, we have  $x$  plus  $i y$ . So, this is  $r$  and this is  $x$ , this is  $\theta$ . So,  $x$  is  $r \cos \theta$   $y$  is  $r \sin \theta$ . So,  $r \cos \theta$  plus  $i r \sin \theta$ . So, we had taken  $r$  common and we had written it as  $\cos \theta$  plus  $i \sin \theta$ , which by Euler's theorem we have used it as  $e^{i \theta}$ . So, we have put these  $\ln z$  instead of  $z$  we put  $r e^{i \theta}$ . So,  $r e^{i \theta}$  when we put then we see here  $u z$  plus  $m$  by  $2 \pi \ln z$ .

Now,  $z$  this  $z$  also we can break it up into  $r \cos \theta$  plus  $i r \sin \theta$ . So, now, if we. So, these are the two elemental flows one is for the uniform flow another is for the source. Now if we try to add them and take up take only the real component of it. So, first of all  $\ln$  of  $r e^{i \theta}$ . So,  $\ln$  of  $r e^{i \theta}$  will break down into  $\ln$  of  $a$  into  $b$  is  $\ln a$  plus  $\ln b$  and  $\ln$  of  $e^{i \theta}$  is basically  $i \theta$  itself. So,  $\ln r$  plus  $i \theta$  ok.

So, that is why when we had written the real component this when we have  $m$  by  $2 \pi$  outside. So, then this would be equal to  $m$  by  $2 \pi$  and this would be equal to  $m$  by  $2 \pi$ . So, what is the real component arising from the source? It is  $m$  by  $2 \pi \ln r$  and what is the real component arising from the uniform flow? It is  $u r \cos \theta$  because this is the imaginary component. So,  $u r \cos \theta$  is the real component so, that is why you can see potential function  $\phi$  is defined as  $u r \cos \theta$  the real component of it and  $m$  by  $2 \pi \ln r$  which is the real component of the source.

And similarly the since  $F z$  is written as  $\phi$  plus  $i \psi$ . So, the imaginary component will be equated with  $\psi$ , basically this  $F z$  is equal to  $F z$  is equal to  $\phi$  plus  $i \psi$ . So, the real component has to be equated with the real component imaginary component has to be equated with the imaginary component. So, the real component is  $\phi$ ; this  $\phi$  has to be equal to  $u r \cos \theta$  this is the real component arising from the uniform flow and  $m$  by  $2 \pi \ln z$  is this one. So, this is the real component arising from the from the source.

So, that is why the  $\phi$  is written as  $U r \cos \theta + m \ln r$  and similarly in case of in case of the stream function  $\psi$ , it would be just the imaginary component and the imaginary component here is the imaginary component here is in this case  $U r \sin \theta$ . So, this part is the imaginary component because I have  $i$  here and for this part imaginary component would be  $m \ln r$  because I have  $i$  here.

So, if the  $i$  into what we have here  $\phi + i \psi$ . So,  $i$  into  $U r \sin \theta$  that is what  $i$  see here and  $m \ln r$  is outside ok. So,  $m \ln r$ . So, this is becoming the stream function and this is becoming the potential function if we simply equate the real component with the real component left side right side, and similarly imaginary component on the left side is equated with the imaginary component of the right side.

So, now if this is this is the potential function and this is the stream function. So, this is. So, if you if you if I say how the streamlines will look like, you must note here that streamlines will look like the streamlines would be lines along which  $U r \sin \theta + m \ln r$  is constant that is what the information is. But still handling this information is not that straightforward let us let us dwell on this a little bit further, let us try to find out what are the what is the velocity in this context. Velocity in the sense we can we can work with Cartesian system or cylindrical system they are I mean we will be toggling between the two.

So, now  $w_z$  which is the which is the complex velocity, this is the definition of this is the definition of complex velocity. So, complex velocity is  $w_z$  that is equal to  $dF/dz$  which is equal to  $u_r - i u_\theta e^{-i\theta}$  where did we get this? This is the vector identity we had worked on it earlier how did we get there? You remember  $u_r$  this is the  $u_r$  this is  $u_r$  and this is  $u_\theta$  this is  $u_\theta$  and this is  $r u$  and this was the vertical was the  $v$  right.

So, this is  $u$  and this is  $v$  this is  $u_r$  and this is  $u_\theta$  and what we did at that time is, we said this is angle is  $\theta$ . So,  $u$  was at that time we had written  $u$  was  $u_r \cos \theta - u_\theta \sin \theta$  as a negative component negative  $h$  direction it has a component and since this angle is  $\theta$ . So, this angle becomes this angle this angle became  $90^\circ - \theta$ . So, in the  $\cos$  of  $90^\circ - \theta$  that is  $\sin \theta$ .

