Microsensors, Implantable Devices and Rodent Surgeries for Biomedical Applications
Course Instructor: Dr. Shabari Girishan
Department of Electronic Systems Engineering
Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore
Week - 12
Lecture – 53

Hello everyone, and welcome back to our discussion on Rodent Behavioral Setups. Today, we will focus on the critical aspect of selecting the right apparatus for your Parkinsonian research. The choice of apparatus significantly impacts the type of behavioral manifestations you can observe and analyze.

For instance, if you are interested in studying the effects of Parkinsonism on the distal limbs, the staircase test might be ideal. In this test, the rat climbs stairs to retrieve a pellet, requiring precise forelimb movements. This task allows for a detailed assessment of forelimb function and any impairments caused by Parkinsonism.

On the other hand, if your research focuses on axial muscles, which are crucial for posture and gait, you might opt for a gross motor task like the cylinder test or swimming test. These tasks evaluate overall body coordination and balance, providing insights into the impact of Parkinsonism on postural control. If gait analysis is your primary interest, consider using specialized pressure monitors, such as the Noldus CatWalk system, to capture subtle changes in gait patterns, including bradykinesia and rigidity.

It's important to remember that injecting an excitotoxic drug into a specific target can induce a range of Parkinsonian manifestations. Therefore, it's crucial to identify the specific manifestation that aligns with your research objectives. Besides motor symptoms, Parkinsonism can also lead to non-motor manifestations, such as anxiety, stress, and psychiatric symptoms. This is because the basal ganglia circuitry is involved in various functions, including motor control, emotional regulation, and sensory-limbic processing.

Therefore, understanding which aspect of the basal ganglia you are interested in studying is vital for selecting the appropriate behavioral setup. For our discussion, we will focus on the rotor rod test, which provides a simple yet effective way to assess motor coordination and balance. This test is particularly useful for determining whether Parkinsonism has manifested in the rodent model. Once you've established the presence of Parkinsonism, you can then proceed with your intervention, whether it's a drug treatment or an implant, to validate its efficacy.

The rotor rod, along with other behavioral assays, offers an objective way to evaluate the clinical manifestations of Parkinsonism. As with the stroke model, having objective measures is essential for accurate assessment and comparison of pre- and post-intervention data. This objectivity ensures the reliability of your findings and minimizes the potential for bias or subjective interpretation.

Let's now consider a specific example of a gait analysis apparatus. In the video, you'll see a rat walking along a narrow path. A treadmill can also be used for gait analysis, as it allows for controlled and standardized measurements. Both approaches are valuable for studying the gait changes associated with Parkinsonism, such as slowed movement, rigidity, and altered stride patterns.

These behavioral assays provide quantitative data on motor function, enabling you to track disease progression and evaluate the effectiveness of interventions. By combining these behavioral assessments with neuroimaging and electrophysiological techniques, you can gain a comprehensive understanding of the neural mechanisms underlying Parkinson's disease and develop innovative therapeutic strategies.

In our next session, we will explore additional behavioral assays and discuss their applications in Parkinsonian research. We will also delve into data analysis techniques and discuss how to interpret and present your findings effectively. Remember, choosing the right apparatus and assays is crucial for conducting impactful research and contributing to the advancement of knowledge in this field.

Let's begin by acknowledging that Parkinsonism can manifest in different ways. It can be either hemi-Parkinsonism, affecting one side of the body, or bilateral Parkinsonism, affecting both sides. The choice between these models depends on the specific goals of your research and the type of injection you administer. While bilateral injections can induce bilateral Parkinsonism, the hemi-Parkinsonian model, where only one half of the body is affected, is more commonly used.

Now, let's explore some of the various test apparatus available for assessing Parkinsonian manifestations in rodent models. If you're looking for a simple and quick way to evaluate motor impairment, consider the string test. In this test, the rat is placed on a string suspended above a surface, and its ability to grasp the string and maintain balance is assessed. The time it takes for the rat to fall serves as a measure of motor function. While healthy rats can typically balance for extended periods, rats with Parkinsonism tend to fall sooner due to impaired coordination and muscle control.

Another simple test is the pole test, where the rat is placed at the top of a vertical pole and its ability to climb down is observed. Rats with Parkinsonism often exhibit difficulties with this task, displaying slower descent times or even slipping and falling. These tests, while relatively crude compared to more sophisticated methods like the rotor rod, offer a quick and easy way to identify the presence of Parkinsonian symptoms.

