

Introduction to Interfacial Waves
Prof. Ratul Dasgupta
Department of Chemical Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay

Lecture - 21
Multiple scale analysis for damped-harmonic oscillator

We will look at the solution to the damped-harmonic oscillator using the method of multiple scales. We have chosen three time scales T_0 , T_1 , T_2 and then we had expanded and expressed everything in terms of three independent variables; T_0 , T_1 , and T_2 .

(Refer Slide Time: 00:31)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[D_0^2 + 2\epsilon D_0 D_1 + \epsilon^2 (D_1^2 + 2D_0 D_2) \right] \left[x_0 + \epsilon x_1 + \epsilon^2 x_2 + \dots \right] \\
 & + 2\epsilon \left[D_0 + \epsilon D_1 + \epsilon^2 D_2 + \dots \right] \left[x_0 + \epsilon x_1 + \epsilon^2 x_2 + \dots \right] \\
 & + \left(x_0 + \epsilon x_1 + \epsilon^2 x_2 + \dots \right) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$D_0 \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}$ $D_0^2 \equiv \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T_0^2}$

$O(1): (D_0^2 + 1)x_0 = 0 \leftarrow$

$O(\epsilon): (D_0^2 + 1)x_1 = -2D_0 D_1 x_0 - 2D_0 x_0$

$O(\epsilon^2): (D_0^2 + 1)x_2 = -2D_0 D_2 x_0 - \underbrace{D_1^2 x_0}_{\text{complex quantity}} - \underbrace{2D_0 D_1 x_1}_{\text{complex quantity}} - \underbrace{2D_1 x_0}_{\text{complex quantity}} - \underbrace{2D_0 x_1}_{\text{complex quantity}}$

$O(1): x_0(T_0, T_1, T_2) = A_0(T_1, T_2) e^{iT_0} + \text{c.c.}$

$A_0 \rightarrow$ remains undetermined at this order

In particular we had found, that at the lowest order of description our system just behaves as a undamped oscillator with unit frequency. At the next order, where we expect some effect of damping to show up.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:39)

$O(\epsilon): (D_0^2 + 1)x_1 = -2D_0 D_1 x_0 - 2D_0 x_0$
 $x_0 = A_0(T_1, T_2) e^{iT_0} + c.c.$
 $2D_0 D_1 x_0 = 2i \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial T_1} e^{iT_0} + c.c.$
 $2D_0 x_0 = 2i A_0 e^{iT_0} + c.c.$
 $(D_0^2 + 1)x_1 = \left[-2i \left(\frac{\partial A_0}{\partial T_1} + A_0 \right) e^{iT_0} \right] + c.c.$
 Resonant forcing term
 $\frac{\partial A_0}{\partial T_1} + A_0 = 0$
 $\Rightarrow A_0(T_1, T_2) = a_0(t_2) e^{-T_1}$ (Unknown)

Definitions:
 $D_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}$
 $D_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_1}$
 $D_0 D_1 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T_0 \partial T_1}$
 $D_0 D_1 x_0 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T_0 \partial T_1} (A_0 e^{iT_0}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_0} \left[\frac{\partial A_0}{\partial T_1} e^{iT_0} \right] = \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial T_1} e^{iT_0}$

We had found, that we have an inhomogeneous ordinary differential equation, the right hand side has a term, which is proportional to e^{iT_0} , that is a resonant forcing term. And in order to; in order to prevent appearance of secular terms we need to eliminate that term. That in turn gave us an equation for the amplitude A_0 . The A_0 was actually a function of T_1 and T_2 and was appearing had appeared earlier as a coefficient of the lowest order solution.

So, now by eliminating the resonant forcing term, we have an equation governing A_0 , if we solve this equation this is easy to solve, this is just a first order ODE this is actually a PDE, but we can again solve it as an ODE. So, you can see, that this equation just has the solution A_0 which is a function of T_1 and T_2 as we had indicated earlier is equal to $\epsilon^{-1} a_0(t_2)$.

Once again this a_0 is not a constant, but it is a function of the other unknown T_2 of the second independent variable T_2 . So now, we know the T_1 dependence of capital A_0 , the T_2 dependence is still unknown. So, this part is still unknown.

And so, now, we have found out the T_1 dependency of A_0 , the T_2 is still unknown and that helps us in simplifying the equation governing x_1 . So, this is the equation governing x_1 . The right hand side is now completely 0, because we have said the only term which appeared was $e^{i T_0}$ and $e^{-i T_0}$.

