**Transport Phenomena in Biological Systems** 

Prof. G. K. Suraishkumar

Department of Biotechnology Bhupat and Jvoti Mehta School of Biosciences Building

**Indian Institute of Technology - Madras** 

Lecture – 27

**Application of Equation of Motion to Flow Over an Inclined Plane** 

Welcome. In this class, we look at the application of the momentum balance equation that we

derived okay. What I am going to do is I am going to show you or I am going to apply the

equation of motion to the same situation that we considered earlier. The case of a thin film of

liquid falling over an inclined plane, a Bostwick viscometer case, and you will be able to see

how simple it is to arrive at the final relationships of use when we use this equation compared

to the shell balances.

Shell balances took a long time and so and so on. Now, we spent enough time and effort to set

these equations of motion that we can directly use in appropriate situations okay, so we might

as well use that, you just need to have it by your side, you could refer to that, I will not expect

you to remember any of those equations, do not worry about it. Normally, those are very long

equations, very complex terms there, different subscripts and so on and so forth.

I will not expect you to remember it. You could just take those equations there and directly

start using them okay, that is the reason I asked you to make a copy of those equations and

keep it aside. So, some applications of the equation of motion, first to the same situation the

steady state falling film case.

(Refer Slide Time: 02:01)

Let us solve the study-state falling-film problem using the equation of motion

For the most convenience for this system geometry, let us use rectangular co-ordinates Let us use Eq. C1 of table 3.4-1 to get the shear stress profile Note that  $v_x = 0$ ,  $v_y = 0$ . Therefore, only Eq. C1 with  $v_z$  is relevant

$$\rho\left(\frac{\partial \hat{v}_{z}}{\partial t} + v_{x}^{\prime} \frac{\partial v_{z}}{\partial x} + v_{y}^{\prime} \frac{\partial v_{z}}{\partial y} + v_{z} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_{z}}{\partial z}\right) = -\frac{\partial \hat{v}_{z}}{\partial z} - \left(\frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{yz}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau_{z}}{\partial z}\right) + \rho g_{z}$$

As 
$$g_z = g \cos \beta$$
 we get  $0 = -\frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial x} + \rho g \cos \beta$ 

which is the same equation as Eq. 3.3. - 3, we got using shell balances

Recall that we had earlier solved the same problem with shell balances
This illustrates the ease of solution when the equation of motion approach is used

So here is the rectangular Cartesian coordinate geometry, right, that is quite easy to see. So, let us use equation C1 of table 3.4 - 1 to get the shear stress profile okay. What was the equation 3.4 - 1, I think I need to open up that equation again okay. So, this is the rectangular Cartesian coordinate system for the equation of motion. So, this is the x direction, y direction, z direction.

z direction

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial t} + v_x \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial y} + v_z \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} - \left( \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{yz}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zz}}{\partial z} \right) + \rho g_z$$
 (C1)

For a Newtonian fluid with constant  $\rho$  and  $\mu$ 

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial t} + v_x \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial y} + v_z \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \mu \left( \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial z^2} \right) + \rho g_z \quad (C2)$$

I think we are looking at the z direction, here we had written it for z direction. This is a general equation. The second ones are for Newtonian fluid constant with  $\rho$  and  $\mu$ . Here, this is a general equation, let us consider this equation okay. So this if we take and apply it here, it is quite easy to see that there is no bulk velocity in the x direction, there is no bulk velocity in the y direction of the film. This is the z direction, the bulk velocities only in the z direction. Therefore, only the  $v_z$  is relevant and the equation that contains  $v_z$  is C1 and that is the reason why we went to C1. When we take the C1 and cancel the terms, I will show you one by one, then we get something like this.

$$= 0, \text{ SS} \quad v_{x} = 0 \quad v_{y} = 0 \quad \text{not a } f(z) \quad \text{condition} \quad = 0, \tau_{yz} \text{ is } = 0, \tau_{zz} \text{ is } \text{not a } f(z)$$

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial v_{z}}{\partial t} + v_{x} \frac{\partial v_{z}}{\partial x} + v_{y} \frac{\partial v_{z}}{\partial y} + v_{z} \frac{\partial v_{z}}{\partial z} \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} - \left( \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{yz}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zz}}{\partial z} \right) + \rho g_{z}$$

$$(3.4.1-1)$$

This is a steady state analysis as we said, therefore there is no variation of time, the first term goes to 0. There is no  $v_x$  right, there is no velocity in this direction therefore that is 0. There is no  $v_y$ , so that goes to 0. There is certainly a  $v_z$ . However, we have taken the case of well-developed flow, which means the  $v_z$  is not varying with z. Therefore  $v_z$  is not a function of z and therefore this derivative goes to 0 and we have already chosen that the pressure does not vary with the length and therefore  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial z}$  is 0 by chosen condition. This term remains.