For a more objective assessment of gait, consider using a gait analysis system. In the video, you can see a rat walking through a tunnel toward a food reward. As it walks, its paws make contact with a pressure-sensitive strip embedded in the floor. This strip records the rat's footsteps, providing data on the number of steps taken, the time to reach the reward, and the pressure exerted by each paw.

The green lights you see in the video indicate the pressure-sensitive pads that capture the rat's gait pattern. This system enables researchers to objectively quantify various aspects of gait, including stride length, paw placement, and the distribution of weight between the forelimbs and hindlimbs. By comparing gait data before and after inducing Parkinsonism or administering an intervention, you can gain valuable insights into the effects of the disease or treatment on motor function.

Gait analysis systems like this are particularly useful when your research focuses on locomotor deficits associated with Parkinsonism. However, they might not be ideal for studying other aspects, such as fine motor skills or psychiatric manifestations. Therefore, it's important to carefully consider your research questions and select the apparatus that best suits your needs.

Now, let's turn our attention to another commonly used apparatus for assessing Parkinsonism: the rotor rod. The rotor rod test is a classic and widely employed method for evaluating motor coordination and balance in rodent models. Its primary advantage lies in its automated nature, providing objective data through a user interface and eliminating the need for manual scoring.

If your study focuses on the gross motor manifestations of Parkinsonism and doesn't require a detailed evaluation of forelimb function or psychiatric symptoms, the rotor rod can be a valuable tool. It allows you to quickly and efficiently assess whether Parkinsonism has manifested in your rodent model. Once you've confirmed the presence of Parkinsonian symptoms, you can then proceed with your intervention and evaluate its effectiveness using the same apparatus.

In essence, the rotor rod serves as a screening tool for identifying motor impairments associated with Parkinsonism. It's a valuable addition to your research toolkit, especially when you need a quick and reliable way to assess the overall motor function of your rodent models.

The key motor manifestations of Parkinson's disease include akinesia, rigidity, and postural changes. The rotor rod test effectively assesses these motor impairments by evaluating the rodent's motor coordination and balance. While suitable for both mice and rats, the apparatus typically consists of a rotating rod or treadmill-like platform.

The rod rotates at a constant or accelerating speed, challenging the rodent to maintain its balance and remain on the rotating surface. The primary outcome measure is the fall time, or the duration the rodent can stay on the rod before falling off. This simple yet effective measure provides valuable insights into motor coordination and balance deficits associated with Parkinsonism.

Let's delve into the components of a typical rotor rod setup. The central component is a cylindrical or dowel-shaped rod, whose surface can be smooth, textured, or grooved. The choice of surface texture influences the difficulty of the task. A smooth surface presents a greater challenge, requiring enhanced balance and coordination.

Varying the surface texture is particularly useful when assessing subtle manifestations of Parkinsonism. If the injection of the excitotoxic agent results in only mild motor impairments, a smooth surface might be necessary to detect those subtle changes in gait and balance. On the other hand, if the Parkinsonian symptoms are more pronounced, a textured or grooved surface might be more appropriate.

The diameter of the rod can also be adjusted, further influencing the task's difficulty. A smaller diameter rod demands greater balance and coordination, making it more challenging for the rodents. Additionally, the rotor rod often incorporates an acceleration mechanism, allowing you to increase or decrease the rotation speed. This feature enables you to assess the rodent's ability to adapt to changing conditions and maintain balance under increasing demands.

Before inducing Parkinsonism, it's crucial to establish baseline performance across a range of conditions. By varying the rod's diameter, surface texture, and acceleration speed, you can gain a comprehensive understanding of the rodent's motor capabilities and identify any subtle deficits that might be present even before the induction of Parkinsonism.

The rotor rod setup also includes animal holding chambers, allowing for individual testing of multiple rodents. While it's possible to test multiple animals simultaneously, testing them individually is generally preferred to avoid any potential interference or confounding effects.

Overall, the rotor rod test is a versatile and valuable tool for assessing motor coordination and balance in rodent models of Parkinson's disease. Its automated nature, adjustable parameters, and objective outcome measures make it a popular choice for researchers investigating the motor manifestations of Parkinsonism and evaluating the efficacy of potential therapeutic interventions.

If your primary goal is to measure fall time, you can test multiple rats simultaneously on the rotor rod. However, it's crucial to maintain consistency in training and ensure that animals are tested in the same channels to avoid introducing variability. The rotor rod apparatus typically consists of several components, and the data output reflects these components. It includes a fall detection system with sensors embedded in the paddles at the bottom. When a rat falls, these sensors automatically detect the fall time, recording it alongside the trial time and other relevant parameters in the user interface.