By setting the coefficient of $e^{i T_0}$ to 0, we have also set the coefficient of $e^{-i T_0}$ to 0, because the coefficient is just the complex conjugate of the expression that we have just set to 0. So, if this expression in the red box is 0, its complex conjugate is also 0 automatically, alright.

So, now, this tells us that the equation governing x_1 is also a homogeneous equation, because the right hand side is now completely 0.

(Refer Slide Time: 03:11)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (D_0^2 + 1)x_1 &= 0 \quad \left[\text{After elimination of resonant forcing on R.H.S.} \right] \\
 x_1(T_0, T_1, T_2) &= \underbrace{A_1(T_1, T_2)}_{\text{complex}} e^{iT_0} + \text{c.c.} \\
 \underline{O(\epsilon^2)}: (D_0^2 + 1)x_2 &= \underbrace{-2D_0 D_2 x_0}_{\textcircled{1}} - \underbrace{D_1^2 x_0}_{\textcircled{2}} - \underbrace{2D_0 D_1 x_1}_{\textcircled{3}} - \underbrace{2D_1 x_0}_{\textcircled{4}} - \underbrace{2D_0 x_1}_{\textcircled{5}} \\
 \textcircled{1}: & -2D_0 D_2 x_0
 \end{aligned}$$

So, I can write the solution to x_1 as so, the equation after the simplification governing x_1 is just this. So, this is after elimination of resonant forcing on R. H. S; on the right hand side.

So, once we have eliminated that that gave us the T_1 dependency of the variable capital A naught ok. And, this is in turn leading us to this equation for x_1 , this turns out to be the same equation which govern x naught also.

Again this is easy to solve. So, x_1 which is a function of T naught T_1 and T_2 can be once again written as some coefficient like before at the lowest order I was using the symbol A naught, now I will use the symbol A_1 because this is order epsilon calculation.

A_1 is A function of T_1 and T_2 into e to the power $i T$ naught plus the complex conjugate of this part. Like before like A naught A_1 is also a complex function of T_1, T_2 , you give it 2

real numbers it may give you a complex number. So, this is in general a complex quantity. And, because this is complex we have to add its complex conjugate part to give us a real x_1 , ok.

(Refer Slide Time: 04:47)

$O(\epsilon): (D_0^2 + 1)x_1 = -2D_0 D_1 x_0 - 2D_0 x_0$
 $x_0 = A_0(T_1, T_2) e^{iT_0} + c.c.$
 $2D_0 D_1 x_0 = 2i \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial T_1} e^{iT_0} + c.c.$
 $2D_0 x_0 = 2i A_0 e^{iT_0} + c.c.$
 $(D_0^2 + 1)x_1 = \left[-2i \left(\frac{\partial A_0}{\partial T_1} + A_0 \right) e^{iT_0} \right] + c.c.$
 Resonant forcing term
 $\parallel$
 0
 $x_1 / \tau_1 = a_0(t_2) e^{-T_1}$
 $D_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}$
 $D_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_1}$
 $D_0 D_1 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T_0 \partial T_1}$
 $D_0 D_1 x_0 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T_0 \partial T_1} (A_0 e^{iT_0})$
 $= \frac{\partial}{\partial T_0} \left[\frac{\partial A_0}{\partial T_1} e^{iT_0} \right]$
 $= \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial T_1} i e^{iT_0}$
 We have not yet completely determined $A_0(T_1, T_2)$ as $a_0(T_2)$ is still unknown.

So, now we have determined x_1 , we have determined A_1 , A_1 is not completely determined because in the expression that we have for A_1 there is a small A naught sitting here. So, you can see that there is a small a naught sitting here this is a function of T_2 and we do not know what function of T_2 this is. So, for this we need to go to higher orders.

So, let us proceed now to the third order of calculation. So, order epsilon square. Here the left hand side is the same now operating on x_2 . And, we had written earlier that there were a few terms in the right hand side. We had written that earlier that was minus twice $D_0 D_1$ square operating on x naught minus D_1 square x naught.

As I said before, you can see that the right hand side is getting lengthier and lengthier. At the lowest order we had 0 in the right hand side, at the next order, we had we had 2 terms on the right hand side. The term indicated in the red bracket box and the term indicated in black box. Both of them produced a resonant forcing term we eliminated it and so, our right hand side was once again 0.