 $\tau_{yz}$  is not a function of y, therefore that goes to 0,  $\tau_{zz}$  is not a function of z, therefore that term goes to 0,  $\rho g_z$  remains. Why did not do I have the details here? See for getting an idea of why it is not a function of y, why  $\tau_{yz}$  is not a function of y, you could resort to the direction of motion and the direction of action, simplistically speaking, we already seen that 2 different shear rates could contribute to a shear stress and so on and so forth. So to get an idea here, this is the shear stress that arises in the y direction because of the motion in the z direction okay. There is motion in this direction, there is no shear stress in this direction, so that is the reason why this is 0. It is not a function of y and there is no shear stress that is going to result in this direction because of the motion in this direction that is the reason why this normal stress is 0.

Since

$$g_z = g \cos \beta$$

we get

$$0 = -\frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial r} + \rho g \cos \beta$$

which is the same equation as Eq. 3.3-3.

There is no way variation in velocity and so on and so forth, so that is 0 okay. So what we get from this equation is again need to get back to this,  $g_z$  is nothing but  $gcos\beta$  okay, g was in this direction, this was the  $\beta$ , so the component here is  $gcos\beta$ , and if we substitute here we are going to get 0 on the left hand side. The equation we got now is exactly the same equation that we had earlier okay.

So just by using this equation, cancelling out the relevant terms, we could directly get this equation okay. We do not have to go through significant amount of effort, which we need to spend if we do shell balances.

## (Refer Slide Time: 07:04)

To get the velocity profile for a Newtonian fluid, we can directly begin from Eq. C2 of Table 3.4. – 1 
$$= 0, \quad = 0, \quad = 0, \quad v_z \text{ is not} \quad v_z \text{ is not}$$

Now, let us try to get a velocity profile in this Newtonian fluid, it is water flowing as we said. Water is a Newtonian fluid and for this we can use the next equation C2 in table 3.4 - 1. I am going to write the equations, I am not going to go back and show you that equation. Please look at the table that you have made a copy of and find out that equation, yeah that would be an easier way to do. Initially, I need to make this connection and then once you have already made the connection you can directly refer to the equation. This would have been the equation C2 in table 3.4 - 1.

$$= 0, \quad = 0, \quad = 0, \quad = 0, \quad v_z \text{ is } = 0, \text{ chosen } = 0, v_z \text{ is } = 0, v_z \text{ is$$

When we cancel the terms, the first term is the processes at steady state therefore there is no variation with time, the first term goes to 0. You have already seen there is no  $v_x$ , there is no  $v_y$ , therefore these 2 terms go to 0. We also said that  $v_z$  is not a function of z, well-developed flow, and therefore that is 0. We also said that pressure is not a function of length, the fluid is very thin, we went through a long argument to establish this earlier, you can go back and look

at that argument,  $\mu \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial x^2}$ , this term of course remains, there is a variation of  $v_z$  with x. There is no variation of  $v_z$  with y. It is all the same at various ways and similarly there is no variation of a  $v_z$  with z, well-developed flow okay. So, these 2 terms go to 0, these are quite straightforward to see,  $\rho g_z$  will be there. Now, the only terms that remain are written as,

$$0 = \mu \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial x^2} + \rho g \cos \beta \tag{3.4.1-2}$$

i.e.

$$\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial x} \right) = -\rho g \cos \beta$$

or

$$\frac{\partial v_z}{\partial x} = -\left(\frac{\rho g \cos \beta}{\mu}\right) x$$

which is the same equation as Eq. 3.3-7.

So, by applying the equation of motion, we significantly simplify the effort that we need to put in to arrive at relevant insights. This is a reasonably short lecture. I think I have shown you one application, I think I let it sink in and when we come back in the next class, we will look at other applications, all of heavy relevance to whatever is being used in the body, in the industry, in various biological systems. See you then.