The rotor rod offers flexibility in adjusting various operating parameters to tailor the experiment to your specific needs. You can modify the rotation speed, test duration, number of trials, and rest periods between trials. These parameters allow you to explore how Parkinsonism affects the rat's performance under different conditions. However, it's crucial to maintain consistency in these parameters between pre- and post-intervention assessments to ensure accurate comparisons.

Before subjecting rats to the rotor rod test, certain precautions should be taken to ensure the validity of your results. First and foremost, allow the animals to acclimate to the apparatus without the rod rotating. This helps minimize stress and anxiety, which could influence their performance. Handle the animals gently and ensure they are healthy and free from any conditions that could affect motor function. For instance, if sedation was used, ensure its effects have completely worn off before testing. Additionally, if any drugs were administered for other reasons, ensure they do not interfere with motor function.

Furthermore, carefully examine the rats for any wounds or ulcers on their paws, as these could impact their ability to grip the rod and maintain balance. Similarly, any spinal deformities should be noted and considered, as they can significantly affect gait and posture. If preclinical MRI is not part of your study design, conduct a thorough visual assessment to ensure the animals are walking normally before including them in the experiment.

Once you've confirmed the animals' suitability for testing, allow them to briefly explore the testing chambers without starting the rotor rod. This habituation period helps familiarize them with the environment and reduces anxiety. Next, set up the rotor rod, carefully adjusting the parameters, including diameter, rotation speed, acceleration settings, and test duration. These parameters should be selected based on your research goals and the expected severity of motor impairment in your Parkinsonian model.

Finally, initiate the test and closely observe the rats' behavior. Record the fall times for each animal and compare them to baseline measurements or post-intervention data. The rotor rod test, with its automated features and objective outcome measures, provides a valuable tool for assessing motor dysfunction in Parkinsonian rodent models. By carefully controlling experimental conditions and analyzing the data, you can gain valuable insights into the effects of Parkinsonism and the potential efficacy of therapeutic interventions.

Once the setup is ready, it's time to begin the testing. Remember to prioritize safety and ensure the fall detection system is operational. Though the rat will eventually fall off the rotating rod, a malfunctioning fall detection system could result in data loss or necessitate tedious manual analysis. Ideally, test one animal at a time, placing it in its designated chamber on the rotating rod.

Start the rotor rod and initiate the trial. Carefully observe and record the time the animal spends on the rotating rod before falling or gripping the rod to avoid falling. Typically, the rat will attempt to maintain balance by walking on the rotating surface but will eventually lose its footing and fall onto the plates below, triggering the fall detection system.

During the test, be vigilant for any signs of motor impairment, such as an unsteady gait or difficulty maintaining balance. These observations can provide valuable qualitative data to complement the quantitative fall time measurements.

To ensure a comprehensive assessment, conduct multiple trials for each animal. Remember, behavioral manifestations can vary, and multiple trials allow you to capture the full spectrum of motor impairment and obtain a reliable average. This average will then be used to evaluate the significance of any changes observed after inducing Parkinsonism or administering an intervention.

It's crucial to allow sufficient rest periods between trials to prevent fatigue, which can confound your results. Fatigue can also cause the rat to fall off the rod, mimicking the effects of Parkinsonism. Adequate rest ensures that any observed motor deficits are genuinely due to the condition or intervention being studied.

While the automated setup streamlines data collection, remember to manually record any additional observations, such as variations in performance between trials or among different groups of animals. These qualitative observations can provide valuable context and enhance your understanding of the data.

Data analysis in automated setups is typically straightforward, with the software providing a user-friendly interface for collecting and organizing the data. However, it's crucial to incorporate statistical analysis to account for performance variability and ensure accurate interpretation of the results. Statistical tests can help identify significant differences between experimental groups and minimize the risk of false positives or false negatives.

By conducting rigorous behavioral assessments using appropriate apparatus like the rotor rod, you can gain valuable insights into the motor manifestations of Parkinsonism in rodent models. These objective measurements, coupled with statistical analysis, enable you to evaluate the efficacy of interventions, track disease progression, and contribute to the development of novel therapeutic strategies for Parkinson's disease.

When designing and conducting experiments with the rotor rod, it's crucial to consider additional factors that can influence the results, such as age, sex, and treatment conditions. These factors should be homogenous across experimental groups to avoid confounding effects. For instance, older rats might naturally exhibit shorter fall times compared to younger rats, independent of any Parkinsonian symptoms. Ensuring consistency in these factors minimizes variability and strengthens the validity of your findings.