Now, at the or at order epsilon square I have 5 terms. And, so, you can see that the amount of algebra that we have to do keeps becoming more and more as we go to higher orders ok. So, let us do this. So, we now have to express. So, you can see that all the terms on the right hand side are known, because we know now what is x_0 and what is x_1 , we only have to take the derivatives.

So, let us do them 1 by 1. So, I will call this 1 term 2, term 3, 4, 5. So, 1 is minus twice D_0 , D_2 of x_{naught} . So, for reference let me write let me raise this complex thing and let me write the solution for x_{naught} also. So, that we have both the expressions for x_{naught} and x_1 at 1 place.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:07)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (D_0^2 + 1)x_1 &= 0 \quad \left[\text{After elimination of resonant forcing on R.H.S.} \right] \\
 x_1(T_0, T_1, T_2) &= \boxed{A_1(T_1, T_2)} e^{iT_0} + \text{c.c.} \quad A_0 = \boxed{a_0(T_2)} e^{-T_1} \\
 x_0(T_0, T_1, T_2) &= A_0(T_1, T_2) e^{iT_0} + \text{c.c.} \quad \uparrow \\
 O(\epsilon^2): (D_0^2 + 1)x_2 &= \underset{\textcircled{1}}{-2D_0 D_2 x_0} - \underset{\textcircled{2}}{D_1^2 x_0} - \underset{\textcircled{3}}{2D_0 D_1 x_1} - \underset{\textcircled{4}}{2D_1 x_0} - \underset{\textcircled{5}}{2D_0 x_1} \\
 \textcircled{1}: -2D_0 D_2 x_0 &= -2D_0 D_2 [A_0 e^{iT_0} + \text{c.c.}] \\
 &= -2i \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial T_2} e^{iT_0} + \text{c.c.} \\
 \textcircled{2}: -D_1^2 x_0 &= -\frac{\partial^2 A_0}{\partial T_1^2} e^{iT_0} + \text{c.c.} \\
 \textcircled{3}: -2D_0 D_1 x_1 &= -2i \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial T_1} e^{iT_0} + \text{c.c.}
 \end{aligned}$$

So, we had found that x naught plus complex conjugate, again if to eliminate confusion I will put a $c c 1$ and $c c 2$ it will indicate that this $c c 1$ is a complex conjugate of the term on it is left. Whereas, the $c c 2$ is a complex conjugate of the term on it is left ok. And, we had also found A naught; so I am just summarizing what we have found so far. We had also found that A naught is small a naught, this is unknown yet e to the power minus $T 1$.

So, up to now this is an unknown and that is an unknown ok, so, because small a naught is unknown. So, you can also think that capital A naught is also an unknown, but it is partially known because we know that A naught depends on $T 1$ as e to the power minus $T 1$ ok. However, the $T 2$ dependence is not known. So, that is why I am not putting a naught; capital A naught in a red box, it is partially known and then partially unknown ok.

So, now let us calculate this term. This is equal to minus twice D_0, D_2 of A naught e to the power $i T$ naught plus $c c$. Again, I am not going to write $c c_1, c c_2$, it should be understood that whenever I write a $c c$ it indicates that it is the complex conjugate of everything that appears on the left of the $c c$. So, every $c c$ is not the same. So, if I have multiple $c c$'s in my in what I am writing, every $c c$ represents a different expression that should be remembered, ok.

And, this is just minus twice $i \text{ del } A$ naught by $\text{del } T^2$ into e to the power $i T$ naught. We know A naught from this expression, we will do that derivative later, but let me write it; let me keep it in this form right now. The second term is minus D_1 square x naught, this is equal to minus $\text{del}^2 A$ naught. So, by $\text{del } T^1$ square into e to the power $i T$ naught plus again the complex conjugate of this term.

The third term is twice D naught, D_1, x_1 . We need all of these terms before we can work on the equation at order epsilon square, because each of these terms can potentially produce a particular integral. First we will have to see whether it produces a resonant forcing term or not.

If so, we will have to eliminate the resonant forcing term, then what is left we will have to work out the particular integrals of those terms. And, then we will have to write the general solution as a linear combination of the homogeneous solution plus the particular integral.