So,  $u_r \cos \theta - u_\theta \sin \theta$  and similarly  $v$  at that time we had written as  $u_r \sin \theta + u_\theta \cos \theta$  this time it is  $90^\circ - \theta$ . So,  $v$  component would be  $u_r \sin \theta + u_\theta \cos \theta$  in the vertical

component it would be  $u r \cos(90^\circ - \theta)$  which is  $u r \sin \theta$  and this time this  $u \theta$  the component in the vertical direction or  $u \theta$ , this would be positive because both  $u r$  and  $u \theta$  both are in positive  $v$  direction that component and since this angle is  $\theta$ . So, it would be  $u \theta \cos \theta$ .

So, these this was the identity we had at that time and then we said that  $dF/dz$  was whereby your original definition  $dF/dz$  was  $u \cos \theta - i v \sin \theta$ . So, we had written it here we had we had written it let me erase a bit. So, we had written it at that time that this  $u \cos \theta - i v \sin \theta$ ;  $u \cos \theta - i v \sin \theta$  was equal to then we had  $u r \cos \theta - u \theta \sin \theta - i u r \sin \theta + i u \theta \cos \theta$ .

So, what we did at that time is, we had taken all  $u r$  terms together. So, it became  $u r \cos \theta - i u r \sin \theta$  and  $u \theta$  terms together. So,  $u \theta$  terms together was when we took  $u \theta$  terms together it would be  $i u \theta \cos \theta - u \theta \sin \theta$ . So, what do we have here then  $i u \theta \cos \theta - u \theta \sin \theta$ , but do we have here  $-i u \theta \cos \theta$ . So, then this should be minus sign. So, this is a minus sign. So, then  $-i u \theta \cos \theta$  and  $-u \theta \sin \theta$  and again my  $i^2$  is minus.

So, then this becomes  $-u \theta \sin \theta$ . So, it makes perfect sense. So, this is this is a minus sign this is a minus sign. So, now, we had taken what we did is we had taken  $u r \cos \theta - i u r \sin \theta$  common and we have on the other hand  $u r \cos \theta - i u r \sin \theta$ , and then this  $u r \cos \theta - i u r \sin \theta$  is written as  $e^{-i\theta}$ . So, this was  $e^{-i\theta}$  into  $u r \cos \theta - i u r \sin \theta$ . So, that is exactly what we had written here  $dF/dz$  is equal to  $u \cos \theta - i v \sin \theta$  is equal to  $u r \cos \theta - i u r \sin \theta$  into  $e^{-i\theta}$ .

So, then in this case if we have  $dF/dz$  that is equal to  $dF/dz$  is equal to in this case we have  $u r \cos \theta - i u r \sin \theta e^{-i\theta}$  that is one thing. So, this is one thing we have this is one thing we have understood is that this is one thing we have understood that  $w(z)$  is  $u r \cos \theta - i u r \sin \theta e^{-i\theta}$  this is one thing another thing is if we take just  $dF/dz$  if we just take  $dF/dz$  of this. So, what is  $F$ ?  $F$  is  $U(z) + m \int \ln z dz$  derivative of  $U(z)$  would be simply  $U$  and derivative of  $m \int \ln z dz$  would be  $m \int \ln z dz$  with same  $2\pi i$  constant outside and derivative  $\ln z$  would be  $1/z$ .

So, that is exactly what is written here  $dF dz$  is  $U$  plus  $m$  by  $2\pi$   $1$  by  $z$ . So,  $u$  plus  $m$  by  $2\pi$   $z$  ignore this part if it is the scratchy. So,  $u$  plus  $m$  by  $2\pi$   $z$ . So, now, instead of  $z$  we can write  $z$  as  $x$  plus  $i$   $y$  and then  $z$  is  $x$  plus  $i$   $y$   $x$  plus  $i$   $y$  is equal to  $r \cos \theta$  plus  $i$   $r \sin \theta$ . So,  $r$  within bracket  $\cos \theta$  plus  $i \sin \theta$  which can be written as  $r e$  to the power  $i \theta$ . So, if it is  $r e$  to the power  $i \theta$ , then you can note here that it is instead of  $z$  instead of this  $z$  we have written it here as  $r e$  to the power  $i \theta$ .