Remember, despite the relative simplicity of the rotor rod test, various factors can confound your results and lead to false positives or false negatives. Therefore, meticulous experimental design, careful data analysis, and a thorough understanding of potential confounding variables are crucial for drawing accurate conclusions.

Once you've completed your experiments, summarize your findings in a comprehensive report. Clearly outline your methodology, present your results, and discuss their implications in the context of your research objectives. Keep in mind that the rotor rod primarily assesses gait and balance, so its findings might not encompass all the manifestations of Parkinsonism.

Depending on the outcomes of your initial experiments, consider conducting follow-up studies to explore additional aspects of Parkinsonism. For example, you could combine the rotor rod test with other behavioral assays, such as the open field test, cylinder test, swimming test, or staircase test. These additional tests can provide a more comprehensive evaluation of motor function, including forelimb dexterity and psychiatric manifestations.

By strategically combining different behavioral assays, you can ensure that all the cardinal manifestations of Parkinsonism are thoroughly investigated. This multifaceted approach enhances the translational relevance of your research and provides a deeper understanding of the disease's impact on various aspects of behavior and motor function.

When it comes to the software interface associated with automated behavioral setups, several features contribute to the overall experimental experience. These typically include safety features to protect the animals, a data acquisition system for collecting and storing data, a user-friendly software interface for controlling parameters and visualizing results, and environmental controls to maintain consistent testing conditions.

The software interface allows you to adjust various parameters, such as rotation speed, surface texture, trial duration, and rest periods. It also provides real-time feedback on the rat's performance, including fall times for each trial. This immediate feedback enables you to monitor the effects of interventions and track changes in motor function over time.

The log sheet generated by the software interface serves as a valuable record of your experimental data. It includes information on each trial, such as the animal's ID, trial number, fall time, and any additional observations. This organized data facilitates subsequent analysis and interpretation.

Remember, statistical analysis is crucial for evaluating the significance of your findings and ensuring the validity of your conclusions. By employing appropriate statistical tests, you can identify genuine differences between experimental groups and avoid drawing erroneous conclusions based on chance or variability.

Even if your setup lacks a dedicated user interface, you can still meticulously monitor behavioral outcomes using a well-structured record sheet. This record should include essential details such as the animal's identification, sex, compartment placement, chosen rotation speed, experiment number, and date. Such records facilitate organized data collection and analysis, allowing you to track changes in response time (fall time) across different trials and animals.

These records can also be visualized in pictorial representations, plotting response times over multiple trials for individual rats or even comparing multiple rats simultaneously. This visual representation aids in identifying trends and patterns in the data, making it easier to discern the onset and progression of Parkinsonism.

Based on these behavioral assessments, you can then plan subsequent experiments, such as interventions aimed at ameliorating motor deficits. This concludes our session on behavioral apparatus for Parkinsonian research. It's important to remember that the examples and techniques we've discussed represent just a fraction of the vast array of possibilities. Numerous other behavioral models and setups exist for studying Parkinson's disease in rodents.

Before embarking on your research, it's crucial to familiarize yourself with the various methods available and gain a comprehensive understanding of the disease process and its manifestations. This knowledge will guide you in selecting the most appropriate behavioral setup to address your specific research questions.

Whether your goal is to investigate the pathophysiological mechanisms of Parkinson's disease or evaluate the efficacy of a particular intervention, behavioral models play a pivotal role in translating your findings to the clinical context. They provide a platform for objectively assessing the impact of the disease and monitoring the effects of potential treatments.

Therefore, understanding the principles of behavioral assessment and selecting the right apparatus is paramount for conducting impactful research and contributing to the advancement of knowledge in this field.

If possible, we will try to arrange practical sessions and workshops where you can gain hands-on experience with various surgical techniques, implant procedures, and behavioral setups. These practical sessions will offer invaluable opportunities to apply the theoretical knowledge you've acquired and hone your skills in a real-world setting.

Remember, mastering these surgical and behavioral techniques requires practice and dedication. By actively engaging in hands-on exercises, you'll accelerate your learning curve and gain the confidence to conduct independent research in the field of neural engineering.

In conclusion, we've covered a wide range of topics in this neural engineering course, from surgical procedures and implant technologies to behavioral models and analysis techniques. The knowledge and skills you've gained will undoubtedly serve as a strong foundation for your future endeavors in this exciting and rapidly evolving field.

Thank you for your kind attention throughout this course. We wish you all the best in your research pursuits. Should you have any further questions or require additional guidance, please don't hesitate to reach out.