So, this is the general structure that we will follow. So, minus twice D_0, D_1 of x_1 is just minus twice $i \text{ del } A_1$ by $\text{del } T^1$ e to the power $i T$ naught plus $c c, x$ naught.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:55)

④ : $-2 D_1 x_0 = -2 \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial T_1} e^{iT_0} + c.c.$

⑤ : $-2 D_0 x_1 = -2 i A_1 e^{iT_0} + c.c.$

$(D_0^2 + 1) x_2 = - \left[2i \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial T_2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_0}{\partial T_1^2} + 2i \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial T_1} + 2 \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial T_1} + 2 i A_1 \right] e^{iT_0} + c.c.$

$A_0 = a_0(T_2) e^{-T_1}, \quad \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial T_2} = \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial T_2} e^{-T_1}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 A_0}{\partial T_1^2} = a_0(T_2) e^{-T_1}$

$\frac{\partial A_0}{\partial T_1} = -a_0(T_2) e^{-T_1}$

$(D_0^2 + 1) x_2 = - \left[2i \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial T_2} e^{-T_1} + (a_0 e^{-T_1}) + 2i \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial T_1} - 2 a_0 e^{-T_1} + 2 i A_1 \right] e^{iT_0} + c.c.$

$2i A_1 + 2i \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial T_1} = a_0 e^{-T_1} - 2i \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial T_2} e^{-T_1}$

By setting the coefficient of e^{iT_0} on the R.H.S. to 0.

And that is minus 2 del A naught by del T 1 e to the power i T naught plus it is complex conjugate. And, the 5th term is just minus D 2 D naught x 1 is 2 D naught x 1. And, this is equal to minus 2 i A 1 e to the power i T naught plus it is complex conjugate ok. So, we have now calculated all the 5 terms, let us put them together.

So, the left hand side is just putting on x 2 and then it is 1 plus 2 plus 3 plus 4 plus 5. So, then that is minus I will put a minus common. So, then we have twice i del A naught by del T 2, that is my first term.

Then, my second term is a second derivative plus del square A naught by del T 1 square. Note that all the terms have e to the power i T naught; all the 5 terms have a e to the power i T

naught. So, I am going to pull that out and keep it common, you can also see that it will produce all of these terms will produce a resonant forcing term.

The third term is twice $i \frac{\partial A}{\partial T}$, you can check that and then the 4th and the 5th term. So, we can take a 2 also common, there is in the second term there is no 2, so we cannot take 2 common ok, fine. And, then the 5th term is twice $i A$ the power $i T$ naught plus complex conjugate of everything on the left. So, the complex conjugate of this whole thing in square brackets multiplied by e to the power $i T$ naught, ok.

So, now we can simplify this a little bit we have seen earlier, that A naught is small a naught which was a function of T^2 an unknown function as yet and then a known T^1 dependence. So, wherever we have derivatives with respect to A naught we can work out those derivatives. So, I have a derivative with respect to T naught A naught here a derivative here and a derivative there.

So, we have so, $\frac{\partial A}{\partial T}$ naught. So, let me write it on the side $\frac{\partial A}{\partial T}$ naught comma $\frac{\partial T^2}{\partial T}$ is just $\frac{\partial a}{\partial T}$ naught by $\frac{\partial T^2}{\partial T}$ e to the power minus T^1 . And, then $\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial T^2}$ naught by $\frac{\partial T^2}{\partial T}$ square, it will take the derivative of e to the power minus T^1 twice, so I will get back the same expression. And, then we also have $\frac{\partial A}{\partial T}$ naught by $\frac{\partial T^1}{\partial T}$, which is just minus of this.

So, if I plug in this on the right hand side, I have a minus this is twice $i \frac{\partial a}{\partial T}$ naught by $\frac{\partial T^2}{\partial T}$ e to the power minus T^1 plus a naught e to the power minus T^1 , that term remains intact. Then, the 4th term gives me and the 5th term is just twice $i A$ plus the complex conjugate. If, we remember that we have to take the complex conjugate we do not have to keep writing it all the time.

So, now you can see that all the terms; so, there are 5 terms and you can see that all the terms have a pre factor which is this, which is this or a post factor in this case, e to the power $i T$ naught. As I told you earlier e to the power $T i T$ naught is a solution to the homogeneous equation. If, I said the left hand side is equal to 0 any α into e to the power $i T$ naught any constant into e to the power $i T$ naught is a solution to the homogeneous equation.