So,  $u$  plus  $m$  by  $2\pi$   $r e$  to the power  $i \theta$ . So, now, these. So, what we did here is we multiplied  $e$  to the power  $i \theta$  to the  $e$  to the power  $i \theta$  to both the terms and outside we put  $e$  to the power minus  $i \theta$  so, that it all they are leaving out ok. So, what we did is we multiplied  $u$  with  $e$  to the power  $i \theta$  and  $m$  by  $2\pi$   $r e$  to the power  $i \theta$ . So,  $e$  to the power  $i \theta$  in that case cancelled out and then  $e$  to the power minus  $i \theta$  remained at the outside. See you can you can you can automatically get this.

See  $u e$  to the power  $i \theta$  into  $e$  to the power minus  $i \theta$ . So, this would be  $e$  to the power  $0$  and which is  $1$  and then. So, you have only  $u$  left and  $m$  by  $2\pi$   $r e$  to the power minus  $i \theta$  that is exactly what you have here  $m$  by  $2\pi$   $r e$  to the power  $i \theta$  goes to the numerator as  $e$  to the power minus  $i \theta$ . So, this is this is one and the same thing. Why we are doing this is because then if we write  $d$  to the put  $e$  to the power minus  $i \theta$  outside, then we can essentially we are going to equate now this  $dF dz$  with this  $dF dz$ . So, when we equate this  $dF dz$  with this  $dF dz$  these  $e$  to the power minus  $i \theta$  term will cancel out.

So, then we can write this  $u r$  minus  $i u \theta$  we can equate it with this term these then we can equate real with real imaginary with imaginary and get the idea what is  $u r$  and what is the  $u \theta$  that that is the idea. So, that is why we are doing this exercise. So, now, now here again  $e$  to the power minus  $i \theta$  we can cancel out that that is not an issue. But this inside what we have  $u e$  to the power  $i \theta$  plus  $m$  by  $2\pi$   $r$   $m$  by  $2\pi$   $r$  is a real component we understand, but  $u e$  to the power  $i \theta$  has both real and imaginary component. So, that is why we have to now break this  $e$  to the power  $i \theta$  again  $u$  this  $e$  to the power  $i \theta$  has to be broken down into  $\cos \theta$  plus  $i \sin \theta$ .

So, that I can because I have to compare real with real imaginary with imaginary. So, for that reason we had written here as the real component was  $u \cos \theta$ . So, so. So, what. So, we have this with the real component here is  $u \cos \theta$  and  $u i \sin i u \sin \theta$

would be the imaginary component as far as this term is concerned and this term as far as this term is concerned these is all real there is no imaginary component. So, if we sum up all the real component in these, the real component would be  $u \cos \theta + m \text{ by } 2 \pi r$ .

So, that is exactly what we have written here; the  $u \cos \theta + m \text{ by } 2 \pi r$  this is the real component within this what we have within this bracket. Whereas imaginary component is only this one because  $m \text{ by } 2 \pi r$  does not have any imaginary component. So, minus this plus  $i \sin \theta$  is the only imaginary component and that is what we had written here. See we have multiplied outside we have  $u$ . So, this is  $u \cos \theta$  and this is  $i u \sin \theta$ . So, minus I had written and then minus  $u \sin \theta$  I have written so, so that this minus and this minus becomes plus ok.

I have intentionally written it as this minus  $i$  because I have to equate it with real minus imaginary something. So, that is why I put it as minus  $i$  within bracket minus  $u \sin \theta$  essentially it is plus  $i u \sin \theta$  which is arising from the star. So, this is this is what we have in the bracket and outside I have  $e$  to the power minus  $i \theta$ . So, now, if we if we go by the now if we if we start cancelling, we can straight away cancel  $e$  to the power minus  $i \theta$  is with  $e$  to the power minus  $i \theta$  and we can write here that  $u r$  would be the real component here. So, this would be the real component which is going to be  $u r$  and this is  $u r \text{ minus } i u \theta$  and we are equating  $u r \text{ minus } i u \theta$ .

So, this is becoming the  $u \theta$  right. So, this term becomes  $u \theta$ . So, I can  $i$ . So, I can I could I could equate this whole thing I could in fact, I can I can clear up the space a bit. So, what we have written here is  $u r \text{ minus } i u \theta$  which is coming from this here  $d F d z$ .