Once again, we will have to set the entire coefficient of e to the power $i T$ naught to 0. So, everything in square bracket here goes to 0. So, say everything in square bracket goes to 0 and so, we obtain; so, I am just going to write twice $i A 1$ plus twice $i \Delta A 1$ by $\Delta T 1$. So, I am writing this term and this term. And, I am shifting others to the right hand side.

So, this and this can be combined and it just gives me minus a 0 e to the power minus $T 1$. If I shift it to the right hand side, it just becomes plus a 0 e to the power minus $T 1$. And, then we have minus twice $i \Delta a$ naught by $\Delta T 2$.

Please remember what are we trying to do here. We have obtained this equation by setting the coefficient of e to the power $i T 0$ on the right hand side to 0. So, this minus that is there is not relevant for our calculation. We do not have to worry about it, because I am taking the entire square bracket and setting it equal to 0. See, even if I had a minus it does not matter, because I am going to set it equal to 0.

So, I took the entire square bracket without the minus set it equal to 0. The 2 terms that I have encircled they are the same. So, I can add them and it gives me a minus a 0 e to the power minus $T 1$; I shifted to the right hand side. And, then this is the first term here; the first term here this is also shifted to the right hand side. So, it comes here. So, I have missed e to the power minus $T 1$ here.

And, then the terms that I have indicated by tick marks. So, let me put them in color. So, this tick mark and this tick mark. These two terms stay on the left, because these terms depend on capital $A 1$. And, the terms on the right depend on small a naught; this is the structure in which I have written down things ok.

So, this now is telling me something interesting. Like before it seems to be giving us an equation governing $A 1$. Recall that $A 1$ was an unknown and order epsilon, $A 1$ was just some unknown function of $T 1$ and $T 2$, we have seen this before, ok.

So, A_1 in square brackets in the rectangular bracket at the top of the slide, you can see is an unknown function yet. And, now by eliminating resonant forcing at second order, order epsilon square, we seem to be getting an equation for A_1 . However, on the right hand side is small a naught and we do not yet know what is small a naught ok. So, let us see how to proceed with this.

So, we have to solve this equation. Let us do it. It is easy easier if we shift the $2i$ on the left hand side, both terms have a $2i$, if I shift it to the right hand side it becomes a half there is an i in the denominator, but I can multiply numerator and denominator by i and bring i to the numerator and it becomes minus i , because of i square in the denominator, ok.

(Refer Slide Time: 20:17)

GENERAL SOLUTION

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{\partial A_1}{\partial T_1} + A_1 \right) = \underbrace{-\frac{i}{2} \left(a_0 - 2i \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial T_2} \right) e^{-T_1}}_{F(T_2)} \quad a_0(T_2)$$

$$\text{C.F. : } \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial T_1} + A_1 = 0 \Rightarrow A_1(T_1, T_2) = a_1(T_2) e^{-T_1}$$

$$\text{P.I. : } \boxed{\alpha(T_2) T_1 e^{-T_1}} = A_1$$

$$\alpha e^{-T_1} - \cancel{\alpha T_1 e^{-T_1}} + \cancel{\alpha T_1 e^{-T_1}} = -\frac{i}{2} \left(a_0 - 2i \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial T_2} \right) e^{-T_1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha(T_2) = -\frac{i}{2} \left(a_0 - 2i \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial T_2} \right) = \frac{i}{2} \left(-a_0 + 2i \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial T_2} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow A_1(T_1, T_2) = a_1 e^{-T_1} + \frac{i}{2} \left(-a_0 + 2i \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial T_2} \right) T_1 e^{-T_1}$$

NPTEL

So, then the equation becomes $\frac{dA_1}{dT_1} + A_1 = -i$, as I told you earlier and multiplied by e^{T_1} into whatever we had a naught minus twice i $\frac{dA_1}{dT_1} + A_1$ and I am taking the e to the power minus T_1 out, ok.

So, this is also again, this is actually a partial differential equation, but can be solved like an ordinary differential equation ok. There will be a C F and there will be a P I. So, the C F is just taking the left hand side and setting it equal to 0. So, C F is just $\frac{dA_1}{dT_1} + A_1 = 0$.

And so, this implies A_1 which recall is a function of T_1 and T_2 is some constant, it is not really going to be a constant into e to the power minus T_1 and because A_1 is a function of T_1 and T_2 and we are integrating in T_1 . So, this small a_1 becomes a function of T_2 . So, this small a_1 is the like the small a_0 , which we had earlier and which is appearing in the right hand side of this equation.

So, A_1 , A_0 small a_1 small a_0 are still unknowns, but we have figured out the T_1 dependence of A_1 , from the homogeneous part. So, this is the complementary function. The particular integral is easy. You can see that this entire thing is just a function of T_2 . There is no T_1 here why because small a naught is a function of T_2 . We have said that earlier that small a naught is a function of T_2 alone.

So, this part that I have underlined here is a function of T_2 , because it involves A naught and derivatives of A naught with respect to T_2 . So, this is just a function of T_2 it is an unknown function, but it is a function of T_2 . So, the entire term on the right hand side; so this entire term on the right hand side has e to the power minus T_1 with a coefficient, which is just a function of T_2 .

So, I can find the particular integral for this very easily. I say that the P I is going to be α which is a function of T_2 , $T_1 e$ to the power minus T_1 . Why did I take $T_1 e$ to the power minus T_1 ? Because some constant or some function of T_2 into e to the power minus T_1 is a solution to the homogeneous part.

So, this is like a resonant forcing term, but you will see that this will not produce a secular term, but we will still have to eliminate it. So, I have taken $T e$ to the power, because this right hand side is e to the power minus $T 1$ is the solution of the left hand side ok.

So, we have to take $T e$ to the power minus $T 1$. So, that is why αT^2 into $T 1 e$ to the power minus $T 1$, there has to be a function of T^2 here because the right hand side has a function of T^2 , where small a naught is a function of T^2 . So, I hope this part is clear.

So, now, we have to set as we have to in order to determine $P I$, we have only need to find out what is α ok. So, we have to go back and substitute into this equation. This is the usual way in which we find particular integrals and we will just get α into I take the derivative of $T 1$ first. So, it is just e to the power minus $T 1$ plus α so α never α is just a function of T^2 . So, it does not participate in the differentiation. And, then this and there should be a minus sign here e to the power minus $T 1$, that is the first term.

$\frac{d}{dt} A 1$ by $\frac{d}{dt} T 1$ plus $A 1$, $A 1$ we have said is $\alpha T 1 e$ to the power minus $T 1$, so, $\alpha T 1 e$ to the power minus $T 1$ ok. And, this is equal to minus i by $2 a$ naught minus twice I ; I am just writing the right hand side of that equation. This term and this term cancel each other and this tells me that α is just minus i by $2 a$ naught minus twice $i \frac{d}{dt} a$ naught by $\frac{d}{dt} T^2$.

It may appear a little bit tedious it may appear more complicated than what we had done in the Lindstedt Poincare method, but this is a much more powerful technique ok. And, we will see use of it when we do interfacial waves, ok. So, this and then I can push the minus sign inside if you want minus a naught plus. So, α as we had expected is just a function of T^2 . This a naught is a function of T^2 $\frac{d}{dt} a$ naught by $\frac{d}{dt} T$ is also a function of T^2 so, that is α .

So, we now know the complementary function, the complementary function was this we also know the particular integral. The particular integral was $\alpha T 1$ times e to the power minus $T 1$ and we now know α . So, we can write the most general solution of this

equation. This so, this is the equation whose general solution we are trying to write. So, let me write.

Say A_1 which is a function of T_1 comma T_2 is small ϵ to the power minus T_1 ok, that is my complementary function. And, then a particular integral which is i by 2 , I am just writing the value of α into T_1 ϵ to the power minus T_1 ok. So, this is the expression for A_1 . Now, what do we do next?

(Refer Slide Time: 26:53)

$$\begin{aligned}
 x &= x_0 + \epsilon x_1 + \epsilon^2 x_2 \\
 &= A_0(T_1, T_2) e^{iT_0} + \epsilon \left(A_1(T_1, T_2) e^{iT_0} \right) + \dots \\
 &= a_0(T_2) e^{-T_1} e^{iT_0} + \epsilon \left[a_1(T_2) + \frac{i}{2} \left(-a_0 + 2i \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial T_2} \right) T_1 \right] e^{-T_1} e^{iT_0} \\
 &\quad + \dots + \text{c.c.} \\
 x_0 &= a_0 e^{-T_1} e^{iT_0} = a_0 e^{-\epsilon t} e^{it} \\
 \epsilon x_1 &= \epsilon \left[a_1(T_2) + \frac{i}{2} \left(-a_0 + 2i \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial T_2} \right) \epsilon t \right] e^{-\epsilon t} e^{it} \\
 &\quad \uparrow \\
 &\quad \epsilon^2 t e^{-\epsilon t} e^{it}
 \end{aligned}$$