(Refer Slide Time: 23:32)

The slide contains the following mathematical content:

**Superposition: source + uniform flow**

$$F(z) = U z + \frac{m}{2\pi} \ln z$$

where  $z = r e^{i\theta} = r(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)$

**Complex Velocity  $w(z) = \frac{dF}{dz} = (u_r - i u_\theta)$**

$$w(z) = U + \frac{m}{2\pi r} e^{-i\theta}$$

$$w(z) = U \cos\theta + \frac{m}{2\pi r} - i \{ U \sin\theta - \frac{m}{2\pi r} \}$$

Equating real and imaginary parts:

$$u_r = U \cos\theta + \frac{m}{2\pi r}$$

$$u_\theta = -U \sin\theta + \frac{m}{2\pi r}$$

**Stream Function  $\phi$**

$$\phi = U r \cos\theta + \frac{m}{2\pi} \ln r$$

**Diagram:** A polar coordinate system showing a circular flow field. The velocity vector  $u$  is decomposed into radial  $u_r$  and tangential  $u_\theta$  components. A stagnation point is marked where the velocity is zero.

So,  $u_r - i u_\theta$  this is equal to; this is equal to on one hand we have  $u \cos \theta$  plus  $\frac{m}{2\pi r}$  and minus  $i$  of  $U \sin \theta - \frac{m}{2\pi r}$ . So, this is this is what we have in this case  $e^{i\theta}$  or  $e^{-i\theta}$  and  $e^{-i\theta}$  we have already cancelled.

So, if this is so, then we can say that  $u_r$  is essentially  $u \cos \theta$  and  $u_\theta$  is essentially  $u \sin \theta$ . So, that is what I have written here these are becoming  $u_r$  and this is becoming  $u_\theta$ . Now what does this signify I have first of all the I have what are these  $u_r$  and  $u_\theta$  once again if I visit  $u_r$  is. So, my origin was this right my origin was. So, this is the origin this is the origin ok. So, or from origin we had these as the x axis these as the y axis.

So, now we had we could we have we can write this in this velocity in this direction as  $u$  and the velocity in this direction as  $v$ . This we could have done, but instead of that we have chosen to write it in terms of  $u_r$  and  $u_\theta$ . So, at any point at any point let us say I am I am taking some arbitrary point let us say I am taking arbitrarily at this point at this point I can have  $u$  and  $v$  or I can have  $u_r$  and  $u_\theta$  in the  $r, \theta$  system ok. So, that is that is what it is and what we see here is that  $u_r$  is this quantity and  $u_\theta$  is this quantity.

We want to know here first of all intuitively it makes sense that if I have a source; that means, flow is emanating out and I am having uniform flow. I mean intuitively what we see here is that the stream lines that are emanating here let us say this is emanating here

this is emanating here, this is emanating this is a radial flow. So, this stream line would be then carried down like this, this stream line would be carried down like this because I have a uniform flow coming from the left. So, this stream line would be carried down like this.

So, you can see here at at some point at some point it would be this stream line that is arising from here and this stream line that is arising from here the velocity here would be 0. I mean that is that is where it is heading to unless I mean if we have to satisfy this, the velocity and I am creating almost an envelope like this and creating almost an envelope like this. So, this envelope and at this point I can see that the radial flow that is coming from the right and the uniform flow that is coming from the left they will these two streamlines they will hit each other and the fluid becomes static here.

So, this is referred as this point is referred as stagnation point. I mean intuitively I can see there would be a stagnation point and an envelope. So, all the radial flow that I am putting in here that would be enclosed in an envelope because of this uniform flow that is coming from the left ok. Just for as I am just i would like to inform you that this type of envelope this has a name to it generally this type of envelope in in the context of fluid mechanics is referred as Rankin half body Rankin half body.

So, our aim would be with this  $u_r$  and  $u_\theta$ , our aim would be to look at this stagnation point where how far it would be on the left from the origin this stagnation point and what would be this envelope what would be the equation for this envelope let us target this I mean instead of you know these are still in general terms, but let us target these and find out what would be this equation for this envelope. So, still I mean I can I can make use of these to find out if I am if I am in in a reservoir in in a subsurface reservoir if some fluid is being fluid is sequestered and then it there is a uniform flow going on what to what extent this would this would travel in the lateral direction if we wants to know add infinity, if you if you try if it if it continues to travel further on the right.

So, these are the information we can extract out of it. So, I am going to close this module this section now, in the next lecture what I will do is I will I will revisit this equation particularly these envelope and the stagnation point and try to see what all information we could gather out of this superposition of flow

Thank you. Well, I will continue in the next session.