When $t \sim \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$, the second term in the expression for ϵx_1 i.e. $(\dots) \epsilon^2 t \exp(-\epsilon t) \exp(it)$ becomes $O(x_0)$ and this causes the perturbation series to become disordered

So, let us summarize what we have obtained so, far ok. So, far we have found that x is equal to x_0 plus ϵx_1 plus $\epsilon^2 x_2$, we have not yet solved for x_2 , but we have solved for x_0 and x_1 ; x_0 turned out to be $A_0(T_1, T_2) e^{iT_0}$. I am not writing the complex conjugate I will write it at the end. ϵ times x_1

was A_1 which is also a function of T_1 , T_2 e to the power $i T_{\text{naught}}$ plus we have not solved for x_2 yet. So, let us put a dot dot.

We then found out that A_{naught} is given by small a_{naught} which is a function of T_2 . Then, it is e to the power minus T_1 into e to the power $i T_{\text{naught}}$. Similarly, ϵ times a_1 we have found a small a_1 which is some unknown function of T_2 plus I by 2 minus a_{naught} plus twice i , this is the α that we had found earlier.

And, then we had a common e to the power minus T_1 and then this gets multiplied by e to the power $i T_{\text{naught}}$. Plus we have higher order quantities and then we have to add the complex conjugate of everything to the left. So, this is what we have found so far.

Now, if you look at x_{naught} then x_{naught} is of the form a_{naught} e to the power minus T_1 e to the power $i T_{\text{naught}}$. If, I go back to my small t variable, then this will turn out to be e to the power minus ϵt . So, there is the damping that you can see that we had anticipated ok. And, then this would be $i t$ this is fine.

ϵx_1 the second term, the second term is ϵx_1 . What did we find this to be this is ϵa_1 of T_2 plus i by 2 into what is there in the bracket. T_1 is ϵt into e to the power minus ϵt into e to the power $i t$. This is what we would find, if we went back to small t variables.

Now, look at the term inside the square bracket, look at the term; look at the second term. Now, what is here is a_{naught} plus twice $i \text{del } a_{\text{naught}}$ by $\text{del } T_2$. You can see that this ϵ will multiply that ϵ and this will be a term of the form $\epsilon^2 t$ into e to the power minus ϵt into e to the power $i t$ with some coefficient. We can prevent this by setting the coefficient of this term to 0.

(Refer Slide Time: 30:19)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi &= \chi_0 + \epsilon \chi_1 + \epsilon^2 \chi_2 \\
 &= A_0(T_1, T_2) e^{iT_0} + \epsilon \left(A_1(T_1, T_2) e^{iT_0} \right) + \dots \\
 &= a_0(T_2) e^{-T_1} e^{iT_0} + \epsilon \left[a_1(T_2) + \frac{i}{2} \left(-a_0 + 2i \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial T_2} \right) T_1 \right] e^{-T_1} e^{iT_0} \\
 &\quad + \dots + \text{c.c.} \\
 \chi_0 &= a_0 e^{-T_1} e^{iT_0} = a_0 e^{-\epsilon t} e^{it} \\
 \epsilon \chi_1 &= \epsilon \left[a_1(T_2) + \frac{i}{2} \left(-a_0 + 2i \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial T_2} \right) \epsilon t \right] e^{-\epsilon t} e^{it} \\
 &\quad \uparrow \quad \quad \quad \text{Disordering} \\
 &\quad \quad \quad \left(\epsilon^2 t \right) e^{-\epsilon t} e^{it} \quad \quad \quad \boxed{-a_0 + 2i \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial T_2} = 0} \\
 &\quad \quad \quad \boxed{t \sim \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}} \quad O(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

So, we can prevent this disordering, by ordering I mean every term in the perturbation series is smaller than all the terms on it is left. So, we can prevent this disordering by setting minus a 0 plus twice i del a 0 by del T 2 equal to 0. You can immediately see that this gives you the equation that we had sort for small a 0.

So, we are going to solve this equation and we will find that there are no more unknowns, there will only be an unknown constant when we integrate this equation. There will be no more unknown functions which appear here and that unknown constant can only be obtained by from initial conditions.

We will continue this in the next video.

